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## Preface

## INTRODUCTION

The objective of numerical analysis is to solve complex numerical problems using only the simple operations of arithmetic, to develop and evaluate methods for computing numerical results from given data. The methods of computation are called algorithms. An algorithm is a finite sequence of rules for performing computations on a computer such that at each instant the rules determine exactly what the computer has to do next. Numerical methods tend to emphasize the implementation of the algorithms. Thus, numerical methods are methods for solving problems on computers by numerical calculations, often giving a table of numbers and /or graphical representations or figures. The purpose of this book is to impart a basic understanding, both physical and mathematical, of the basic theory of numerical analysis/methods and their applications. In this book, an attempt is made to present in a simple and systematic manner the techniques that can be applied to the study of numerical methods. Special emphasis is placed on analytical developments, algorithms and computational solutions.

The objective of this text book is to introduce students from a variety of disciplines and backgrounds to the vast array of problems that are amenable to numerical solution. The emphasis is placed on application rather than pure theory, which, although kept to a minimum and presented in a mostly heuristic and intuitive manner. This is deemed sufficient for the student to fully understand the workings, efficiency and shortcomings or failings of each technique. Since I intended this book as a first course on the numerical methods, the concepts have been presented in simple terms and the solution procedures have been explained in detail.

## AUDIENCE

This book is a comprehensive text on numerical methods. It is self-contained and the subject matter is presented in an organized and systematic manner. No previous knowledge of numerical analysis and numerical methods is assumed. This book is quite appropriate for several groups of audience including:

- undergraduate and graduate students in mathematics, science and engineering taking the introductory course on numerical methods.
- the book can be adapted for a short professional course on numerical methods.
- design and research engineers will be able to draw upon the book in selecting and developing numerical methods for analytical and design purposes.
- practicing engineers and managers who want to learn about the basic principles and concepts involved in numerical methods and how they can be applied at their own work place concerns.
Because the book is aimed at a wider audience, the level of mathematics is kept intentionally low. All the principles presented in the book are illustrated by numerous worked examples. The book draws a balance between theory and practice.


## CONTENTS

Books differ in content and organization. I have striven hard in the organization and presentation of the material in order to introduce the student gradually the concepts and in their use to solve problems in numerical methods. The subject of numerical methods deals with the methods and means of formulation of mathematical models of physical systems and discusses the methods of solution. In this book, I have concentrated on both of these aspects: the tools for formulating the mathematical equations and also the methods of solving them.

The study of numerical methods is a formidable task. Each chapter in this book consists of a concise but thorough fundamental statement of the theory; principles and methods, followed by a selected number of illustrative worked examples. There are ample unsolved exercise problems for student's practice, to amplify and extend the theory, and methods are also included. The bibliography provided at the end of the book serves as helpful source for further study and research by interested readers.

In chapter 1, Taylor's theorem, a few basic ideas and concepts regarding numerical computations, number representation, including binary, decimal, and hexadecimal numbers, errors considerations, absolute and relative errors, inherent errors, round-off errors and truncation errors, machine epsilon, error propagation, error estimation, general error formulae including approximation of a function, stability and condition, uncertainty in data or noise, sequences: linear convergence, quadratic convergence, and Aitken's acceleration formulae are described.

Chapter 2 deals with the solution of linear system of equations. The topics covered are the methods of solution, the inverse of a matrix, matrix inversion method, augmented matrix, Gauss elimination method, Gauss Jordan method, Cholesky's triangularization method, Crout's method, Thomas algorithm for triangular system, Jacobi's iteration method, and Gauss-Seidal iteration method.

Chapter 3 deals with the solution of algebraic and transcendental equations. Here, we cover the topics such as the bisection method, method of false position, Newtonian-Raphson method, successive approximation method, secant method, Muller's method, Chebyshev method, Aitken's method, and comparison of iterative methods.

In Chapter 4, we cover the topics on numerical differentiation. The topics covered include the derivatives based on Newton's forward interpolation formula, the derivatives based on Newton's backward interpolation formula, the derivatives based on Stirling's interpolation formula, maxima and minima of a tabulated function, and cubic spline method.

Chapter 5 deals with finite differences and interpolation. It includes topics on finite differences, forward differences, backward differences, central differences, error propagation in a difference table, properties of operator delta, difference operators, relations among the operators, representation of a polynomial using factorial notation, interpolation with equal intervals, missing values, Newton's binomial expansion formula,

Newton's forward interpolation formula, Newton's backward interpolation formula, error in interpolation formula, interpolation with unequal intervals, Lagrange's formula for unequal intervals, inverse interpolation, Lagrange's formula for inverse interpolation, central difference interpolation formulae, Gauss's forward interpolation formula, Gauss's backward interpolation formula, Bessel's formula, Stirling's formula, LaplaceEverett's formula, divided differences. Newton's divided differences, interpolation formula, selection of an interpolation formula, and cubic spline interpolation.

In Chapter 6, we present the curve fitting, regression, and correlation. we discuss here the topics on linear equation, curve fitting with a linear equation, criteria for a "best" fit, linear least-squares regression, linear regression analysis, interpretation of a and $b$, standard deviation of random errors, coefficient of determination, linearization of nonlinear relationship, polynomial regression, quantification of error of linear regression, multiple linear regression, weighted least squares method, orthogonal polynomials and least squares approximation, least squares method for continuous data, approximation using orthogonal polynomials, and Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process.

Chapter 7 presents numerical integration. Here, we cover the topics on Newton-Cotes closed quadrature formula, trapezoidal rule, error estimate in trapezoidal rule, Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule, error estimate in Simpson's 1/ 3 rule, Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule, Boole's and Weddle's rules, Romberg's integration, Richardson's extrapolation, and Romberg's integration formula.

In Chapter 8, we discuss the numerical solution of ordinary differential equations. The methods covered include one-step methods or single-step methods, Picard's method of successive approximations, Taylor's series method, step-by-step methods or marching methods, Euler's method, modified Euler's method, RungeKutta methods, Runge-Kutta method of order two and four, predictor-corrector methods, Adam-Moulton predictor-corrector method, and Milne's predictor-corrector method.

An important requirement for effective use and application of numerical methods is ease and proficiency in partial fraction expansion, engineering mathematics, and Cramer's rule. A basic review of partial fraction expansions, basic engineering mathematics, and Cramer's rule are outlined in Appendices A, B, and C respectively.

Bibliography provided at the end of the book serves as helpful sources for further study and research by interested readers. Answers to all end-of-chapter problems are given in the book. Most of the end-of chapter problems are being fully solved in the companion book titled: Numerical Methods through Solved Problems, New Age International Publishers (P) Ltd., New Delhi, India. 2009.

I sincerely hope that the final outcome of this book will help the students in developing an appreciation for the topic of numerical methods.

Rao V. Dukkipati
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## Numerical Computations

Numerical methods are methods for solving problems on computers by numerical calculations, often giving a table of numbers and/or graphical representations or figures. Numerical methods tend to emphasize the implementation of algorithms. The aim of numerical methods is therefore to provide systematic methods for solving problems in a numerical form. The process of solving problems generally involves starting from an initial data, using high precision digital computers, following the steps in the algorithms, and finally obtaining the results. Often the numerical data and the methods used are approximate ones. Hence, the error in a computed result may be caused by the errors in the data, or the errors in the method or both.

In this chapter, we will describe Taylor's theorem, a few basic ideas and concepts regarding numerical computations, number representation, including binary, decimal, and hexadecimal numbers, errors considerations, absolute and relative errors, inherent errors, round-off errors and truncation errors, error estimation, general error formulae including approximation of a function, stability and condition, uncertainty in data, linear convergence, quadratic convergence, and Aitken's acceleration formulae.

### 1.1 TAYLOR'S THEOREM

Taylor's theorem allows us to represent, exactly, and fairly general functions in terms of polynomials with a known, specified, and boundable error. Taylor's theorem is stated as follows:

Let $f(x)$ have $n+1$ continuous derivatives on [a,b] for some $n \geq 0$, and let $x, x_{0} \in[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=p_{n}(x)+R_{n}(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k}}{k!} f^{(k)}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n!} \int_{x_{0}}^{x}(x-t)^{n} f^{n+1}(t) d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, there exists a point $\xi_{x}$, between $x$ and $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}\left(\xi_{x}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{n}(x)$ is the remainder. Taylor's series is an associated formula of Taylor's theorem.
Taylor's series gives us a means to predict a function value at one point in terms of the function value and its derivatives at another point.

Taylor's series expansion is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{i+1}\right)= & f\left(x_{i}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{2!}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{3!}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{3}+\cdots+\frac{f^{n}\left(x_{i}\right)}{n!}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{n}+R_{n} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that Eq. (1.5) represents an infinite series. The remainder term $R_{n}$ is included to account for all terms from $(n+1)$ to infinity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=\frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{n+1} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $n$ connotes that this is the remainder for the $n^{\text {th }}$ order approximation and $\xi$ is a value of $x$ that lies somewhere between $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$.

We can rewrite the Taylor’s series in Eq. (1.6) by defining a step size $h=x_{i+1}-x_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{i+1}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) h+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{2!} h^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{3!} h^{3}+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n)}\left(x_{i}\right)}{n!} h^{n}+R_{n} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the remainder term $R_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=\frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} h^{n+1} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimation of function at a point $b$ which is fairly close to $a$ is desired, then the Taylor's series is written as an infinite series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(b)=f(a)+(b-a) f^{\prime}(a)+\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(a)+\cdots+\frac{(b-a)^{n}}{n!} f^{(n)}(a)+\cdots \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b$ is very close to $a$, then only a few terms can give good estimation. The Taylor's series expansion for $e^{x}$, $\sin x$ and $\cos x$ are given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{x} & =1+x+\frac{1}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} x^{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n!} x^{n}+\frac{1}{(n+1)!} x^{n+1} e^{\xi x}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} x^{k}+R_{n}(x)  \tag{1.10}\\
\sin x & =x-\frac{1}{3!} x^{3}+\frac{1}{5!} x^{5}+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2 n+1)!} x^{2 n+1}+\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2 n+3)!} x^{2 n+3} \cos \xi x \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k+1)!} x^{2 k+1}+R_{n}(x)  \tag{1.11}\\
\cos x & =1-\frac{1}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4!} x^{4}+\cdots+\frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2 n)!} x^{2 n}+\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2 n+2)!} x^{2 n+2} \cos \xi x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k)!} x^{2 k}+R_{n}(x) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error in Taylor's series when the series is terminated after the term containing $(x-a)^{n}$ will not exceed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(n-1)}\right|_{\max } \frac{[|x-a|]^{n-1}}{(n+1)!} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where max corresponds to the maximum magnitude of the derivative in the interval $a$ to $x$. When the Taylor's series is truncated after $n$ terms, then $f(x)$ will be accurate to $O(x-a)^{n}$.

## Example E1.1

Use Taylor series expansion to approximate $f(x)=\cos x$ at $x_{i+1}=\pi / 3$ with $n=0$ to 6 on the basis of the value of $f(x)$ and its derivatives at $x_{i}=\pi / 4$ which implies that $h=\frac{\pi}{3}-\frac{\pi}{4}=\pi / 12$.

## Solution:

The zero approximation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{i+1}\right) \triangleq f\left(x_{i}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right) \\
& f(\pi / 3) \triangleq \cos \frac{\pi}{4}=0.70710678 ; \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)=0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

The \% relative error is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{t} & =\frac{0.5-0.70710678}{0.5}(100)=-41.4 \% \\
f^{\prime}(x) & =-\sin x \\
f\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) & \cong \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)=0.52198666 \\
\epsilon_{t} & =-4.4 \% \\
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-\cos x \\
f\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) & \cong \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)-\frac{\cos (\pi / 4)}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)^{2}=0.49775449
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\epsilon_{t}=0.449 \%
$$

Table E1.1 shows the Taylor series approximation for $n=0$ to 6 .
Table E1.1

| Order n | $\mathrm{f}^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathrm{F}(\pi / 3)$ | $\epsilon_{\mathrm{t}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | $\cos \mathrm{x}$ | 0.70710678 | -41.4 |
| 1 | $-\sin \mathrm{x}$ | 0.52198666 | -4.4 |
| 2 | $-\cos \mathrm{x}$ | 0.49775449 | 0.449 |
| 3 | $\sin \mathrm{x}$ | 0.49986915 | $2.62 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 4 | $\cos \mathrm{x}$ | 0.50000755 | $-1.51 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 5 | $-\sin \mathrm{x}$ | 0.50000030 | $-6.08 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 6 | $-\cos \mathrm{x}$ | 0.49999999 | $2.40 \times 10^{-6}$ |

### 1.2 NUMBER REPRESENTATION

A base-b number is made up of individual digits. In positional numbering system, the position of a digit in the number determine that digit's contribution to the total value of the number.

For decimal numbers, the base (radix) is 10 . Hence ( $\left.a_{n} a_{n-1} \ldots a_{2} a_{1} a_{0}\right)_{b}=a_{n} b^{n}+a_{n-1} b^{n-1}+\ldots+$ $a_{2} b^{2}+a_{1} b+a_{0} . a_{n}$ contributes to the number's magnitude and is called the most significant digit (MSD). Similarly, the right most digit, $a_{0}$, contributes the least and is known as the least significant digit (LSD). Conversion of base- $b$ fractions to base-10 is done by $\left(0 . a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{m}\right)_{b}=a_{1} b^{-1}+a_{2} b^{-2}+\ldots+a_{m} b^{-m}$. This is known as the expansion method.

There are two binary digits (bits) in the binary number system: zero and one. The left most bit is called the most significant bit (MSB) and the right most bit is the least significant bit (LSB). The rules of bit additions are: $0+0=0 ; 0+1=1 ; 1+0=1 ; 1+1=0$ carry 1 . The first ten digits $1,2,3, \ldots, 10$ in base 10 and their representation in base-2 are shown in Fig.1.1.

| Base 10 | Base 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2^{3}$ | $2^{2}$ | $2^{1}$ | $2^{0}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Fig. 1.1: Representation of numbers in decimal and binary forms
Most computer languages use floating-point arithmetic. Every number is represented using a (fixed, finite) number of binary digits, called bits. Each binary digit is referred to as a bit. In this method, the computer representation a number in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Number }=\sigma m b^{t-p} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \sigma=$ sign of the number $( \pm)$, denoted by a single bit.
$m=$ mantissa or a fraction (a value which lies between 0.1 and 1 ).
$b=$ the base of the internal number system ( $b=2$ for binary, $b=10$ for decimal or $b=16$ for hexadecimal computers).
$t=$ shifted exponent (the value that is actually stored).
$p=$ shift required to recover the actual exponent. Shifting in the exponent is normally done to avoid the need for a sign bit in the exponent itself.
The number is then stored by storing only the values of $\sigma, m$ and $t$. The normal way to represent and store numbers is to use a binary or base 2 number system which contains the following two digits.

$$
\text { binary digits }=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \tag{1.15}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For positive integers the binary form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n} 2^{n}+d_{n-1} 2^{n-1}+\cdots+d_{1} 2^{1}+d_{0} 2^{0} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for positive numbers less than one it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{-1} 2^{-1}+d_{-2} 2^{-2}+d_{-3} 2^{-3}+\cdots \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with all binary digits $d_{i}$ either 0 or 1 . Such representations are unique.
Conversion between base 10 and base 2 is performed automatically by programming languages. Thus, conversion of an $n$-bit binary integer $b=b_{n-1} \ldots b_{0}$ to its decimal equivalent $x$ is done as a sum of $n$ powers of 2 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{k} 2^{k} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A positive decimal integer $x$, in the range 0 to $2^{n}-1$ is converted to its $n$-bit binary equivalent $b=b_{n-1} \ldots b_{0}$ by conducting a sequence of $n$ divisions by decreasing powers of 2 . In other words, the digits of the binary numbers are computed starting with the most significant bit, $b_{n-1}$, and ending with the least significant, $b_{0}$.

Noting that the hexadecimal numbers have a larger base or radix than decimal numbers, the first six letters of the alphabet are used to augment the decimal digits as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Hexadecimal digits }=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9, A, B, C, D, E, F\} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conversion between binary, decimal and hexadecimal numbers can be accomplished using Table 1.1.
Table 1.1: Binary, Decimal and Hexadecimal Numbers

| Binary | Decimal | Hexadecimal | Binary | Decimal | Hexadecimal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0000 | 00 | 0 | 1000 | 08 | 8 |
| 0001 | 01 | 1 | 1001 | 09 | 9 |
| 0010 | 02 | 2 | 1010 | 10 | A |
| 0011 | 03 | 3 | 1011 | 11 | B |
| 0100 | 04 | 4 | 1100 | 12 | C |
| 0101 | 05 | 5 | 1101 | 13 | D |
| 0110 | 06 | 6 | 1110 | 14 | E |
| 0111 | 07 | 7 | 1111 | 15 | F |

## Example E1.2

Determine the decimal values of the following numbers:
(a) $x=(10010110)_{2}$
(b) $x=(777)_{8}$

## Solution:

(a) $x=\sum_{k=0}^{7} b_{k} 2^{k}$ using equation (1.18)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2^{1}+2^{2}+2^{4}+2^{7} \\
& =2+4+16+128=150
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $x=(777)_{8}$

$$
x=\sum_{k=0}^{2} b_{k} 8^{k}=7(8)^{0}+7(8)^{1}+7(8)^{2}=7+56+448=511
$$

## Example E1.3

Convert (1011) $)_{2}$ to base-10.

## Solution:

(1) $(2)^{3}+(0)(2)^{2}+(1)(2)^{1}+1=11$

The remainder method is used to convert base-10 numbers to base-b numbers. Converting a base-10 fraction to base- $b$ requires multiplication of the base-10 fraction and subsequent fractional parts by the base. The base-b fraction is formed from the integer parts of the products taken into same order in which they were determined.

The octal (base-8) numbering system is one of the alternatives to working with long binary numbers. Only the digits 0 to 7 are employed. For instance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7+1=6+2=5+3=(10)_{8} \\
& 7+2=6+3=5+4=(11)_{8} \\
& 7+3=6+4=5+5=(12)_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E1.4

Perform the following operations:
(a) $(7)_{8}+(6)_{8}$
(b) Convert ( 0.14$)_{10}$ to base-8
(c) Convert (27.52) $)_{8}$ to base-10.

## Solution:

(a) The sum of 7 and 6 in base- 10 is 13 . This is greater than 8 . Using the remainder method, we have $13 / 8=1 \quad$ remainder 5
$1 / 8=0 \quad$ remainder 1
The answer is $(15)_{8}$.
(b) $0.14 \times 8=1.12$
$0.12 \times 8=0.96$
$0.96 \times 8=7.68$
$0.68 \times 8=5.44$
$0.44 \times 8=$ etc.

The answer is $(0.1075 \ldots .)_{8}$ which is obtained from the integer parts of the products above.
(c) $\quad(2)(8)^{1}+(7)(8)^{0}+(5)(8)^{-1}+(2)(8)^{-2}=16+7+\frac{5}{8}+\frac{2}{64}=(23.656)_{10}$

The hexadecimal (base-16) system is a shorthand way of representing the value of four binary digits at a time.

## Example E1.5

(a) Convert $(1475)_{10}$ to base-16.
(b) Convert $(0.8)_{10}$ to base-16.

## Solution:

(a) Using the remainder method
$\frac{1475}{16}=92$ remainder 3
$\frac{92}{16}=5$ remainder 12
$\frac{5}{16}=0$ remainder 5
Now, (12) ${ }_{10}$ is $(C)_{16}$ or (hex $C$ ).
Hence, the answer is $\left(5 C_{3}\right)_{16}$.
(b) $0.8 \times 16=12.8$
$0.8 \times 16=12.8$
$0.8 \times 16=$ etc.
Since $(12)_{10}=(C)_{16}$, we have the answer as $(0 . \text { CCCCC... })_{16}$.

## Example E1.6

(a) Convert $(5431)_{8}$ to base-2.
(b) Convert $(1011111101111001)_{2}$ to base-16.

## Solution:

(a) First convert each octal digit to binary digits.
$(5)_{8}=(101)_{2}$
$(4)_{8}=(100)_{2}$
$(3)_{8}=(011)_{2}$
$(1)_{8}=(001)_{2}$
Hence, the answer is $(101100011001)_{2}$.
(b) Grouping the bits into fours starting at right-hand-bit, we have 101111110111 and 1001. Converting these groups into their hexadecimal equivalents, we get
$(1011)_{2}=(\mathrm{B})_{16}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1111)_{2}=(\mathrm{F})_{16} \\
& (0111)_{2}=(7)_{16} \\
& (1001)_{2}=(9)_{16}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the answer is (BF79) ${ }_{16}$.

## Example E1.7

(a) Convert the following base-2 numbers to base-10: 1011001 and 110.00101
(b) Convert the following base-8 numbers to base 10: 71563 and 3.14.

## Solution:

(a) $(1011001)_{2}=\left(1 \times 2^{6}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{5}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{4}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{3}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{2}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{1}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{0}\right)$
$=1(64)+0(32)+1(16)+1(8)+0(4)+0(2)+1(1)=89$
$(110.00101)_{2}=\left(1 \times 2^{2}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{1}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{0}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{-1}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{-2}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{-3}\right)+\left(0 \times 2^{-4}\right)+\left(1 \times 2^{-5}\right)$
$=1(4)+1(2)+0(1)+0(0.5)+0(0.25)+1(0.125)+0(0.0625)+.03125)=6.15625$
(b) $\quad(71563)_{8}=\left(7 \times 8^{4}\right)+\left(1 \times 8^{3}\right)+\left(5 \times 8^{2}\right)+\left(6 \times 8^{1}\right)+\left(3 \times 2^{0}\right)$
$=7(4096)+1(512)+5(64)+6(8)+3(1)=29,555$
$(3.14)_{8}=\left(3 \times 8^{0}\right)+\left(3 \times 8^{-1}\right)+\left(4 \times 8^{-2}\right)=3(1)+1(0.125)+4(0.015625)=3.1875$

### 1.3 ERROR CONSIDERATIONS

Sources of Errors: When a computational procedure is involved in solving a scientific-mathematical problem, errors often will be involved in the process. A rough classification of the kinds of original errors that might occur is as follows:

Modelling Errors: Mathematical modelling is a process when mathematical equations are used to represent a physical system. This modeling introduces errors and are called modelling errors.

Blunders and Mistakes: Blunders occur at any stage of the mathematical modeling process and consist to all other components of error. Blunders can be avoided by sound knowledge of fundamental principles and with taking proper care in approach and design to a solution. Mistakes are due to the programming errors.

Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors: These errors are inevitable when using floating-point arithmetic when using computers or calculators. Examples are rounding and chopping errors.

Mathematical Approximation Errors: This error is also known as a truncation error or discretisation error. These errors arise when an approximate formulation is made to a problem that otherwise cannot be solved exactly.

Accuracy and Precision: Accuracy refers to how closely a computed or measured value agrees with the true value. Precision refers to how closely individual computed or measured values agree with each other. Inaccuracy (also known as bias) is the systematic deviation from the truth. Imprecision (uncertainty) refers to the magnitude of the scatter. These concepts are illustrated graphically using an analogy from target practice as shown in Fig.1.2.

Figure 1.2 Illustrating the concepts of accuracy and precision from marksmanship example (a) inaccurate and imprecise, (b) accurate and imprecise, (c) inaccurate and precise and (d) accurate and precise


Fig. 1.2: Concepts of accuracy and precisions

Errors are introduced by the computational process itself. Computers perform mathematical operations with only a finite number of digits. If the number $x_{a}$ is an approximation to the exact result $x_{e}$, then the difference $x_{e}-x_{a}$ is called error. Hence
Exact value = approximate value + error

In numerical computations, we come across the following types of errors:
(a) Absolute and relative errors
(b) Inherent errors
(c) Round-off errors
(d) Truncation errors

### 1.3.1 Absolute and Relative Errors

If $X_{E}$ is the exact or true value of a quantity and $X_{A}$ is its approximate value, then $\left|X_{E}-X_{A}\right|$ is called the absolute error $E_{a}$. Therefore absolute error

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a}=\left|X_{E}-X_{A}\right| \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and relative error is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r}=\left|\frac{X_{E}-X_{A}}{X_{E}}\right| \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $X_{E} \neq 0$ or $X_{E}$ is not too close to zero. The percentage relative error is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=100 E_{r}=100\left|\frac{X_{E}-X_{A}}{X_{E}}\right| \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Significant digits: The concept of a significant figure, or digit, has been developed to formally define the reliability of a numerical value. The significant digits of a number are those that can be used with confidence.

If $X_{E}$ is the exact or true value and $X_{A}$ is an approximation to $X_{E}$, then $X_{A}$ is said to approximate $X_{E}$ to $t$ significant digits if $t$ is the largest non-negative integer for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{E}-X_{A}}{\left|X_{E}\right|}<5 \times 10^{-t} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example E1.8

If $X_{E}=e$ (base of the natural algorithm $=2.7182818$ ) is approximated by $X_{A}=2.71828$, what is the significant number of digits to which $X_{A}$ approximates $X_{E}$ ?

## Solution:

$$
\frac{X_{E}-X_{A}}{\left|X_{E}\right|}=\frac{e-2.71828}{e} \text { which is }<5 \times 10^{-6}
$$

Hence $X_{A}$ approximates $X_{E}$ to 6 significant digits.

## Example E1.9

Let the exact or true value $=20 / 3$ and the approximate value $=6.666$.
The absolute error is $0.000666 \ldots=2 / 3000$.
The relative error is $(2 / 3000) /(20 / 3)=1 / 10000$.
The number of significant digits is 4 .

### 1.3.2 Inherent Errors

Inherent errors are the errors that pre exist in the problem statement itself before its solution is obtained. Inherent errors exist because the data being approximate or due to the limitations of the calculations using digital computers. Inherent errors cannot be completely eliminated but can be minimised if we select better data or by employing high precision computer computations.

### 1.3.3 Round-off Errors

Round-off error is due to the inaccuracies that arise due to a finite number of digits of precision used to represent numbers. All computers represent numbers, except for integer and some fractions, with imprecision. Digital computers use floating-point numbers of fixed word length. This type of representation will not express the exact or true values correctly. Error introduced by the omission of significant figures due to computer imperfection is called the round-off error.

Round-off errors are avoidable in most of the computations. When $n$ digits are used to represent a real number, then one method is keep the first $n$ digits and chop off all remaining digits. Another method is to round to the $n^{\text {th }}$ digit by examining the values of the remaining digits. The two steps involved in rounding to $n$ digits are as follows:

1. Add $\operatorname{sgn}(x) \frac{b}{2}$ to digit $n+1$ of $x$.
2. Chop $x$ to $n$ digits.
where $x$ is the nonzero real number, $b$ is the base and $\operatorname{sgn}(x)=x /|x|$ denotes the sign of $x$ with $\operatorname{sgn}(0) \approx 0$. Thus, the effect of the add and chop method of rounding is to round digit $n$ up (away from zero) if the first digit to be chopped, digit $n+1$, is greater than equal to $b / 2$, otherwise digit $n$ is left as is. Errors which result from this process of chopping or rounding method are known as round-off errors.

## Rounding to $k$ decimal places

To round $x$, a positive decimal number, to $k$ decimal places, we chop $x+0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ after $k^{\text {th }}$ decimal digit. Similarly, to a round a negative number, we round its absolute value and then restore the sign. Table 1.2 illustrates the rounding the numbers 234.0065792 and -234.00654983 to $k$ decimal digits.

Table 1.2: Rounding numbers to $k$ decimal digits

| k | 234.0065792 | -234.00654983 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 234 | -234 |
| 1 | 234.0 | -234.0 |
| 2 | 234.01 | -234.01 |
| 3 | 234.007 | -234.007 |
| 4 | 234.0065 | -234.0065 |
| 5 | 234.00658 | -234.00655 |
| 6 | 234.006579 | -234.006550 |
| 7 | 234.0065792 | -234.0065498 |
| 8 | 234.0065792 | -234.00654983 |

## Accurate to $k$ decimal places

When we state that $Y$ approximates $y$ to $k$ decimal places provided $|y-Y|<\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-k}$ and if both $y$ and $Y$ are rounded to $k$ decimal places, then the $k^{\text {th }}$ decimals in the rounded versions differ by no more than one unit. Consider for instance, the two numbers $y=57.34$ and $Y=57.387$ differ by $|y-Y|=0.047<0.5 \times 10^{-1}=0.05$ hence $Y$ approximates $y$ to 1 decimal place. Rounding $y$ to $Y$ to the $k=1$ decimal place, we find $y_{r}=57.3$ and $Y_{r}=57.4$, respectively. Therefore, $y_{r}$ and $Y_{r}$ differ in the first decimal place by no more than one unit. Also, when $Y$ approximates $y$ to $k$ decimal places, then these two numbers are said to agree to $k$ decimal places. It should be noted here that these two numbers are not necessarily the same when rounded to $k$ decimal places.

The most significant figure in a decimal number is the leftmost nonzero digit and the least significant figure is the rightmost digit. Significant figures are all the digits that lie in between the most significant and least significant figures. However, it should be noted here that zeros on the left of the first significant figure are not treated as significant digits. For instance, in the number $Y=0.0078560$, the first significant digit is 7 and the rightmost zero is the fifth significant digit. Table 1.3 shows the results of rounding $Y$ to $k$ significant figure.

Table 1.3: Significant figures

| k significant digits | $\mathrm{Y}=0.0078560$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 0.008 |
| 2 | 0.0078 |
| 3 | 0.00786 |
| 4 | 0.007856 |
| 5 | 0.0078560 |

Accurate to $k$ significant figures
If

$$
|x-X|<\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-k}|x|
$$

or $\quad x-\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-k}|x|<X<x+\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-k}|x|$
then we say that the floating-point number $X$ approximates $x$ to $k$ significant figures. Table 1.4 shows $k$, the intervals $[x-d(k), x+d(k)]$, where $d(k)=\frac{1}{2} \times 10^{-k}|x|$, interval rounded, $x$ rounded for $x=\pi=3.141592654$. The last column in Table 1.3 shows the value of $\pi$ rounded to $k$ significant digits.

Table 1.4: Approximation of $\pi$ to $k$ significant figures

| k | Interval <br> $[\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k}), \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{k})]$ | Interval rounded | x rounded |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $[2.984513021,3.298672287]$ | $[3.0,3.3]$ | 3.0 |
| 2 | $[3.125884691,3.157300617]$ | $[3.1,3.2]$ | 3.1 |
| 3 | $[3.140021858,3.143163450]$ | $[3.14,3.14]$ | 3.14 |
| 4 | $[3.141435574,3.141749734]$ | $[3.141,3.142]$ | 3.142 |
| 5 | $[3.141576946,3.141608362]$ | $[3.1416,3.1416]$ | 3.1416 |
| 6 | $[3.141591083,3.141594225]$ | $[3.14159,3.14459]$ | 3.14159 |
| 7 | $[3.141592497,3.141592811]$ | $[3.141592,3.141593]$ | 3.141593 |
| 8 | $[3.141592638,3.141592670]$ | $[3.1415926,3.1415927]$ | 3.1415927 |

## Example E1.10

Given the number $\pi$ is approximated using $n=5$ decimal digits.
(a) Determine the relative error due to chopping and express it as a per cent.
(b) Determine the relative error due to rounding and express it as a per cent.

## Solution:

(a) The relative error due to chopping is given by

$$
E_{r}(\text { chopping })=\frac{3.1415-\pi}{\pi}=2.949 \times 10^{-5} \text { or } 0.002949 \%
$$

(b) The relative error due to rounding is given by

$$
E_{r}(\text { rounding })=\frac{3.1416-\pi}{\pi}=2.338 \times 10^{-6} \text { or } 0.0002338 \% \text {. }
$$

## Example E1.11

If the number $\pi=4 \tan ^{-1}(1)$ is approximated using 5 decimal digits, find the percentage relative error due to,
(a) chopping
(b) rounding.

## Solution:

(a) Percentage relative error due to chopping

$$
=\left(\frac{3.1415-\pi}{\pi}\right) 100=\left(-2.949 \times 10^{-5}\right) 100 \text { or }-0.002949 \% .
$$

(b) Percentage relative error due to rounding

$$
=\left(\frac{3.1416-\pi}{\pi}\right) 100=\left(2.338 \times 10^{-6}\right) 100=0.00023389 \%
$$

## Example E1.12

Use Taylor series expansions (zero through fourth order) to predict $f(2)$ for $f(x)=\ln (x)$ with a base point at $x=1$. Determine the true percentage relative error for each approximation.

## Solution:

The true value of $\ln (2)=0.693147 \ldots$
Zero order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(2) & \cong f(1)=0 \\
E_{t} & =\left|\frac{0.693147-0}{0.693147}\right| 100 \%=100 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

First order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{1}{x} \quad f^{\prime}(1)=1 \\
f(2) & \cong 0+1(1)=1 \\
E_{t} & =\left|\frac{0.693147-1}{0.693147}\right| 100 \%=44.27 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Second order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-\frac{1}{x^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}(1)=-1 \\
f(2) & =1-1 \frac{1^{2}}{2}=0.5 \\
E_{t} & =\left|\frac{0.693147-0.5}{0.693147}\right| 100 \%=27.87 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Third order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{(\prime \prime)}(x) & =\frac{2}{x^{3}} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=2 \\
f(2) & \cong 0.5+2 \frac{1^{3}}{6}=0.833333 \\
E_{t} & =\left|\frac{0.693147-0.833333}{0.693147}\right| 100 \%=20.22 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Fourth order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\left(\prime \prime \prime_{\prime \prime}\right)}(x) & =-\frac{6}{x^{4}}=f^{\left({ }^{(\prime \prime}\right)}(1)=-6 \\
f(2) & \cong 0.833333-6 \frac{1^{4}}{24}=0.583333 \\
E_{t} & =\left|\frac{0.693147-0.583333}{0.693147}\right| 100 \%=15.84 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

The above results show that the series is converging at a slow rate. A smaller step size would be required to obtain more rapid convergence.

## Example E1.13

Given two numbers $a=8.8909 \times 10^{3}$ and $b=8.887 \times 10^{3}$. Calculate the difference between the two numbers ( $a-b$ ) using decimal floating point approximation (scientific notation) with three significant digits in the mantissa by (a) chopping (b) rounding.

## Solution:

(a) In chopping, when three significant digits are in the mantissa, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& a=8.890 \times 10^{3} \text { and } b=8.887 \times 10^{3} \\
\text { and } & a-b=8.890 \times 10^{3}-8.887 \times 10^{3}=0.003 \times 10^{3}=3 .
\end{array}
$$

(b) In rounding, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& a=8.890 \times 10^{3} \text { and } b=8.887 \times 10^{3} \\
\text { and } & a-b=8.890 \times 10^{3}-8.887 \times 10^{3}=0.004 \times 10^{3}=4 .
\end{array}
$$

The exact (true) difference between the numbers is 3.8 which shows that the rounding gives a value much closer to the real answer.

### 1.3.4 Truncation Errors

Truncation errors are defined as those errors that result from using an approximation in place of an exact mathematical procedure. Truncation error results from terminating after a finite number of terms known as formula truncation error or simply truncation error.

Let a function $f(x)$ is infinitely differentiable in an interval which includes the point $x=a$. Then the Taylor series expansion of $f(x)$ about $x=a$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)(x-a)^{k}}{k!} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{(k)}(a)$ denotes the $k^{\text {th }}$ derivative of $f(x)$ evaluated at $x=a$
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f^{(k)}(a) \triangleq \frac{d^{k} f(x)}{d x^{k}}\right|_{x=0} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the series is truncated after $n$ terms, then it is equivalent to approximating $f(x)$ with a polynomial of degree $n-1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x) \triangleq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)(x-a)^{k}}{k!} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error in approximating $E_{n}(x)$ is equal to the sum of the neglected higher order terms and is often called the tail of the series. The tail is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} f(x)-f_{n}(x)=\frac{f^{(x)}(\xi)(x-a)^{n}}{n!} \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible sometimes to place an upper bound on the $x$ of $E_{n}(x)$ depending on the nature of function $f(x)$.
If the maximum value of $\left|f_{n}(x)\right|$ over the interval $[a, x]$ is known or can be estimated, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(x) \triangleq \max _{a \leq \xi \leq x}\left[\left|f^{(n)}(\xi)\right|\right] \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (1.27) and (1.28), the worst bound on the size of the truncation error can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{n}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M_{n}(x)|x-a|^{n}}{n!} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h=x-a$, then the truncation error $E_{n}(x)$ is said to be of order $O\left(h^{n}\right)$. In other words, as $h \rightarrow 0, E_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ at the same rate as $h^{n}$.

Hence $\quad O\left(h^{n}\right) \approx c h^{n} \quad|h| \ll 1$
where $c$ is a non-zero constant.
The total numerical error is the summation of the truncation and round-off errors. The best way to minimise round-off errors is to increase the number of significant figures of the computer. It should be noted here that round-off error increases due to subtractive cancellation or due to an increase in the number of computations in an analysis. The truncation error can be reduced by decreasing the step size. In general, the truncation errors are decreased as the round-off errors are increased in numerical differentiation.

There exists no systematic and general approaches in evaluating numerical errors for all problems. In most cases, error estimates are based on experience and judgment of the engineer or scientist.

Model errors relate to bias that can be ascribed to incomplete mathematical models. Errors also enter into the analysis due to uncertainty in the physical data on which a model is based.

## Example E1.14

Given the trigonometric function $f(x)=\sin x$,
(a) expand $f(x)$ about $x=0$ using Taylor series
(b) truncate the series to $n=6$ terms
(c) find the relative error at $x=\pi / 4$ due to truncation in (b)
(d) determine the upper bound on the magnitude of the relative error at $x=\pi / 4$ and express it as a per cent.

## Solution:

(a) Using Eq. (1.23), the Taylor series expansion is given by

$$
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)(x-a)^{k}}{k!}=x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}-\frac{x^{7}}{7!}+\cdots
$$

(b) Truncation of the Taylor series to $n=6$ terms.

$$
f_{6}(x)=x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}
$$

(c) The relative error at $x=\pi / 4$ due to truncation in (b) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{r 6}=\frac{f_{6}(\pi / 4)-\sin (\pi / 4)}{\sin (\pi / 4)} & =\frac{\frac{\pi}{4}-\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{3} / 3!+(\pi / 4)^{5} / 5!-\sin (\pi / 4)}{\sin (\pi / 4)} \\
& =5.129 \times 10^{-5} \text { or } 0.005129 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Here $f^{6}(x)=-\sin x$. From Eq. (1.28) using $a=0$ and $x=\pi / 4$, we obtain

$$
M_{6}(x) \leq \sin (\pi / 4)
$$

Now from Eq. (1.29), we have the upper bound on the truncation error given by

$$
\left|E_{6}\right| \leq \frac{\sin (\pi / 4)(\pi / 4)^{6}}{6!}=2.305 \times 10^{-4} \text { or } 0.02305 \%
$$

### 1.3.5 Machine Epsilon

Digital computers are fixed-precision devices and the number of digits the device can manipulate depends on its hardware configuration. Machine epsilon, $\epsilon_{M}$ is the smallest positive number that the device can add to 1 while recognising the sum as different than 1 .
$\epsilon_{M}$ is determined computationally by finding the smallest positive $\in$ is for which $1+\epsilon \neq 1$. For instance, if a particular computing device computes 1.000000001 for $1+10^{-9}$ but 1 for $1+10^{-10}$, then we conclude that $10^{-10}<\epsilon_{M}<10^{-9}$ and the device in this case would be known as a 10 significant-digit device.

### 1.3.6 Error Propagation

Table 1.5 summaries the errors attributed to the round-off errors due to the limited number of digits using fixed-precision devices. In order to illustrate these errors, we consider the following numbers: $a=237.6581$, $b=238.2389, c=0.014789, d=137469$ and $A=238.0, B=238.2, C=0.01480$ and $D=1.375 \times 10^{5}$.

Table 1.5: Possible types of round-off errors on a finite-precision computing device

| S.No. | Error | Comments |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Negligible addition | When two numbers of notable different magnitudes are added or <br> subtracted, then the result rounds to the largest number. |
| 2. | Creeping round-off | Repeated rounding to $k$ significant digits will result in accumulation <br> of errors. |
| 3. | Error magnification | Occurs when an erroneous number is multiplied/divided by a number <br> of large/small magnitude. <br> Due to the subtraction of two nearly equal numbers where the |
| difference lies in significant digits well beyond the devices capacity |  |  |
| to record it. |  |  |

Tables 1.6 and 1.7 show the five exact arithmetic calculations (answers rounded-off to four significant digits) and the same calculations performed on a device with four significant digits respectively.

Table 1.6: Exact arithmetic rounded to four significant digits

| S. No. | Exact arithmetic | Rounded to four <br> significant digits |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}=237.6581-0.014897=237.643203$ | 237.6 |
| 2. | $\mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{d}=237.8389+137476=1377103.8389$ | $1.377 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 3. | $\mathrm{bd}=(237.8389)(137476)=32697140.62$ | $3.270 \times 10^{7}$ |
| 4. | $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{c}=237.6581 / 0.014897=15953.42015$ | $1.595 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 5. | $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}=237.6581-237.8389=-0.1808$ | -0.1808 |

Table 1.7: Calculations with a device carrying four significant digits

| S. No. | Calculations |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1. | $\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}=238.0-0.01480=238.0$ |
| 2. | $\mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{D}=238.1+1.375 \times 10^{5}=1.377 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 3. | $\mathrm{BD}=(238.1)\left(1.375 \times 10^{5}\right)=3.274 \times 10^{7}$ |
| 4. | $\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{C}=238.0 / 0.01480=1.608 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 5. | $\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}=238.0-238.1=-0.1$ |

### 1.4 ERROR ESTIMATION

Few computer methods are available to provide error estimates. These methods are briefly mentioned here.

1. Double precision method: In this method, the problem is solved twice, once in single precision and then in double precision. The estimate on the round-off error is then simply given by the difference between the two results obtained.
2. Interval arithmetic method: Each number in this method is represented by two machine numbers corresponding to the estimated maximum and minimum values. Two solutions are obtained at every step corresponding to the maximum and minimum values. The true solution is assumed to lie in about the centre of the range. The range here is the difference between the solutions corresponding to the maximum and minimum values.
3. Significant digit arithmetic method: In this method, the digits lost due to the subtraction of two nearly equal machine numbers are tracked. Only the significant digits in a number are kept and the rest are rejected or ignored. In this way, all digits retained or kept are assumed to be significant. The results obtained with this method are considered to be very conservative.
4. Statistical approach: This method starts with the assumption that the round-off error is independent. A stochastic model for the propagation of round-off errors is then adapted in which the local errors are considered as random variables. The local round-off errors are assumed to be either uniformly or normally distributed between their extreme values. Using standard statistical analysis methods, the standard deviation, the variance and the accumulated round-off error are estimated.
5. Backward error analysis: In this method, based on the result of a computation the possible range of input data that could have produced it is determined. If the results found with this approach is consistent with the input data, within the range of observational or round-off error, then there is some confidence is placed on the result. If this does not happen, then a major source of error is assumed to exist somewhere else, presumably within the algorithm itself.
6. Forward error analysis: The method can be illustrated by means of an example.

Suppose the value of $A(B+C)$ is to be computed when $a, b$ and $c$ are the approximations to $A, B$ and $C$ respectively, and the respective error amounts are $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$.
The true value is

$$
A(B+C)=\left(a+e_{1}\right)\left(b+e_{2}+c+e_{3}\right)=a b+a c+\text { error }
$$

where error $=a\left(e_{2}+e_{3}\right)+b e_{1}+c e_{1}+e_{1} e_{2}+e_{1} e_{3}$
Now assuming the uniform bound $\left|e_{i}\right| \leqq e$ and that error products can be ignored, we get

$$
|\operatorname{error}| \leq[2|a|+|b|+|c|] e
$$

This procedure can be carried out for any algorithm. It is a tedious analysis. The resulting bounds are generally very conservative.

### 1.5 GENERAL ERROR FORMULA

### 1.5.1 Function Approximation

Consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are variables.
Suppose $\Delta x_{i}$ represents error in each $x_{i}$, so that the error in $F$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F+\Delta F=f\left(x_{1}+\Delta x_{1}, x_{2}+\Delta x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}+\Delta x_{n}\right) \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taylor's series expansion of the right hand side of Eq. (1.31) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F+\Delta F=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \Delta x_{i}+O\left(\Delta x_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume the errors in $x_{i}$ as small, and $\frac{\Delta x_{i}}{x_{i}} \ll 1$, so that the second and higher powers of $\Delta x_{i}$ can be ignored, Eq. (1.33) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta F=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \Delta x_{i}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \Delta x_{1}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \Delta x_{2}+\cdots+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} \Delta x_{n} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative error $E_{r}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r}=\frac{\Delta f}{f}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{f}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial x_{2}}{f}+\cdots+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} \frac{\partial x_{n}}{f} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing the function $f(h)$ with its approximation $\phi(h)$ and denoting the known error bound as $\mu\left(h^{n}\right)$, where $n$ is a positive integer, we have

$$
|f(h)-f(h)| \leq \mu\left|h^{n}\right| \text { for small } h
$$

Thus, $\phi(h)$ approximates $f(h)$ with order of approximation $O\left(h^{n}\right)$ and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(h)=\phi(h)+O\left(h^{n}\right) \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example E1.15

Determine the maximum relative error for the function

$$
F=3 x^{2} y^{2}+5 y^{2} z^{2}-7 x^{2} z^{2}+38
$$

For $x=y=z=1$ and $\Delta x=-0.05, \Delta y=0.001$ and $\Delta z=0.02$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =3 x^{2} y^{2}+5 y^{2} z^{2}-7 x^{2} z^{2}+38 \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} & =6 x y^{2}-14 x z^{2} \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} & =6 x^{2} y+10 y z^{2} \\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} & =10 y^{2} z-14 x^{2} z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta \mathrm{F})_{\max } & =\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \Delta x\right|+\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \Delta y\right|+\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \Delta z\right| \\
& =\left|\left(6 x y^{2}-14 x z^{2}\right) \Delta x\right|+\left|\left(6 x^{2} y+10 y z^{2}\right) \Delta y\right|+\left|\left(10 y^{2} z-14 x^{2} z\right) \Delta z\right|=0.496
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x=y=z=1$ and $\Delta x=-0.05, \Delta y=0.001$ and $\Delta z=0.02$, we have the maximum relative error is given by Eq. (1.34).

$$
\left(E_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{(\Delta F)_{\max }}{F}=\frac{0.496}{39}=0.01272
$$

### 1.5.2 Stability and Condition

A numerical computation is said to be numerically unstable if the uncertainty of the input values is grossly magnified by numerical method employed.

Consider the first-order Taylor's series of a function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f(a)+f^{\prime}(a)(x-a) \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative error of $f(x)$ then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{f(x)} \cong \frac{f^{\prime}(a)(x-a)}{f(a)} \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative error of $x$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x-a}{a} \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

A condition number is often defined as the ratio of the relative errors given by Eqs. (1.38) and (1.39) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Condition number }=\frac{a f^{\prime}(a)}{f(a)} \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition number given by Eq. (1.40) indicates the extent to which an uncertainty in $x$ is magnified by $f(x)$.

> Condition number $=1$ (function's relative error = relative error in $x$ )
> Condition number $>1$ (relative error is amplified)
> Condition number $<1$ (relative error is attenuated)
> Condition number $>$ very large number (the function is ill-conditioned)

## Example E1.16

Compute and interpret the condition number for
(a) $f(x)=\sin x$ for $a=0.51 \pi$
(b) $f(x)=\tan x$ for $a=1.7$

## Solution:

(a) The condition number is given by

$$
\text { Condition number }=\frac{a f^{\prime}(a)}{f(a)}
$$

for $\quad a=0.51 \pi, f^{\prime}(a)=\cos (0.51 \pi)=-0.03141, f(a)=\sin (0.51 \pi)=0.99951$
Condition number $=\frac{a f^{\prime}(a)}{f(a)}=\frac{(0.51 \pi)(-0.03141)}{(0.99951)}=-0.05035$
Since the condition number is $<1$, from Eq. (1.41), we conclude that the relative error is attenuated.
(b) $f(x)=\tan x, f^{\prime}(a)=-7.6966$ for $a=1.7$
$f^{\prime}(x)=1 / \cos ^{2} x, \quad f^{\prime}(a)=1 / \cos ^{2}(1.7)=60.2377$
Condition number $=\frac{a f^{\prime}(a)}{f(a)}=\frac{1.7(60.2377)}{-7.6966}=-13.305$
Thus, the function is ill-conditioned.

### 1.5.3 Uncertainty in Data or Noise

Uncertainty or error in the physical data based on which the computation model is based can introduce errors in the analysis. This type of error is known as noise. The data can affect the accuracy of the numerical computations performed. The errors can exhibit both inaccuracy and imprecision. If the input data has $d$ significant digits of accuracy, then the results obtained from the numerical computation should be reported in $d$ significant digits of accuracy. For instance if $a=5.358$ and $b=0.06437$ both have 4 significant digits of accuracy, then although $a-b=5.29363$, we should report the correct answer as $a-b=5.293$.
The following observations can be made from the results in Tables 1.6 and 1.7.
(a) Negligible addition: Round-off error has crept into the fourth significant digit when we compare $a-c$ (rounded) to $A-C$.
There is a difference in the fourth significant digit when $b+d$ (rounded) are compared to $B+D$.
(b) Error magnification: Comparing $a / c$ (rounded) to $A / C$ we find a difference in the fourth significant digit when $b d$ (rounded) and $B D$ are compared, the two answers differ substantially by -40000 .
(c) Creeping round-off: In the calculations of $a-c, b d, a / c$ and $b+d$ we find the result of working in four significant digits as opposed to working "exactly" and then rounding would lead to a loss of precision in the fourth significant digit. These calculations show the creeping round-off that is the gradual loss of precision as repeated rounding errors accumulate.
(d) Subtractive calculations: Comparing $a-b=-0.1808$ to $A-B=-0.1$, we find significant error introduced by working in fixed-precision arithmetic.

### 1.6 SEQUENCES

A sequence may converge to a limit in a linear fashion or in a nonlinear fashion. If the sequence is convergent, then an iterative operation produces a sequence of better and better approximate solutions.

### 1.6.1 Linear Convergence

Here, we consider a sequence $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ generated by the iteration $x_{k+1}=g\left(x_{k}\right)$. Table 1.8 lists $k, x_{k}, \Delta x_{k}$ ( $=x_{k+1}-x_{k}$ ) and $\Delta x_{k+1} / \Delta x_{k}$ for $g(x)=1+x / 2$ where the starting value is taken as 0.85 .

Table 1.8: Linear convergence of the iteration process for $x_{k+1}=1+\frac{x_{k}}{2}$

| k | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\frac{\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}}{\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.850000000 | 0.575 | $1 / 2$ |
| 1 | 1.425000000 | 0.2875 | $1 / 2$ |
| 2 | 1.712500000 | 0.14375 | $1 / 2$ |
| 3 | 1.856250000 | 0.071875 | $1 / 2$ |
| 4 | 1.928125000 | 0.0359375 | $1 / 2$ |
| 5 | 1.964062500 | 0.01796875 | $1 / 2$ |
| 6 | 1.982031250 | 0.008984375 | $1 / 2$ |
| 7 | 1.991015625 | 0.004492188 | $1 / 2$ |
| 8 | 1.995507813 | 0.002246094 | $1 / 2$ |
| 9 | 1.997753906 | 0.001123047 | $1 / 2$ |
| 10 | 1.998876953 | 0.000561523 | $1 / 2$ |
| 11 | 1.999438477 | 0.000280762 | $1 / 2$ |
| 12 | 1.999719238 | 0.000140381 | $1 / 2$ |

Notice that the ratios of successive increments in the last column of Table 1.8 are all exactly equal to $1 / 2$ and the convergence of the sequence to $x=2$ is linear. We call this sequence exactly linear since $\Delta x_{k+1}$ $=\mathrm{c}_{\ell} \Delta x_{k}$ for all $k>0$. Here $c_{\ell}=1 / 2$.

The sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is said to converge linearly provided the ratio of increments $\Delta x_{k+1} / \Delta x_{k}$ tends to a constant $c_{\ell}$, where $0<\left|c_{\ell}\right|<1$.

## Linear Convergence Theorem

(a) $X=g(X)$, so $x=X$ is a fixed point of the iteration $x_{k+1}=g\left(x_{k}\right)$.
(b) $g^{\prime}(x)$ is continuous in a neighbourhood of the fixed point $X$.
(c) $g^{\prime}(X) \neq 0$.

Therefore,
(a) $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges to $X$ linearly, with $C_{\ell}=g^{\prime}(X)$ if $0<\left|g^{\prime}(X)\right|<1$.
(b) $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ diverges linearly, with $C_{\ell}=g^{\prime}(X)$ if $\left|g^{\prime}(X)\right|>1$.
(c) $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges or diverges slowly if $g^{\prime}(X)=\neq 1$.

If $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{1}=r$, the general term in a sequence that converges exactly linearly with convergence constant $C$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}=r \sum_{n=0}^{k-2} C^{n}=r \frac{C^{k-1}-1}{C-1} \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The increments are then given by $\Delta s_{k}=s_{k+1}-s_{k}=r C^{k}$. Appropriate conditions on $C$ and $r$ would then guarantee convergence.

### 1.6.2 Quadratic Convergence

Consider a sequence $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ generated by the iteration

$$
x_{k+1}=g\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

where $\quad g(x)=\frac{2.15 x^{2}+2.87}{3.96 x+1.2}$
a function with fixed points.
Table 1.9 lists $k, x_{k}, \Delta x_{k}\left[=\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)\right]$, and $\Delta x_{k+1} /\left(\Delta x_{k}\right)^{2}$ for which the starting value is $x_{0}=2$. We observe that the sequence converges very rapidly to the point $x=1$. The last column of Table 1.9 shows that the ratios $\Delta x_{k+1} /\left(\Delta x_{k}\right)^{2}$ are tending towards the constant $C_{q}=-0.4$. This confirms the quadratic convergence of the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ to the point $x=1$.

The sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is said to converge quadratically provided the ratio of increments $\Delta x_{k+1} /\left(\Delta x_{k}\right)^{2}$ tends to a constant $C_{q} \neq 0, \neq \infty$. If $\Delta x_{k+1}=C_{q}\left(\Delta x_{k}\right)^{2}$ for all $k>0$, then the sequence is said to be exactly quadratically convergent.

Table 1.9: Quadratic convergence of the iteration process for $x_{k+1}=\frac{2.15 x^{2}+2.87}{3.96 x+1.2}$

| k | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1} /\left(\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.000000000 | -0.7423245614 | -0.4276521490 |
| 1 | 1.257675439 | -0.2356559011 | -0.3930757235 |
| 2 | 1.022019537 | -0.0218289508 | -0.3999390216 |
| 3 | 1.000190587 | -0.0001905722 | -0.3999999952 |
| 4 | 1.000000015 | -0.0000000145 |  |
| 5 | 1.000000000 |  |  |

Table 1.10: The structure of a sequence which is exactly quadratically convergent

| k | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}+1} /\left(\Delta \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | r | C |
| 1 | r | $\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ | C |
| 2 | $\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{r}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{4} \mathrm{C}^{3}$ | C |
| 3 | $\mathrm{r}^{4} \mathrm{C}^{3}+\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{r}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7}$ | C |
| 4 | $\mathrm{r}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7}+\mathrm{r}^{4} \mathrm{C}^{3}+\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{r}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{16} \mathrm{C}^{15}$ | C |
| 5 | $\mathrm{r}^{16} \mathrm{C}^{15}+\mathrm{r}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7}+\mathrm{r}^{4} \mathrm{C}^{3}+\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{r}$ | $\mathrm{r}^{32} \mathrm{C}^{31}$ |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{r}^{32} \mathrm{C}^{31}+\mathrm{r}^{16} \mathrm{C}^{15}+\mathrm{r}^{8} \mathrm{C}^{7}+\mathrm{r}^{4} \mathrm{C}^{3}+\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{r}$ |  |  |

Quadratic Convergence Theorem
(a) $X=g(X)$, so $x=X$ is a fixed point of the iteration $x_{k+1}=g\left(x_{k}\right)$.
(b) $g^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is continuous in a neighbourhood of the fixed point $X$.
(c) $g^{\prime}(X)=0$.

That is, $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges to $X$ quadratically, with $C_{q}=-\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime \prime}(X)$.
Table 1.10 lists $\Delta s_{k}, \Delta s_{k}=s_{k+1}-s_{k}$, and the ratios $\Delta s_{k+1} /\left(\Delta s_{k}\right)^{2}$ for a sequence whose convergence is exactly quadratic, with convergence constant $C$, and with starting values $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{1}=r$.

### 1.6.3 Aitken's Acceleration Formula

Quadratic convergence or any convergence of order higher than 2 is faster than the linear convergence. Aitken's acceleration process is used to accelerate a linearly converging sequence to a quadratically converging sequence. Aitken's process will give better results in an iterative numerical technique with fewer number of iterative operations.

Let $x$ be the limit of the sequence. That is,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x
$$

If $\left\{x_{k}\right\}, k=1$ to $\infty$, is a linearly convergent sequence with limit $x$, and $e_{n}=x_{k}-x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|e_{k+1}\right|}{\left|e_{k}\right|^{\alpha}}=\lambda \quad \text { and } \quad 0<\lambda<1 \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \propto$ is the order of convergence and $\lambda$ is the asymptotic error constant. If $\alpha=1$, convergence is linear and if $\alpha=2$, convergence is quadratic.
Aitken's process assumes that the limiting case in Eq. (1.46) occurs for all $k \geq 1$. That is,

$$
e_{k+1}=\lambda e_{k}
$$

Therefore, we can write
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{k+2}=e_{k+2}+x=e_{k+1}+x  \tag{1.47}\\
& x_{k+2}=\lambda\left(x_{k+1}-x\right)+x \text { for all } k \geq 1 \tag{1.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Reducing the subscript by 1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}=\lambda\left(x_{k}-x\right)+x \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\lambda$ between $x_{k+1}$ and $x_{k+2}$ from Eqs. (1.48) and (1.49), we obtain
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{x_{k+2} x_{k}-x_{k+1}^{2}}{x_{k+2}-2 x_{k+1}+x_{k}}=\frac{x_{k}^{2}+x_{k} x_{k+2}-2 x_{k} x_{k+1}+2 x_{k} x_{k+1}-x_{k}^{2}-x_{k+1}^{2}}{x_{k+2}-2 x_{k+1}+x_{k}} \\
& x=x_{k}-\frac{\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)^{2}}{x_{k+2}-2 x_{k+1}+x_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ defined by

$$
x_{k}=x_{k}-\frac{\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)^{2}}{x_{k+2}-2 x_{k+1}+x_{k}}
$$

converges more rapidly to $x$ than the original sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ for $n=1$ to $\infty$.

## Example E1.17

The sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}, n=1$ to $\infty$, where $x_{k}=3 x^{4}-2 x^{3}-2 x^{2}+2.8$ converges linearly to $x=1$ with $s_{0}=0.75$. Using Aitken's acceleration formula, obtain another sequence, which converges faster to $x=2$.

## Solution:

The results obtained using both linear convergence algorithm and Aitken's acceleration formula are shown in Table E1.17.

Table E1.17: Results obtained from linear convergence and Aitken's process

| k | Linear convergence |  |  | Aitken's process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |  | $\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\frac{\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}}{\Delta \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}-\frac{\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+2}-2 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.750000000 | 1.03046875 |  | 1.903320561 |
| 1 | 1.780468750 | 0.109765625 | $1 / 2$ | 2.000000000 |
| 2 | 1.890234375 | 0.054882813 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 3 | 1.945117188 | 0.027441406 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 4 | 1.972558594 | 0.013720703 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 5 | 1.986279997 | 0.006860352 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 6 | 1.993139648 | 0.003430176 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 7 | 1.996569824 | 0.001715088 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 8 | 1.998284912 | 0.000857544 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 9 | 1.999142456 | 0.000428772 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 10 | 1.999571228 | 0.000214386 | $1 / 2$ |  |
| 11 | 1.999785614 | 0.000107193 | $1 / 2$ |  |

### 1.7 SUMMARY

In this chapter we described the Taylor's theorem, number representation including binary, decimal and hexadecimal numbers. We have defined absolute and relative errors, inherent errors, round-off errors, truncation errors, machine epsilon and error propagation. Methods for the estimation were briefly outlined. General error formulae for approximating a function, stability and condition, uncertainty in data, linear convergence, quadratic convergence and Aitken's acceleration formulae were presented.

## Problems

1.1 Determine the following hyperbolic trigonometric functions to $O(0.9)^{4}$.
(a) $\sinh (0.9)$
(b) $\cosh (0.9)$
1.2 Determine when $f(x)=0$, given that $f(1.7)=-1.7781$ and $f^{\prime}(1.7)=4.3257$.
1.3 Determine $f(1.2)$, given the first order differential equation

$$
\frac{d f}{d x}=2 x \quad \text { with } f(1)=1
$$

1.4 (a) Convert (327) $)_{10}$ to binary.
(b) Convert ( 0.3125$)_{10}$ to binary.
1.5 Represent the number 50824.6135 in the decimal system (base-10).
1.6 Find the binary and hexadecimal values of the following numbers,
(a) 329
(b) 203
1.7 Convert (75) $)_{10}$ to base-2.
1.8 Perform the following operations:
(a) $(2)_{8}+(5)_{8}$
(b) convert $(75)_{10}$ to base-8
(c) convert $(13)_{8}$ to base-10.
1.9 Convert (4D3) ${ }_{16}$ to base-10.
1.10 Convert (1001011) $)_{2}$ to base-8.
1.11 Show that the relative error $E_{r x y}$ of the product where $x=x_{e}+\Delta x$ and $y=y_{e}+\Delta y$ is $E_{r x y}=E_{r x}+$ $E_{r y}$. Assume $\left|E_{r x}\right| \ll 1$ and $\left|E_{r y}\right| \ll 1$.
1.12 Show that the relative error $E_{r x y}$ of the quotient where $x=x_{e}+\Delta x$ and $y=y_{e}+\Delta y$ is $E_{r x y}=E_{r x}-E_{r y}$. Assume $\left|E_{r x}\right| \ll 1$ and $\left|E_{r y}\right| \ll 1$.
1.13 Determine the absolute and relative errors involved if $x=2 / 3$ is represented in normalised decimal form with 6 digits by
(a) round-off
(b) truncation.
1.14 Given that 5 digit chopping is used for arithmetic calculations involving $x$ and $y$ where $x=1 / 3$ and $y=5 / 7$. Determine the absolute and relative errors involved.
1.15 If $x=3.536$, determine the absolute error and relative error when
(a) $x$ is rounded
(b) $x$ is truncated to two decimal digits.
1.16 If the number $x=57.46235$ is rounded off to four significant figures, find the absolute error, relative error and the percentage relative error.
1.17 If the approximate value of $\pi\left(=\frac{22}{7}\right)$ is 3.14 , determine the absolute error, relative error and relative percentage error.
1.18 Determine the true error and true percentage relative error for each case.
(a) If the measured length of a track is approximated by 9999 cm and the true value is $10,000 \mathrm{~cm}$
(b) If the measured length of a track is approximated by 9 cm and the true value is 10 cm .
1.19 The exponential function $e^{x}$ can be computed using the Maclaurin series expansion as

$$
e^{x}=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\cdots+\frac{x^{n}}{n!}
$$

Include six terms in the series and compute the percentage relative error and approximate estimate of the error for each term when estimating $e^{0.5}$.
1.20 Find the relative maximum error in $F$ which $F=\frac{5 x^{2} y}{z^{3}}$. Given $\Delta x=\Delta y=\Delta z=0.001$, where $\Delta x, \Delta y$ and $\Delta z$ denote the errors in $x, y$ and $z$ respectively such that $x=y=z=1$.
1.21 Consider the trigonometric function $f(x)=\cos x$
(a) find the Taylor series expansion of $f(x)$ about 0 .
(b) assuming the Taylor series is truncated to $n=6$ terms. Determine the relative error at $x=\pi / 4$ due to truncation. Express it as a percentage.
(c) determine an upper bound on the magnitude of the relative error at $x=\pi / 4$ expressed as a percentage.
1.22 Suppose $f(x)=e^{-x}$ is to be expanded about the point $x=1$ and truncated to $n=6$ terms.

$$
e^{-x}=1-x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\frac{x^{3}}{6}+\frac{x^{4}}{24}-\frac{x^{5}}{120}
$$

Determine the upper bound on the magnitude of the absolute error due to truncation.
1.23 Determine the upper bound on the error for the function

$$
f(x)=(x+1)^{1 / 2}
$$

using a polynomial approximation with third-order Taylor series (computed about $x_{0}=0$ ) for all $x \in[0,1]$.
1.24 Consider the power series expansion for $e^{x}$ given by

$$
e^{x}=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\cdots+\frac{x^{n-1}-1}{(n-1)!}+\frac{x^{n}}{n!} e^{\xi}, 0<\xi<x
$$

Determine the number of terms, $n$ such that their sum gives the value of $e^{x}$ correct to 8 decimal places at $x=1$.
1.25 Use Taylor's series expansion with $n=0$ to 6 to approximate $f(x)=\cos x$ at $x_{i+1}=\pi / 3$ on the premise that the value of $f(x)$ and its derivatives at $x_{i}=\pi / 4$. Assume $h=\pi / 3-\pi / 4=\pi / 12$.
1.26 Compute and interpret the condition number for
(a) $f(x)=\tan x$ for $a=\frac{\pi}{2}+0.1\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
(b) $f(x)=\tan x$ for $a=\frac{\pi}{2}+0.01\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
1.27 Evaluate and interpret the condition numbers for
(a) $f(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}-x$ for $x=200$
(b) $f(x)=\frac{e^{x}+1}{x} \quad$ for $x=0.01$
(c) $f(x)=\frac{\cos x}{1+\sin x} \quad$ for $x=0.001 \pi$
(d) $f(x)=e^{-2 x}$ for $x=5$
1.28 Verify whether the iteration $x_{k+1}=g\left(x_{k}\right)$ starting from the given $x_{0}$ converges linearly for the following functions $g(x)$.
(a) $7 x^{3}+x^{2}-7 x-8, x_{0}=-0.75$
(b) $-3 x^{3}+5 x^{2}-4 x+1.5, x_{0}=0.9$
(c) $4 x^{4}+5 x^{3}-2 x^{2}-3 x-2, x_{0}=-0.5$
(d) $3 x^{3}+x^{2}-5 x+3, x_{0}=0.5$
(e) $\quad-4 x^{3}-8 x^{2}-3 x+2, x_{0}=-1.25$
1.29 Show that the iteration $x_{k+1}=g\left(x_{k}\right)$ starting from the given $x_{0}$ will not converges quadratically for the following functions $g(x)$.
(a) $g(x)=\frac{2 x^{3}+3.5 x^{2}-6}{3 x^{2}+5 x-3}, x_{0}=-4$
(b) $g(x)=\frac{8 x^{3}+4.5 x^{2}-3}{12 x^{2}+8 x+1.5}, x_{0}=-1$
(c) $g(x)=\frac{6 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+1.5}{x(9 x+11)}, x_{0}=-2$
(d) $g(x)=\frac{3 x^{4}+4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+1}{4 x^{3}+5 x^{2}+10 x-1}, x_{0}=-1$
(e) $g(x)=\frac{3 x^{4}+9 x^{3}+3 x^{2}+5}{4 x^{3}+14 x^{2}+7 x-2}, x_{0}=-4$
1.30 The sequence $\left\{s_{k}\right\}, n=1$ to $\infty$, where $s_{k}=n \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)$, converges linearly to $s=1$. Using Aitken's acceleration formula, obtain another sequence, which converges faster to $s=1$.
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## Linear System of Equations

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

In this chapter we present the solution of $n$ linear simultaneous algebraic equations in $n$ unknowns. Linear systems of equations are associated with many problems in engineering and science, as well as with applications of mathematics to the social sciences and quantitative study of business and economic problems. A system of algebraic equations has the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{1 n} x_{n}=b_{1} \\
a_{12} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{2 n} x_{n}=b_{2} \\
\vdots  \tag{2.1}\\
\vdots
\end{gather*} \vdots \vdots+a_{n n} x_{n}=b_{n}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{i j}$ and the constants $b_{j}$ are known and $x_{i}$ represents the unknowns. In matrix notation, the equations are written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n}  \tag{2.1a}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

or simply $\quad A x=b$
A system of linear equations in $n$ unknowns has a unique solution, provided that the determinant of the coefficient matrix is non-singular i.e., if $|A| \neq 0$. The rows and columns of a non-singular matrix are linearly independent in the sense that no row (or column) is a linear combination of the other rows (or columns):

If the coefficient matrix is singular, the equations may have infinite number of solutions, or no solutions at all, depending on the constant vector.

Linear algebraic equations occur in almost all branches of engineering. Their most important application in engineering is in the analysis of linear systems (any system whose response is proportional to the input
is deemed to be linear). Linear systems include structures, elastic solids, heat flow, seepage of fluids, electromagnetic fields and electric circuits i.e., most topics taught in an engineering curriculum. If the system is discrete, such as a truss or an electric circuit, then its analysis leads directly to linear algebraic equations.

Summarising, the modelling of linear systems invariably gives rise to equations of the form $A x=b$, where $b$ is the input and $x$ represents the response of the system. The coefficient matrix $A$, which reflects the characteristics of the system, is independent of the input. In other words, if the input is changed, the equations have to be solved again with a different $b$, but the same $A$. Hence, it is desirable to have an equation solving algorithm that can handle any number of constant vectors with minimal computational effort.

### 2.2 METHODS OF SOLUTION

There are two classes of methods for solving system of linear, algebraic equations: direct and iterative methods. The common characteristics of direct methods are that they transform the original equation into equivalent equations (equations that have the same solution) that can be solved more easily. The transformation is carried out by applying certain operations.

The solution does not contain any truncation errors but the round off errors is introduced due to floating point operations.

Iterative or indirect methods, start with a guess of the solution $\mathbf{x}$, and then repeatedly refine the solution until a certain convergence criterion is reached. Iterative methods are generally less efficient than direct methods due to the large number of operations or iterations required.

Iterative procedures are self-correcting, meaning that round off errors (or even arithmetic mistakes) in one iteration cycle are corrected in subsequent cycles. The solution contains truncation error. A serious drawback of iterative methods is that they do not always converge to the solution. The initial guess affects only the number of iterations that are required for convergence. The indirect solution technique (iterative) is more useful to solve a set of ill-conditioned equations.

In this chapter, we will present six direct methods and two indirect (iterative) methods.

## Direct Methods:

1. Matrix Inverse Method
2. Gauss Elimination Method
3. Gauss-Jordan Method
4. Cholesky's Triangularisation Method
5. Crout's Method
6. Thomas Algorithm for Tridiagonal System

## Indirect or Iterative Methods:

1. Jacobi's Iteration Method
2. Gauss-Seidal Iteration Method

### 2.3 THE INVERSE OF A MATRIX

If $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are $m \times n$ matrices such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A B}=\mathbf{B A}=\mathbf{I} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathbf{B}$ is said to be the inverse of $\mathbf{A}$ and is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find the inverse $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$, provided the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is given, let us consider the product,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{A} \operatorname{adj} \mathbf{A} & =\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\hdashline a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\left|M_{11}\right| & -\left|M_{21}\right| & \cdots & (-1)^{1+n}\left|M_{n 1}\right| \\
-\left|M_{12}\right| & \left|M_{22}\right| & \cdots & (-1)^{2+n}\left|M_{n 2}\right| \\
(-1)^{1+n}\left|M_{1 n}\right| & (-1)^{2+n}\left|M_{2 n}\right| & \cdots & \left|M_{n n}\right|
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+j} a_{k j}\left|M_{i j}\right|\right. \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

An element of the matrix on the right side of Eq. (2.3) has the value

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+j} a_{k j}\left|M_{i j}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n}  \tag{2.4}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\hdashline a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right|=|a| \text { if } i=k
$$

If $i \neq k$ the determinant possesses two identical rows, since the determinant corresponding to $i \neq k$ is obtained from the matrix $[a]$ by replacing the $i^{\text {th }}$ row by the $k^{\text {th }}$ row and keeping the $k^{\text {th }}$ row intact. Therefore, if $i \neq k$ the value of the element is zero.

Equation (2.3) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A} \operatorname{Adj} \mathbf{A}=|\mathbf{A}| \mathbf{I} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Premultiplying Eq. (2.5) throughout by $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ and dividing the result by $|\mathbf{A}|$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\frac{\operatorname{adj} \mathbf{A}}{\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the inverse of a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is obtained by dividing its adjoint matrix by its determinant $|\mathbf{A}|$.
If det $\mathbf{A}$ is equal to zero, then the elements of $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}}$ approach infinity (or are indeterminant at best), in which case the inverse $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ is said not to exist, and the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is said to be singular. The inverse of a matrix exists only if determinant is not zero, that is, the matrix must be non-singular.

There is no direct division of matrices. The operation of division is performed by inversion; if
then

$$
\mathbf{A B}=\mathbf{C}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ is called the inverse of matrix $\mathbf{A}$.
The requirements for obtaining a unique inverse of a matrix are:

1. The matrix is a square matrix
2. The determinant of the matrix is not zero (the matrix is non-singular)

The inverse of a matrix is also defined by the relationship:
$\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I}$
The following are the properties of an inverted matrix:

1. The inverse of a matrix is unique.
2. The inverse of the product of two matrices is equal to the product of the inverse of the two matrices in reverse order:

$$
(\mathbf{A B})^{-1}=\mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{-1}
$$

3. The inverse of a triangular matrix is itself a triangular matrix of the same type.
4. The inverse of a symmetrical matrix is itself a symmetrical matrix.
5. The negative powers of a non-singular matrix are obtained by raising the inverse of the matrix to positive powers.
6. The inverse of the transpose of $\mathbf{A}$ is equal to the transpose of the inverse of $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$
\left(A^{T}\right)^{-1}=\left(A^{-1}\right)^{T}
$$

## Example E2.1

Find the inverse of the matrix $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3 \\ 5 & 1\end{array}\right]$

## Solution:

If

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3 \\
5 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{adj} \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -3 \\
-5 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\quad \operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}=2 \times 1-5 \times 3=-13$
Hence $\quad \mathbf{A}^{-1}=-\frac{1}{13}\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & -3 \\ -5 & 2\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-\frac{1}{13} & \frac{3}{13} \\ \frac{5}{13} & -\frac{2}{13}\end{array}\right]$

### 2.4 MATRIX INVERSION METHOD

Consider a set of three simultaneous linear algebraic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=b_{2} \\
& a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=b_{3} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.7) can be expressed in the matrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Premultiplying by the inverse $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, we obtain the solution of $\mathbf{x}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is non-singular, that is, if $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})$ is not equal to zero, then Eq. (2.9) has a unique solution.

The solution for $x_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =\frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
b_{1} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
b_{2} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
b_{3} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}\left\{b_{1}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right|-b_{2}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right|+b_{3}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{22} & a_{23}
\end{array}\right|\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}\left\{b_{1} C_{11}+b_{2} C_{21}+b_{3} C_{31}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the determinant of the coefficient matrix $\mathbf{A}$, and $C_{11}, C_{21}$ and $C_{31}$ are the cofactors of $\mathbf{A}$ corresponding to element 11,21 and 31 . We can also write similar expressions for $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ by replacing the second and third columns by the $y$ column respectively. Hence, the complete solution can be written in matrix form as follows:
or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}  \tag{2.10}\\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
C_{11} & C_{21} & C_{31} \\
C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{32} \\
C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\{x\}=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}\left[C_{j i}\right]\{b\}=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|}[\operatorname{adj} \mathbf{A}]\{b\}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{A}|} \text { adj } \mathbf{A} \text { and } \operatorname{Adj} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{-\mathbf{1}} \text { abs }[\mathbf{A}] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although this method is quite general but it is not quite suitable for large systems because evaluation of $A^{-1}$ by co-factors becomes very cumbersome.

## Example E2.2

Obtain the solution of the following linear simultaneous equations by the matrix inversion method.
(a)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 3 \\
4 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{r}
5 \\
12
\end{array}\right]
$$

(b)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 3 \\
4 & 2 & -1 \\
1 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
5 \\
0 \\
5
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Solution:

(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 3 \\
4 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
5 \\
12
\end{array}\right] \\
C_{11}=(-1)^{1+1}|-1| & =-1 \\
C_{12}=(-1)^{1+2}|4| & =-4 \\
C_{21}=(-1)^{1+}|3| & =-3 \\
C_{22}=(-1)^{2+2}|1| & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C} & =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & -4 \\
-3 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{T}} & =\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & -3 \\
-4 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\frac{\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{T}}}{|\mathbf{A}|}=\frac{-1}{13}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & -3 \\
-4 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $\quad\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2}\end{array}\right]=\frac{-1}{13}\left[\begin{array}{rr}-1 & -3 \\ -4 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}5 \\ 12\end{array}\right]=\frac{-1}{13}\left[\begin{array}{rr}-5 & -36 \\ -20 & +12\end{array}\right]=\frac{-1}{13}\left[\begin{array}{c}-41 \\ -8\end{array}\right]$
Therefore, $\quad x_{1}=\frac{-41}{-13}=3.15$
and $\quad x_{2}=\frac{-8}{-13}=0.62$
(b)

$$
|\mathrm{A}|=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & -1 & 3 \\
4 & 2 & -1 \\
1 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right|=40
$$

The matrix of cofactors is given by

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
5 & -5 & 10 \\
10 & -2 & -4 \\
-5 & 13 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

The transpose of $\mathbf{C}$ is the adjoint of $\mathbf{A}$ or

$$
\mathbf{A d j} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{T}}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
5 & 10 & -5 \\
-5 & -2 & 13 \\
10 & -4 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\mathbf{A d j} \mathbf{A} /|A|=\frac{1}{40}\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
5 & 10 & -5 \\
-5 & -2 & 13 \\
10 & -4 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore $X=\mathbf{A}^{-1} Y=\frac{1}{40}\left[\begin{array}{rrr}5 & 10 & -5 \\ -5 & -2 & 13 \\ 10 & -4 & 6\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 0 \\ 5\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{40}\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 40 \\ 80\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$
or

$$
x_{1}=0, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=2 .
$$

## Example E2.3

Find the inverse of the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 2 & 0 \\
-2 & 1 & 1 \\
3 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and solve the system of equations $[A]\{x\}=\{b\}$ where $\{b\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 3 \\ 6\end{array}\right\}$.

## Solution:

The determinant of $A=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}2 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right|$

$$
=2[1(1)-0(1)]+2[2(1)-0(0)]+3[2(1)-1(0)]=12
$$

Since $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}=12 \neq 0$, the given matrix is non-singular. The cofactors corresponding to the entries in each row of det A are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
C_{11}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=1 & C_{12}=-\left|\begin{array}{rr}
-2 & 1 \\
3 & 1
\end{array}\right|=5 & C_{13}=\left|\begin{array}{rr}
-2 & 1 \\
3 & 0
\end{array}\right|=-3 \\
C_{21}=-\left|\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=-2 & C_{22}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
3 & 1
\end{array}\right|=2 & C_{23}=-\left|\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2 \\
3 & 0
\end{array}\right|=6 \\
& C_{31}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right|=2 & C_{32}=-\left|\begin{array}{rr}
2 & 0 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right|=-2 \\
\text { Hence } & \mathbf{A}^{-1}=\frac{C^{T}}{|\mathbf{A}|}=\frac{1}{12}\left(\left.\begin{array}{rr}
2 & 2 \\
-2 & 1
\end{array} \right\rvert\,=6\right. \\
-3 & 2 & -2 \\
-3 & 6 & 6
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\
\frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\
-\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad l
$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{I}$.

$$
\text { Therefore }\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\mathbf{A}^{-1}\right] b=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
\frac{1}{12} & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\
\frac{5}{12} & \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\
-\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
3 \\
6
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{6}{12}-\frac{3}{6}+\frac{6}{6} \\
\frac{30}{12}+\frac{3}{6}-\frac{6}{6} \\
\frac{-6}{4}+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{6}{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{6-6+12}{12} \\
\frac{30+6-12}{12} \\
\frac{-6+6+12}{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 2.4.1 Augmented Matrix

A system of linear equations in matrix notation takes the form $\mathrm{Ax}=b$, where A is of order $m \times n, x$ is of order $n \times 1$. The augmented matrix $\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]$ can be obtained by adjoining column $b$ to matrix A . In terms of partitioned matrices we have $\left[\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right]=[A: b]$.

As an example let us consider a set of linear equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+y+z=8 \\
& x+y-z=5 \\
& x-y+z=2
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have,

$$
[A]=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right] ; \quad\{b\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
8 \\
5 \\
2
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left[A_{b}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 8 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 5 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 2.5 GAUSS ELIMINATION METHOD

Consider the following system of linear simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=b_{1}  \tag{2.12}\\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=b_{2}  \tag{2.13}\\
& a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=b_{3} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Gauss elimination is a popular technique for solving simultaneous linear algebraic equations. It reduces the coefficient matrix into an upper triangular matrix through a sequence of operations carried out on the matrix. The vector $b$ is also modified in the process. The solution vector $\{x\}$ is obtained from a backward substitution procedure.

Two linear systems $A x=b$ and $A^{\prime} x=b^{\prime}$ of equations are said to be equivalent if any solution of one is a solution of the other. Also, let $A x=b$ is a linear non-homogeneous system of $n$ equations. Suppose we subject this system to the system of following operations:

1. Multiplication of one equation by a non-zero constant.
2. Addition of a multiple of one equation to another equation.
3. Interchange of two equations.

If the sequence of operations produce the new system $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}=b^{\prime}$, then both the systems $\mathbf{A x}=b$ and $A^{\prime} x=b^{\prime}$ are equivalent. In particular, then $\mathbf{A}$ is invertible if $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ is invertible. In Gauss elimination method, we adopt this and the elimination process is based on this theorem.

In Gauss elimination method, the unknowns are eliminated such that the elimination process leads to an upper triangular system and the unknowns are obtained by back substitution. It is assumed $a_{11} \neq 0$. The method can be described by the following steps:

Step 1: Eliminate $x_{1}$ from the second and third equations.
Using the first equation (2.12), the following operations are performed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2.13)-\left(\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}}\right)(2.12) \text { and }(2.14)-\left(\frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { gives } \quad \begin{align*}
a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3} & =b_{1}  \tag{2.15}\\
a_{22}^{\prime} x_{2}+a_{23}^{\prime} x_{3} & =b_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{2.16}\\
a_{32}^{\prime} x_{2}+a_{33}^{\prime} x_{3} & =b_{3}^{\prime} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.15) is called the pivotal equation and the coefficient $a_{11}$ is the pivot.
Step 2: Eliminate $x_{2}$ from the Eq. (2.17) using Eq. (2.16) by assuming $a_{22}^{\prime} \neq 0$. We perform the following operation:

$$
(2.17)-\left(\frac{a_{32}^{\prime}}{a_{22}^{\prime}}\right)(2.16)
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3} & =b_{1}  \tag{2.18}\\
a_{22}^{\prime} x_{2}+a_{23}^{\prime} x_{3} & =b_{2}^{\prime} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}{ }_{33} x_{3}=b^{\prime \prime}{ }_{3} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here Eq. (2.19) is called the pivotal equation and the coefficient $a_{22}^{\prime}$ is the pivot.
Step 3: To find $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$, we apply back substitution starting from Eq. (2.20) giving $x_{3}$, then $x_{2}$ from Eq. (2.19) and $x_{1}$ from Eq. (2.18).

## Pivoting:

Gauss elimination method fails if any one of the pivots in the above equations (2.12) to (2.20) becomes zero. To overcome this difficulty, the equations are to be rewritten in a slightly different order such that the pivots are not zero.

## Partial pivoting method:

Step 1: The numerically largest coefficient of $x_{1}$ is selected from all the equations are pivot and the corresponding equation becomes the first equation (2.12).

Step 2: The numerically largest coefficient of $x_{2}$ is selected from all the remaining equations as pivot and the corresponding equation becomes the second equation (2.16). This process is repeated till an equation into a simple variable is obtained.

## Complete pivoting method:

In this method, we select at each stage the numerically largest coefficient of the complete matrix of coefficients. This procedure leads to an interchange of the equations as well as interchange of the position of variables.

## Example E2.4

Solve the following equations by Gauss elimination method:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x+4 y-6 z & =-4 \\
x+5 y+3 z & =10 \\
x+3 y+2 z & =5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 x+4 y-6 z & =-4  \tag{E.1}\\
x+5 y+3 z & =10  \tag{E.2}\\
x+3 y+2 z & =5 \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To eliminate $x$ from (E.2) and (E.3) using (E.1):

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
2 x+4 y-6 z= & -4 & \\
x+5 y+3 z= & 10 & 1 \times(-2) \\
x+3 y+2 z= & 5 & 1 \times(-2) \\
2 x+4 y-6 z & =-4 & \\
-2 x-10 y-6 z=-20 & \\
-2 x-6 y-4 z=-10 & \\
2 x+4 y-6 z=-4 & \tag{E.6}
\end{array}
$$

Row $1+$ Row 2: $-6 y-12 z=-24$
Row $1+$ Row 3: $\quad 2 y-10 z=-14 \quad 1 \times(-3)$
To eliminate $y$ from (E.5) using (E.4):

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x+4 y-6 z & =-4 \\
-6 y-12 z & =-24 \\
6 y+30 z & =42 \\
2 x+4 y-6 z & =-4 \\
-6 y-12 z & =-24
\end{aligned}
$$

Row 2 + Row 3: $\quad 18 z=18 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z=1$
Evaluation of the unknowns by back substitution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-6 y-12 z & =-24 & & \\
6 y & =24-12 z & \Rightarrow y=\frac{24-12 \times 1}{6} & \\
2 x+4 y-6 z & =-4 & & y=2 \\
2 x & =-4-4 y+6 z & \Rightarrow x=\frac{-4-4 \times 2+6 \times 1}{2} & \Rightarrow x=-3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E 2.5

Use the method of Gaussian elimination to solve the following system of linear equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4} & =2 \\
4 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4} & =11 \\
x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}+2 x_{4} & =0 \\
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3}-2 x_{4} & =2 \tag{E.1}
\end{align*}
$$

## Solution:

In the first step, eliminate $x_{1}$ terms from second, third and fourth equations of the set of equations (E.1) to obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4} & =2 \\
-3 x_{3}+5 x_{4} & =3 \\
-2 x_{2}-2 x_{3}+3 x_{4} & =-2 \\
-x_{2} & =-2 \tag{E.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Interchanging columns in Eq. (E.2) putting the variables in the order $x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{3}$ and $x_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{1}-x_{4}+x_{3}+x_{2}=2 \\
-5 x_{4}-3 x_{3}=3
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
3 x_{4}-2 x_{3}-2 x_{2} & =-2 \\
-x_{2} & =-2 \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the second step, eliminate $x_{4}$ term in third equation of the set of equations (E.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}-x_{4}+x_{3}+x_{2} & =2 \\
5 x_{4}-3 x_{3} & =3 \\
-1 / 5 x_{3}-2 x_{2} & =-19 / 5 \\
-x_{2} & =-2
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by the process of back substitution, we have

$$
x_{2}=2, x_{3}=-1, x_{4}=0, x_{1}=1
$$

## Example E2.6

Using the Gaussian elimination method, solve the system of equations $[\mathbf{A}]\{x\}=\{b\}$ where

$$
[\mathbf{A}]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\
-1 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and }\{b\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
3 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Solution:

The augmented matrix is

$$
\left[\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{b}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
2 & -1 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

From the augmented matrix, we apply elementary transformations:
Row $2-2 \times$ Row $1\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right]$
which gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & -3 & 1 & -2 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{5}{3} & -1 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{10}{3} & \frac{4}{3} & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
0 & -3 & 1 & -2 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{5}{3} & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -7 & 7
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence, by back substitution the upper triangular matrix, we obtain

$$
x_{4}=-1, x_{3}=1, x_{2}=2, x_{1}=1
$$

### 2.6 GAUSS-JORDAN METHOD

Gauss-Jordan method is an extension of the Gauss elimination method. The set of equations $\mathbf{A x}=b$ is reduced to a diagonal set $I x=b^{\prime}$, where $I$ is a unit matrix. This is equivalent to $x=b^{\prime}$. The solution vector is therefore obtained directly from $b^{\prime}$. The Gauss-Jordan method implements the same series of operations as implemented by Gauss elimination process. The main difference is that it applies these operations below as well as above the diagonal such that all off-diagonal elements of the matrix are reduced to zero. Gauss-Jordan method also provides the inverse of the coefficient matrix A along with the solution vector $\{x\}$. The Gauss-Jordan method is highly used due to its stability and direct procedure. The Gauss-Jordan method requires more computational effort than Gauss elimination process.

Gauss-Jordan method is a modification of Gauss elimination method. The series of operations performed are quite similar to the Gauss elimination method. In the Gauss elimination method, an upper triangular matrix is derived while in the Gauss-Jordan method an identity matrix is derived. Hence, back substitutions are not required.

## Example E2.7

Solve the following equations by Gauss-Jordan method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+3 y+2 z=17 \\
& x+2 y+3 z=16 \\
& 2 x-y+4 z=13
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\text { Consider } \begin{align*}
x+3 y+2 z & =17 & &  \tag{E.1}\\
x+2 y+3 z & =16 & &  \tag{E.2}\\
2 x-y+4 z & =13 & &  \tag{E.3}\\
x+3 y+2 z & =17 & & \\
x+2 y+3 z & =16 & \text { (E.2) }(-2)+(\text { E. } 17)+\text { (E.1) } & \\
2 x-y+4 z & =13 & & \\
x+3 y+2 z & =17 & & \\
y-z & =1 & & \\
7 y & =21 & & \Rightarrow y=3 \\
x+5 y & =19 & & \Rightarrow z=y-1=3-1 \\
y-z & =1 & & \Rightarrow x=19-5 \times 3
\end{align*}
$$

## Example E2.8

Solve the following system of equations using the Gauss-Jordan method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x-2 y & =-4 \\
5 y+z & =-9 \\
4 x-3 z & =-10
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

The augmented matrix is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr:r}
1 & -2 & 0 & -4 \\
0 & -5 & 1 & -9 \\
4 & 0 & -3 & -10
\end{array}\right]
$$

Multiplying $1^{\text {st }}$ row by -4 and adding the result to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ row, we obtain

$$
-4 R_{1}+R_{3} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrr:r}
1 & -2 & 0 & -4 \\
0 & -5 & 1 & -9 \\
0 & 8 & -3 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, multiply the $2^{\text {nd }}$ row by $-1 / 5$

$$
-\frac{1}{5} R_{2} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrr:r}
1 & -2 & 0 & -4 \\
0 & 1 & -1 / 5 & 9 / 5 \\
0 & 8 & -3 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

Multiply the $2^{\text {nd }}$ row and add the result to the $1^{\text {st }}$ row. Then multiply the $2^{\text {nd }}$ row by -8 and add the result to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ row.

$$
2 R_{2}+R_{1} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll:r}
1 & 0 & -2 / 5 & -2 / 5 \\
0 & 1 & -1 / 5 & 9 / 5 \\
0 & 0 & -7 / 5 & -42 / 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

Multiply $3^{\text {rd }}$ row by $-5 / 7$

$$
-\frac{5}{7} R_{3} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{rrr:r}
1 & 0 & -2 / 5 & -2 / 5 \\
0 & 1 & -1 / 5 & 9 / 5 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 6
\end{array}\right]
$$

Multiply $3^{\text {rd }}$ row by $2 / 5$ and add the result to $1^{\text {st }}$ row. Then multiply $3^{\text {rd }}$ row by $1 / 5$ and add the result to $2^{\text {nd }}$ row.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{2}{5} R_{3}+R_{1} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll:l}
1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \\
\frac{1}{5} R_{3}+R_{2} & \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 6
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right]=\begin{array}{ll} 
\\
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the last matrix above represents the system with $x=2, y=3$ and $z=6$.

## Example E2.9

Solve the following set of equations by Gauss-Jordan method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}+x_{2}-3 x_{3} & =11 \\
4 x_{1}-2 x_{2}+3 x_{3} & =8 \\
-2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{3} & =-6
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution:
The augmented matrix for the given set of equations is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
2 & 1 & -3 & 11 \\
4 & -2 & 3 & 8 \\
-2 & 2 & -1 & -6
\end{array}\right]
$$

Step 1 : Divide Row 1 by $2\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{11}{2} \\ 4 & -2 & 3 & 8 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 & -6\end{array}\right]$
Step 2: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Row } 2-4 \times \text { Row } 1 \\ & \text { Row } 3-2 \times \text { Row } 1\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{11}{2} \\ 0 & -4 & 9 & -14 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & 5\end{array}\right]$
Step 3: Divide Row 2 by $-4\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{11}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{9}{4} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & 5\end{array}\right]$
Step 4: Row $1-1 / 2 \times$ Row $2\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{8} & \frac{15}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{9}{4} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 3 & \frac{11}{4} & -\frac{11}{2}\end{array}\right]$
Step 5: Divide Row 3 by $11 / 4\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{8} & \frac{15}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{9}{4} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2\end{array}\right]$
Step 6: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Row } 1+3 / 8 \times \text { Row } 3 \\ & \text { Row } 2+9 / 4 \times \text { Row } 3\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2\end{array}\right]$
Hence the solution is $x_{1}=3, x_{2}=-1, x_{3}=-2$.

## Example E2.10

$$
\text { Solve } \begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+x_{3} & =7 \\
x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{3} & =-1 \\
5 x_{1}+7 x_{2}-4 x_{3} & =9
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (a) Gaussian elimination and (b) Gauss-Jordan elimination.

## Solution:

(a) Using row operations on the augmented matrix of the system,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{rrr|r}
2 & 6 & 1 & 7 \\
1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
5 & 7 & -4 & 9
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{R_{12}}\left(\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
2 & 6 & 1 & 7 \\
5 & 7 & -4 & 9
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{\substack{-2 R_{1}+R_{2} \\
-5 R_{1}+R_{3}}}\left(\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & 3 & 9 \\
0 & -3 & 1 & 14
\end{array}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2} R_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\
0 & -3 & 1 & 14
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{3 R_{2}+R_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{11}{2} & \frac{55}{2}
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{\frac{2}{11} R_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 5
\end{array}\right) \tag{E.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The last matrix is in row-echelon form and represents the system

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{3} & =-1  \tag{E.2}\\
x_{2}+\frac{3}{2} x_{3} & =\frac{9}{2}  \tag{E.3}\\
x_{3} & =5 \tag{E.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $x_{3}=5$ into Eq. (E.3) gives $x_{2}=-3$. Substituting both these values back into Eq. (E.2) finally yields $x_{1}=10$.
(b) We start with the last matrix in Eq. (E.1) above. Since the first entries in the second and third rows are 1 s , we must, in turn, make the remaining entries in the second and third columns 0 s :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 2 & -1 & -1  \tag{E.5}\\
0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{9}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 5
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{-2 R_{2}+R_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{rrr|r}
1 & 0 & -4 & -10 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & \begin{array}{r}
9 \\
0
\end{array} \\
\frac{9}{2} & 1 & 5
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{\substack{4 R_{3}+R_{1} \\
-\frac{3}{2} R_{3}+R_{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{lll|r}
1 & 0 & 0 & 10 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 5
\end{array}\right)
$$

The last matrix in Eq. (E.5) is now in reduced row-echelon form. It is evident that the solution of the system is $x_{1}=10, x_{2}=-3, x_{3}=5$.
LU Decomposition: It is possible to show that any square matrix $\mathbf{A}$ can be expressed as a product of a lower triangular matrix $L$ and an upper triangular matrix $U$.

$$
\mathbf{A}=L U
$$

For instance

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
L_{21} & L_{22} & 0 \\
L_{31} & L_{32} & L_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
U_{11} & U_{12} & U_{13} \\
0 & U_{22} & U_{23} \\
0 & 0 & U_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The process of computing $L$ and $U$ for a given $\mathbf{A}$ is known as $L U$ Decomposition or $L U$ Factorisation. $L U$ decomposition is not unique (the combinations of $L$ and $U$ for a prescribed $\mathbf{A}$ are endless), unless certain constraints are placed on $L$ or $U$. These constraints distinguish one type of decomposition from another. Two commonly used decompositions are given below:

1. Cholesky's decomposition: Constraints are $L=U^{T}$
2. Crout's decomposition: Constrains are $U_{i i}=1, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

After decomposing the matrix $\mathbf{A}$, it is easier to solve the equations $A x=b$.
We can rewrite the equations as

$$
L U x=b
$$

or denoting $U x=y$, the above equation becomes

$$
L y=b
$$

This equation $L y=b$ can be solved for $y$ by forward substitution. Then $U x=y$ will yield $x$ by the backward substitution process. The advantage of $L U$ decomposition method over the Gauss elimination method is that once $\mathbf{A}$ is decomposed, we can solve $\mathbf{A x}=b$ for as many constant vectors $b$ as we please. Also, the forward and backward substitutions operations are much less time consuming than the decomposition process.

### 2.7 CHOLESKY'S TRIANGULARISATION METHOD

Cholesky's decomposition method is faster than the $L U$ decomposition. There is no need for pivoting. If the decomposition fails, the matrix is not positive definite.

Consider the system of linear equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{a}_{11} \mathrm{x}_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3} & =b_{1} \\
a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3} & =b_{2} \\
a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3} & =b_{3} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

The above system can be written as
where

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right], \quad x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right], \quad b=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\quad \mathbf{A}=L U \ldots$

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
l_{21} & 1 & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & u_{22} & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & u_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equation (2.21) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L U X=b \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $\quad U X=V$

Equation (2.24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L V=b \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.26) is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1} & =b_{1} \\
l_{21} v_{1}+v_{2} & =b_{2} \\
l_{31} v_{1}+l_{32} v_{2}+v_{3} & =b_{3} \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

The above system can be solved to find the values of $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ which give us the matrix $V$.

$$
U X=V
$$

then becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{11} x_{1}+u_{12} x_{2}+u_{13} x_{3} & =v_{1} \\
u_{22} x_{2}+u_{23} x_{3} & =v_{2} \\
u_{33} x_{3} & =v_{3} \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be solved for $x_{3}, x_{2}$ and $x_{1}$ by the backward substitution process.
In order to compute the matrices $L$ and $U$, we write Eq. (2.23) as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.29}\\
l_{21} & 1 & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & u_{22} & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & u_{33}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Multiplying the matrices on the left and equating the corresponding elements of both sides, we obtain

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
u_{11}=a_{11}, u_{12}=a_{12}, u_{13}=a_{13} \\
l_{21} u_{11}=a_{21} \Rightarrow l_{21}=\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} \\
l_{31} u_{11}=a_{31} \Rightarrow l_{31}=\frac{a_{31}}{a_{11}}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
l_{21} u_{12}+u_{22}=a_{22} \Rightarrow u_{22}=a_{22}-\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} a_{12} \\
l_{21} u_{13}+u_{23}=a_{23} \Rightarrow u_{23}=a_{23}-\frac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} a_{13}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+u_{33}=a_{33} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $u_{33}$ can be computed from Eq. (2.34).
To obtain the elements of $L$ and $U$, we first find the first row of $U$ and the first column of $L$. Then, we determine the second row of $U$ and the second column of $L$. Finally, we compute the third row of $U$.

Cholesky's triangularisation method is also known as Crout's triangularisation method or method of factorisation.

## Example E2.11

Solve the following equations by Cholesky's triangularisation method.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 x+y+4 z=12 \\
8 x-3 y+2 z=20 \\
4 x+11 y-z=33
\end{array}
$$

## Solution:

We have

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
8 & -3 & 2 \\
4 & 11 & -1
\end{array}\right], X=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{c}
12 \\
20 \\
33
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ 0 & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{33}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 1 & 4 \\ 8 & -3 & 2 \\ 4 & 11 & -1\end{array}\right]$
Multiplying and equating we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l \times u_{11}=2 \Rightarrow u_{11}=2 \\
& l \times u_{12}=1 \Rightarrow u_{12}=1 \\
& l \times u_{13}=4 \Rightarrow u_{13}=4 \\
& l_{21} \times u_{11}=8 \Rightarrow l_{21}=\frac{8}{u_{11}}=\frac{8}{2}=4 \\
& l_{21} \times u_{12}+u_{22}=-3 \\
& l_{21} \times u_{13}+u_{23}=2 \Rightarrow u_{22}=-3-l_{21} \times u_{12}=-3-4 \times 1=-7 \\
& l_{31} \times \mathrm{u}_{11}=4 \Rightarrow u_{23}=2-l_{21} \times u_{13}=2-4 \times 4=-14 \\
& l_{31} \times \mathrm{u}_{12}+l_{32} \times \mathrm{u}_{22}=11 \Rightarrow \frac{4}{u_{11}}=\frac{4}{2}=2 \\
& l_{31} \times \mathrm{u}_{13}+l_{32} \times \mathrm{u}_{23}+l \times \mathrm{u}_{33}=-1 \Rightarrow \frac{11-l_{31} \times u_{12}}{u_{22}}=\frac{11-2 \times 1}{-7}=-\frac{9}{7} \\
&\text { We get: } \left.\quad \begin{array}{rrr}
u_{33} & =-1-l_{31} \times u_{13}-l_{32} \times u_{23}=-1-2 \times 4-\left(-\frac{9}{7}(-14)\right)=-27 \\
A & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & -\frac{9}{7} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & -7 & -14 \\
0 & 0 & -27
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and the given system can be written as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & -\frac{9}{7} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & -7 & -14 \\
0 & 0 & -27
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
12 \\
20 \\
33
\end{array}\right]
$$

Writing: $L V=B$, we get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & -\frac{9}{7} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{1} \\
V_{2} \\
V_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
12 \\
20 \\
33
\end{array}\right]
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}=12 & \Rightarrow V_{2} \\
4 V_{1}+V_{2}=20 & \Rightarrow V_{2}=20-4 \times 12=-28 \\
2 V_{1}-\frac{9}{7} V_{2}+V_{3}=33 & \Rightarrow V_{3}=33+\frac{9}{7}(-28)-2 \times 12=-27
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution to the original system is given by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
U X=V \\
{\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & -7 & -14 \\
0 & 0 & -27
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{r}
12 \\
-28 \\
-27
\end{array}\right]} \\
2 x+y+4 z=12 \\
-7 y-14 z=-28 \\
-27 z=-27 \\
7 y=28-14 \times 1 \Rightarrow y=\frac{14}{7} \Rightarrow y=2 \\
2 x=12-y-4 z=12-2-4 \times 1 \Rightarrow x=\frac{6}{2} \Rightarrow x=3
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example E2.12

Solve the system of equations using Cholesky's factorisations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4} & =2 \\
x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}+2 x_{4} & =0 \\
4 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4} & =11 \\
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3}-2 x_{4} & =2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

The set of equations can be written in the matrix form $[A]\{x\}=\{b\}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\
4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & -2
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
0 \\
11 \\
2
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let us decompose $[A]$ in the form

$$
[A]=[L][U]
$$

where

$$
[L]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
l_{21} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & 1 & 0 \\
l_{41} & l_{42} & l_{43} & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad[U]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} \\
0 & u_{22} & u_{23} & u_{24} \\
0 & 0 & u_{33} & u_{34} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & u_{44}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The product of $[L][U]$ gives

$$
[L][U]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} \\
l_{21} u_{11} & l_{21} u_{12}+u_{22} & l_{21} u_{13}+u_{23} & l_{21} u_{14}+u_{24} \\
l_{31} u_{11} & l_{31} u_{12}+l_{32} u_{22} & l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+u_{33} & l_{31} u_{14}+l_{32} u_{24}+u_{34} \\
l_{41} u_{11} & l_{41} u_{12}+l_{42} u_{22} & l_{41} u_{13}+l_{42} u_{23}+l_{43} u_{33} & l_{41} u_{14}+l_{42} u_{24}+l_{43} u_{34}+u_{44}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equating the elements of this matrix to the $[A]$ matrix yields the following equations

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
u_{11}=1 & l_{21} u_{11}=1 & l_{31} u_{11}=4 & l_{41} u_{11}=2 \\
u_{12}=1 & l_{21} u_{12}+u_{22}=-1 & l_{31} u_{12}+l_{32} u_{22}=4 & l_{41} u_{12}+l_{42} u_{22}=1 \\
u_{13}=1 & l_{21} u_{13}+u_{23}=-1 & l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+u_{33}=1 & l_{41} u_{13}+l_{42} u_{23}+l_{23} u_{33}=2 \\
u_{14}=-1 & l_{21} u_{14}+u_{24}=2 & l_{31} u_{14}+l_{32} u_{24}+u_{34}=1 & l_{41} u_{14}+l_{42} u_{24}+l_{43} u_{34}+u_{44}=-2
\end{array}
$$

By solving these sixteen equations we get

$$
[L]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
2 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and }[U]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -2 & -2 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & -3 & 5 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}\right]
$$

To solve $[A]\{x\}=\{b\}$ we have to solve the two systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[L]\{Y\}=\{b\}} \\
& {[U]\{x\}=\{Y\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\quad\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & 1\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3} \\ y_{4}\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 0 \\ 11 \\ 2\end{array}\right\}$
which gives by forward substitution

$$
y_{1}=2, y_{2}=-2, y_{3}=3, y_{4}=0
$$

and hence $[U]\{x\}=\{y\}$ becomes

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -2 & -2 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & -3 & 5 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
2 \\
-2 \\
3 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then by back substitution we obtain

$$
x_{4}=0, x_{3}=-1, x_{2}=2, x_{1}=1 .
$$

## Example E2.13

Solve the system of linear equations using Cholesky's factorisation method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x-6 y+8 z & =24 \\
5 x+4 y-3 z & =2 \\
3 x+y+2 z & =16
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
l_{21} & 1 & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & u_{22} & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & u_{33}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -6 & 8 \\
5 & 4 & -3 \\
3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
l_{21} u_{11} & l_{21} u_{12}+u_{22} & l_{21} u_{13}+u_{23} \\
l_{31} u_{11} & l_{31} u_{12}+l_{32} u_{22} & l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+u_{33}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -6 & 8 \\
5 & 4 & -3 \\
3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]} \\
& u_{11}=2, \quad u_{12}=-6, \\
& u_{13}=8 \\
& l_{21}=\frac{5}{u_{11}}=2.5 \\
& l_{31}=\frac{3}{u_{11}}=1.5 \\
& u_{22}=4-l_{21} u_{12}=19 \\
& u_{23}=-3-l_{21} u_{13}=-23
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{32}=\frac{1-l_{31} u_{12}}{u_{22}}=\frac{10}{19} \\
& l_{33}=2-l_{31} u_{13}-l_{32} \mathrm{u}_{23}=\frac{40}{19} \\
& L=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
2.5 & 1 & 0 \\
1.5 & \frac{10}{19} & 1
\end{array}\right], U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -6 & 8 \\
0 & 19 & -23 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{40}{19}
\end{array}\right] \\
& L V=B \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
2.5 & 1 & 0 \\
1.5 & \frac{10}{19} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
v_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
24 \\
2 \\
16
\end{array}\right] \\
& \Rightarrow \quad v_{1}=24 \\
& v_{2}=2-2.5 \times 24=-58 \\
& v_{3}=16-1.5 \times 24-\frac{10}{19}(-58)=\frac{200}{19} \\
& U X=V \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -6 & 8 \\
0 & 19 & -23 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{40}{19}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
24 \\
-58 \\
\frac{200}{19}
\end{array}\right] \\
& 2 x-6 y+8 z=24  \tag{E.1}\\
& 19 y-23 z=-58  \tag{E.2}\\
& \frac{40}{19} z=\frac{200}{19} \Rightarrow z=5 \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eqs.(E.2) and (E.3), we have

$$
y=3
$$

From Eqs.(E.1), (E.2) and (E.3), we get

$$
x=1
$$

### 2.8 CROUT'S METHOD

This method is based on the fact that every square matrix $A$ can be expressed as the product of a lower triangular matrix and an upper triangular matrix, provided all the principle minors of $A$ are non-singular. Also, such a factorisation, if exists, is unique.

This method is also called triangularisation or factorisation method. Here, we factorise the given matrix as $A=L U$, where $L$ is a lower triangular matrix with unit diagonal elements and $U$ is an upper triangular matrix. Then,

$$
A^{-1}=(L U)^{-1}=U^{-1} L^{-1}
$$

Consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=b_{2} \\
& a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=b_{3} \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

The above system can be written as

Let

$$
A x=b
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=L U \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & 0 & 0  \tag{2.37}\\
l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & 1 & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here, $L$ is a lower triangular matrix and $U$ is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal elements equal to unity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=L U \Rightarrow A^{-1}=U^{-1} L^{-1} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
A=L U \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & 1 & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & l_{11} u_{12} & l_{11} u_{13} \\
l_{21} & l_{21} u_{12}+l_{22} & l_{21} u_{13}+l_{22} u_{23} \\
l_{31} & l_{31} u_{12}+l_{32} & l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+l_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equating the corresponding elements, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
l_{11}=a_{11} & l_{21}=a_{21} & l_{31}=a_{31} \\
l_{11} u_{12}=a_{12} & l_{11} u_{13}=a_{13} & \\
l_{21} u_{12}+l_{22}=a_{22} & l_{31} u_{12}+l_{32}=a_{32} & \\
l_{21} u_{13}+l_{22} u_{23}=a_{23} & & \tag{2.42}
\end{array}
$$

and $\quad l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+l_{33}=a_{33}$
from (2.40) we find

$$
u_{12}=a_{12} / l_{11}=a_{12} / a_{11}
$$

from (2.41) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& l_{22}=a_{22}-l_{21} u_{12}  \tag{2.44}\\
& l_{32}=a_{32}-l_{31} u_{12} \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.42) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{23}=\left(a_{23}-l_{21} u_{23}\right) / l_{22} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the relation (2.43) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{33}=a_{33}-l_{31} u_{13}-l_{32} u_{23} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have determined all the elements of $L$ and $U$.
From Eqs.(2.36) and (2.37) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L U x=b \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
U X=V
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad V=\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
\vdots \\
v_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

From Eq. (2.48) we have $L V=b$, which on forward substitution yields $V$.
From $U X=V$, we find $x$ (by backward substitution).

## Example E2.14

Solve the following set of equations by Crout's method:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 x+y+4 z=12 \\
8 x-3 y+2 z=20 \\
4 x+11 y-z=33
\end{array}
$$

## Solution:

We have

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
8 & -3 & 2 \\
4 & 11 & -1
\end{array}\right], \quad X=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{c}
12 \\
20 \\
33
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\mathbf{A X}=B
$$

Let

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
8 & -3 & 2 \\
4 & 11 & -1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & 1 & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 4 \\
8 & -3 & 2 \\
4 & 11 & -1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & l_{11} u_{12} & l_{11} u_{13} \\
l_{21} & l_{21} u_{12}+l_{22} & l_{21} u_{13}+l_{22} u_{23} \\
l_{31} & l_{31} u_{12}+l_{32} & l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+l_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
l_{11} u_{12}=1 \Rightarrow u_{12}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
l_{11} u_{12}=4 \Rightarrow u_{13}=\frac{4}{2}=2
$$

$$
l_{22}+l_{21} u_{12}=-3 \Rightarrow l_{22}=-3-8\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=-7
$$

$$
l_{32}+l_{21} u_{12}=-3 \Rightarrow l_{32}=11-4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=9
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}=L U \\
& L=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33}
\end{array}\right] \quad U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & 1 & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{21} u_{13}+l_{22} u_{23}=2 \Rightarrow u_{23}=\frac{2-8 \times 2}{-7}=2 \\
& l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+l_{33}=-1 \Rightarrow \underline{l_{33}}=-1-4 \times 2-9 \times 2=-27 \\
& L=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & -7 & 0 \\
4 & 9 & -27
\end{array}\right] \text { and } U=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \frac{1}{2} & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& L V=B \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & -7 & 0 \\
4 & 9 & -27
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
v_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
12 \\
20 \\
33
\end{array}\right]} \\
& 2 v_{1}=12 \Rightarrow v_{1}=6 \\
& 8 v_{1}-7 v_{2}=20 \Rightarrow v_{2}=\frac{-20+8 \times 6}{7}=4 \\
& 4 v_{1}+9 v_{2}-27 v_{3}=33 \Rightarrow v_{3}=\frac{-33+4 \times 6+9 \times 4}{27}=1 \\
& V=\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{1} \\
V_{2} \\
V_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
4 \\
1
\end{array}\right] ; \quad U x=V \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \frac{1}{2} & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
4 \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& x+\frac{1}{2} y+2 z=6 \\
& y+2 z=4 \\
& z=1 \\
& y=4-2 \times 1 \\
& \Rightarrow y=2 \\
& x=6-\frac{1}{2} \times 2-2 \times 1 \Rightarrow x=3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E2.15

Solve the following set of equations by using the Crout's method:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=7 \\
& x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3}=8 \\
& x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3}=9
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right], x=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{l}
7 \\
8 \\
9
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} & =L U \\
L & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\
l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33}
\end{array}\right] \quad U=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & u_{12} & u_{13} \\
0 & 1 & u_{23} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{11} & l_{11} u_{12} & l_{11} u_{13} \\
l_{21} & l_{21} u_{12}+l_{22} & l_{21} u_{13}+l_{22} u_{23} \\
l_{31} & l_{31} u_{12}+l_{32} & l_{31} u_{13}+l_{32} u_{23}+l_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
l_{11}=2, \quad l_{21}=1, \quad l_{31}=1
$$

$$
u_{12}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad u_{13}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
l_{22}=2-l_{21} u_{12}=2-1 \times \frac{1}{2}=\frac{3}{2}
$$

$$
l_{32}=1-l_{31} u_{12}=1-1 \times \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
u_{23}=\frac{1-l_{21} u_{13}}{l_{22}}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

$$
l_{33}=2-l_{31} u_{13}-l_{32} u_{23}=2-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{3}=\frac{4}{3}
$$

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 3 / 2 & 0 \\
1 & 1 / 2 & 4 / 3
\end{array}\right], \quad U=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 / 3 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\mathbf{A x}=B, L U \cdot x=B, U x=V$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
L V=B \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 3 / 2 & 0 \\
1 & 1 / 2 & 4 / 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2} \\
v_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
7 \\
8 \\
9
\end{array}\right] \\
2 v_{1}=7 \Rightarrow v_{1}=3.5 \\
v_{1}+\frac{3}{2} v_{2}=8 \Rightarrow v_{2}=3 \\
v_{1}+\frac{1}{2} v_{2}+\frac{4}{3} v_{3}=9 \Rightarrow v_{3}=3
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow V=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3.5 \\
3 \\
3
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& U x=V \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 / 3 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3.5 \\
3 \\
3
\end{array}\right] \\
& x_{1}+\frac{1}{2} x_{2}+\frac{1}{2} x_{3}=3.5  \tag{E.1}\\
& x_{2}+\frac{1}{3} x_{3}=3  \tag{E.2}\\
& x_{3}=3 \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eqs.(E.2) and (E.3), we have

$$
x_{2}=2
$$

From Eq.(E.1), we get

$$
x_{1}=1
$$

### 2.9 THOMAS ALGORITHM FOR TRIDIAGONAL SYSTEM

Consider the system of linear simultaneous algebraic equations given by

$$
\mathbf{A x}=b
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is a tridiagonal matrix, $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{T}$ and $b=\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]^{T}$. Hence, we consider a $4 \times 4$ tridiagonal system of equations given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{12} & a_{13} & 0 & 0  \tag{2.48a}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 \\
0 & a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \\
0 & 0 & a_{41} & a_{42}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3} \\
b_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equation (2.48a) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{12} x_{1}+a_{13} x_{2} & =b_{1} \\
a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3} & =b_{2} \\
a_{31} x_{2}+a_{32} x_{3}+a_{33} x_{4} & =b_{3} \\
a_{41} x_{3}+a_{42} x_{4} & =b_{4} \tag{2.48b}
\end{align*}
$$

The system of equations given by Eq.(2.48b) is solved using Thomas Algorithm which is described in three steps as shown below:
Step 1: Set $y_{1}=a_{12}$ and compute

$$
y_{i}=a_{i 2}-\frac{a_{i 1} a_{(i-1) 3}}{y_{i-1}} \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n
$$

Step 2: Set $z_{1}=\frac{b_{1}}{a_{12}}$ and compute

$$
z_{i}=\frac{b_{i}-a_{i 1} z_{i-1}}{y_{i}} \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n
$$

Step 3: $\quad x_{i}=z_{i}-\frac{a_{i 3} x_{i+1}}{y_{i}} \quad i=n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1$, where $x_{n}=z_{n}$

## Example E2.16

Solve the following equations by Thomas Algorithm.

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 x_{1}-x_{2} & =5 \\
2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =5 \\
x_{2}+2 x_{3}+5 x_{4} & =10 \\
x_{3}-x_{4} & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

Here $\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}3 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3} \\ x_{4}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}5 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right] } & =[2,1,1] \\
{\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right] } & =[3,-3,2,-1] \\
{\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right] } & =[-1,2,5]
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 1: Set $y_{1}=b_{1}$ and compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}=b_{i}-\frac{a_{i} c_{i-1}}{y_{i-1}} \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n \\
& y_{1}=3 \\
& i=2, y_{2}=b_{2}-\frac{a_{2} c_{1}}{y_{1}}=-3-\frac{2(-1)}{3}=-\frac{7}{3} \\
& i=3, y_{3}=b_{3}-\frac{a_{3} c_{2}}{y_{2}}=2-\frac{1 \times 2}{-\frac{7}{3}}=\frac{20}{7} \\
& i=4, y_{4}=b_{4}-\frac{a_{4} c_{3}}{y_{3}}=-1-\frac{1.5}{\frac{20}{7}}=-\frac{55}{20}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2: $\quad$ Set $z_{1}=\frac{d_{1}}{b_{1}}=\frac{5}{3}, z_{i}=\frac{d_{i}-a_{i} z_{i-1}}{y_{i}} \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=2, z_{2}=\frac{d_{2}-a_{2} z_{1}}{y_{2}}=\frac{5-2 \times \frac{5}{3}}{-\frac{7}{3}}=-\frac{5}{7} \\
& i=3, z_{3}=\frac{d_{3}-a_{3} z_{2}}{y_{3}}=\frac{10-1\left(-\frac{5}{7}\right)}{\frac{20}{7}}=\frac{75}{20} \\
& i=4, z_{4}=\frac{d_{4}-a_{4} z_{3}}{y_{4}}=\frac{1-1 \times \frac{75}{20}}{-\frac{55}{20}}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: Set $x_{i}=z_{i}-\frac{c_{i} x_{i+1}}{y_{i}} ; i=n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1 ; \quad x_{n}=z_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{4}=z_{4}=1 \\
& i=3, x_{3}=z_{3}-\frac{c_{3} x_{4}}{y_{3}}=\frac{75}{20}-\frac{5 \times 1}{\frac{20}{7}}=2 \\
& i=2, x_{2}=z_{2}-\frac{c_{2} x_{3}}{y_{2}}=-\frac{5}{7}-\frac{2 \times 2}{-\frac{7}{3}}=1 \\
& i=1, x_{1}=z_{1}-\frac{c_{1} x_{2}}{y_{1}}=\frac{5}{3}-\frac{(-1) \times 1}{3}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E2.17

Solve the following set of tridiagonal set of algebraic equations using Thomas's method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+4 x_{2} & =10 \\
2 x_{1}+10 x_{2}-4 x_{3} & =7 \\
x_{2}+8 x_{3}-x_{4} & =6 \\
x_{3}-6 x_{4} & =4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1} x_{1}+c_{1} x_{2} & =d_{1} \\
a_{2} x_{1}+b_{2} x_{2}+c_{2} x_{3} & =d_{2} \\
a_{3} x_{2}+b_{3} x_{3}+c_{3} x_{4} & =d_{3} \\
a_{4} x_{3}+b_{4} x_{4} & =d_{4} \\
a_{2}=2, a_{3}=1, a_{4} & =1 \\
b_{1}=1, b_{2}=10, b_{3} & =8, b_{4}=-6 \\
c_{1}=4, c_{2}=-4, c_{3} & =-1 \\
d_{1}=10, d_{2}=7, d_{3} & =6, d_{4}=4
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 1: $\quad$ Set $y_{1}=b_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i} & =b_{i}-\frac{a_{i} c_{i-1}}{y_{i-1}} ; \\
y_{1} & =1 \\
y_{2} & =10-\frac{2 \times 4}{1}=2 \\
y_{3} & =\frac{8-1(-4)}{2}=8+2=10 ; \\
y_{4} & =-6-\frac{1 \times(-1)}{10} \\
\Rightarrow \quad y_{4} & =\frac{-60+1}{10}=-\frac{59}{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2: Set $\quad z_{1}=\frac{d_{1}}{b_{1}}, z_{i}=\frac{d_{i}-a_{i} z_{i-1}}{y_{i}} \quad i=2,3, \ldots \ldots ., n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=\frac{10}{1}=10 \\
& z_{2}=\frac{7-2.10}{2}=-\frac{13}{2} \\
& z_{3}=\frac{6-1(-13 / 2)}{10}=\frac{6+13 / 2}{10}=\frac{25}{20} \\
& z_{4}=\frac{4-1 \times 25 / 20}{-59 / 10}=-\frac{55}{118}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: Set $\quad x_{11}=z_{11} x_{i}=z_{i}-\frac{c_{i} x_{i+1}}{y_{i}}, \quad i=n-1, n-2, \ldots \ldots . ., 1$

$$
x_{4}=-\frac{55}{118}=-0.466
$$

$$
x_{3}=\frac{25}{20}-\frac{(-1)(-55 / 118)}{10}=1.203
$$

$$
x_{2}=-\frac{13}{2}-\frac{(-4) 1.203}{2}=-4.094
$$

$$
\boxed{x_{1}}=10-\frac{4(-4.094)}{1}=26.376
$$

### 2.10 JACOBI'S ITERATION METHOD

This method is also known as the method of simultaneous displacements. Consider the system of linear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=b_{2} \\
& a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=b_{3} \tag{2.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we assume that the coefficients $a_{11}, a_{22}$ and $a_{33}$ are the largest coefficients in the respective equations so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{11}\right|>\left|a_{12}\right|+\left|a_{13}\right| \\
& \left|a_{22}\right|>\left|a_{21}\right|+\left|a_{23}\right| \\
& \left|a_{33}\right|>\left|a_{31}\right|+\left|a_{32}\right| \tag{2.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Jacobi's iteration method is applicable only if the conditions given in Eq.(2.50) are satisfied.
Now, we can write Eq.(2.49)

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\frac{1}{a_{11}}\left(b_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}-a_{13} x_{3}\right) \\
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{a_{22}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}-a_{23} x_{3}\right)  \tag{2.51}\\
& x_{3}=\frac{1}{a_{33}}\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{1}-a_{32} x_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let the initial approximations be $x_{1}^{0}, x_{2}^{0}$ and $x_{3}^{0}$ respectively. The following iterations are then carried out. Iteration 1: The first improvements are found as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{11}=\frac{1}{a_{11}}\left(b_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}^{0}-a_{13} x_{3}^{0}\right) \\
& x_{21}=\frac{1}{a_{22}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}^{0}-a_{23} x_{3}^{0}\right) \\
& x_{31}=\frac{1}{a_{33}}\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{1}^{0}-a_{32} x_{2}^{0}\right) \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Iteration 2: The second improvements are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{12}=\frac{1}{a_{11}}\left(b_{1}-a_{12} x_{21}-a_{13} x_{31}\right) \\
& x_{22}=\frac{1}{a_{22}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{11}-a_{23} x_{31}\right) \\
& x_{32}=\frac{1}{a_{33}}\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{11}-a_{32} x_{21}\right) \tag{2.53}
\end{align*}
$$

The above iteration process is continued until the values of $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are found to a pre-assigned degree of accuracy. That is, the procedure is continued until the relative error between two consecutive vector norm is satisfactorily small. In Jacobi's method, it is a general practice to assume $x_{1}^{0}=x_{2}^{0}=x_{2}^{0}=0$. . The method can be extended to a system of $n$ linear simultaneous equations in $n$ unknowns.

## Example E2.18

Solve the following equations by Jacobi's method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
15 x+3 y-2 z & =85 \\
2 x+10 y+z & =51 \\
x-2 y+8 z & =5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

In the above equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |15|>|3|+|-2| \\
& |10|>|2|+|1| \\
& |8|>|1|+|-2|
\end{aligned}
$$

then Jacobi's method is applicable. We rewrite the given equations as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(d_{1}-b_{1} y-c_{1} z\right)=\frac{1}{15}(85-3 y+2 z) \\
& y=\frac{1}{b_{2}}\left(d_{2}-a_{2} x-c_{2} z\right)=\frac{1}{10}(51-2 x-z) \\
& z=\frac{1}{c_{3}}\left(d_{3}-a_{3} x-b_{3} y\right)=\frac{1}{8}(5-x+2 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the initial approximations be:

$$
x^{0}=y^{0}=z^{0}=0
$$

Iteration 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{d_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{85}{15}=\frac{17}{3} \\
& y_{1}=\frac{d_{2}}{b_{2}}=\frac{51}{10} \\
& z_{1}=\frac{d_{3}}{c_{3}}=\frac{5}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(d_{1}-b_{1} y_{1}-c_{1} z_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{15}\left(85-3 \times \frac{51}{10}-(-2) \times \frac{5}{8}\right) \\
& x_{2}=4.73
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}=\frac{1}{b_{2}}\left(d_{2}-a_{2} x_{1}-c_{2} z_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{10}\left(51-2 \times \frac{17}{3}-1 \times \frac{5}{8}\right) \\
& y_{2}=3.904 \\
& z_{2}=\frac{1}{c_{3}}\left(d_{3}-a_{3} x_{1}-b_{3} y_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(5-1 \times \frac{17}{3}-(-2) \times \frac{51}{10}\right) \\
& z_{2}=1.192
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=\frac{1}{15}(85-3 \times 3.904+2 \times 1.192)=5.045 \\
& y_{3}=\frac{1}{10}(51-2 \times 4.73-1 \times 1.192)=4.035 \\
& z_{3}=\frac{1}{8}(5-1 \times 4.173+2 \times 3.904)=1.010
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{4}=\frac{1}{15}(85-3 \times 4.035+2 \times 1.010)=4.994 \\
& y_{4}=\frac{1}{10}(51-2 \times 5.045-1 \times 1.010)=3.99 \\
& z_{4}=\frac{1}{8}(5-1 \times 5.045+2 \times 4.035)=1.003
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{5}=\frac{1}{15}(85-3 \times 3.99+2 \times 1.003)=5.002 \\
& y_{5}=\frac{1}{10}(51-2 \times 4.994-1 \times 1.003)=4.001 \\
& z_{5}=\frac{1}{8}(5-1 \times 4.994+2 \times 3.99)=0.998
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{6}=\frac{1}{15}(85-3 \times 4.001+2 \times 0.998)=5.0 \\
& y_{6}=\frac{1}{10}(51-2 \times 5.002-1 \times 0.998)=4.0 \\
& z_{6}=\frac{1}{8}(5-1 \times 5.002+2 \times 4.001)=1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 7:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{7}=\frac{1}{15}(85-3 \times 4+2 \times 1)=5.0 \\
& y_{7}=\frac{1}{10}(51-2 \times 5-1 \times 1)=4.0 \\
& z_{7}=\frac{1}{8}(5-1 \times 5+2 \times 4)=1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E2.19

Use the Jacobi iterative scheme to obtain the solutions of the system of equations correct to three decimal places.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+2 y+z=0 \\
& 3 x+y-z=0 \\
& x-y+4 z=3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

Rearrange the equations in such a way that all the diagonal terms are dominant.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 x+y-z=0 \\
& x+2 y+z=0 \\
& x-y+4 z=3
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing for $x, y$ and $z$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=(z-y) / 3 \\
& y=(-x-z) / 2 \\
& z=(3+y-x) / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

The iterative equation can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{(r+1)}=\left(z^{(r)}-y^{(r)}\right) / 3 \\
& y^{(r+1)}=\left(-x^{(r)}-z^{(r)}\right) / 2 \\
& z^{(r+1)}=\left(3-x^{(r)}+y^{(r)}\right) / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

The initial vector is not specified in the problem. Hence we choose

$$
x^{(0)}=y^{(0)}=z^{(0)}=1
$$

Then, the first iteration gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(1)}=\left(z^{(0)}-y^{(0)}\right) / 3 & =(1-1) / 3=0 \\
y^{(1)}=\left(-x^{(0)}-z^{(0)}\right) / 2 & =(-1-1) / 2=-1.0 \\
z^{(1)}=\left(3-x^{(0)}+y^{(0)}\right) / 4 & =(3-1+1) / 4=0.750
\end{aligned}
$$

similarly, second iteration yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(2)}=\left(z^{(1)}-y^{(1)}\right) / 3 & =(0.75+1.0) / 3=0.5833 \\
y^{(2)}=\left(-x^{(1)}-z^{(1)}\right) / 2 & =(-0-0.75) / 2=-0.3750 \\
z^{(2)}=\left(3-x^{(1)}+y^{(1)}\right) / 4 & =(3-0-0) / 4=0.500
\end{aligned}
$$

Subsequent iterations result in the following:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x^{(3)}=0.29167 & y^{(3)}=-0.47917 & z^{(3)}=0.51042 \\
x^{(4)}=0.32986 & y^{(4)}=-0.40104 & z^{(4)}=0.57862 \\
x^{(5)}=0.32595 & y^{(5)}=-0.45334 & z^{(5)}=0.56728 \\
x^{(6)}=0.34021 & y^{(6)}=-0.44662 & z^{(6)}=0.55329 \\
x^{(7)}=0.3333 & y^{(7)}=-0.44675 & z^{(7)}=0.55498 \\
x^{(8)}=0.33391 & y^{(8)}=-0.44414 & z^{(8)}=0.55498 \\
x^{(9)}=0.33304 & y^{(9)}=-0.44445 & z^{(9)}=0.5555
\end{array}
$$

so to three decimal places the approximate solution

$$
x=0.333 \quad y=-0.444 \quad z=0.555
$$

## Example E2. 20

Use Jacobi iterative scheme to obtain the solution of the system of equations correct to two decimal places.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
5 & -2 & 1 \\
1 & 4 & -2 \\
1 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{r}
4 \\
3 \\
17
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Solution:

Jacobi's method is applicable only if the conditions given by Eq.(2.50) are satisfied.
Here

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|5|>|-2|+|1| \text { or } 5>3 \\
|4|>|1|+|-1| \text { or } 4>3 \\
|4|>|1|+|2| \text { or } 4>3
\end{array}
$$

Clearly, the iterative approach will converse. Hence, writing the set of equations in the form of (2.51), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x  \tag{E.1}\\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\}_{k+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0.8 \\
0.75 \\
4.25
\end{array}\right\}-\left[\begin{array}{llc}
0 & -0.4 & 0.2 \\
0.25 & 0 & -0.5 \\
0.25 & 0.5 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\}_{k}
$$

Assuming the initial approximation $\left\{\begin{array}{l}x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right\}_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right\}$ and substituting into Eq.(E.1) gives our first approximation to the solution. Hence

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x  \tag{E.2}\\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\}_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0.8 \\
0.75 \\
4.25
\end{array}\right\}-\left[\begin{array}{llc}
0 & -0.4 & 0.2 \\
0.25 & 0 & -0.5 \\
0.25 & 0.5 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0.8 \\
0.75 \\
4.25
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The process is continued until successive values of each vector are very close in magnitude. Here, the eleven iterations obtained accurate to two decimal places are shown below in Table E2.20.

Table E2.20

| Variable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| x | 0.8 | 0.25 | 1.14 | 1.24 | 1.02 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 1 |
| y | 0.75 | 2.68 | 2.53 | 1.89 | 1.79 | 1.99 | 2.07 | 2.02 | 1.98 | 1.99 | 2 |
| z | 4.25 | 3.68 | 2.85 | 2.70 | 2.99 | 3.10 | 3.02 | 2.97 | 2.98 | 3.01 | 3 |

Hence, the solution is given by $x=1, y=2$ and $z=3$.

### 2.11 GAUSS-SEIDAL ITERATION METHOD

The Gauss-Seidal method is applicable to predominantly diagonal systems. A predominantly diagonal system has large diagonal elements. The absolute value of the diagonal element in each case is larger than the sum of the absolute values of the other elements in that row of the matrix A. For such predominantly diagonal systems, the Gauss-Seidal method always converges to the correct solution, irrespective of the choice of the initial estimates. Since the most recent approximations of the variables are used while proceeding to the next step, the convergence of the Gauss-Seidal method is twice as fast as in Jacobi's method. The Gauss-Seidal and Jacobi's methods converge for any choice of the initial approximations, if in each equation of the system, the absolute value of the largest coefficient is greater than the sum of the absolute values of the remaining coefficients. In other words,

$$
\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ j \neq 1}}^{n} \frac{\left|a_{i j}\right|}{\left|a_{i i}\right|} \leq 1 \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, n
$$

where the inequality holds in case of at least one equation. Convergence is assured in the Gauss-Seidal method if the matrix $A$ is diagonally dominant and positive definite. If it is not in a diagonally dominant form, it should be connected to a diagonally dominant form by row exchanger, before starting the Gauss-Seidal iterative scheme.

Gauss-Seidal method is also an iterative solution procedure which is an improved version of Jacobi's method. The method is also known as the method of successive approximations.

Consider the system of linear simultaneous equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+a_{13} x_{3}=b_{1} \\
& a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+a_{23} x_{3}=b_{2} \\
& a_{31} x_{1}+a_{32} x_{2}+a_{33} x_{3}=b_{3} \tag{2.54}
\end{align*}
$$

If the absolute value of the largest coefficient in each equation is greater than the sum of the absolute values of all the remaining coefficients, then the Gauss-Seidal iteration method will converge. If this condition is not satisfied, then Gauss-Seidal method is not applicable. Here, in Eq.(2.54), we assume the coefficient $a_{11}, a_{22}$ and $a_{33}$ are the largest coefficients.

We can rewrite Eq.(2.54) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{1}{a_{11}}\left(b_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}-a_{13} x_{3}\right) \\
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{a_{22}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}-a_{23} x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=\frac{1}{a_{33}}\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{1}-a_{32} x_{2}\right) \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the initial approximations be $x_{1}^{0}, x_{2}^{0}$ and $x_{3}^{0}$ respectively. The following iterations are then carried out. Iteration 1: The first improvements of $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{11}=\frac{1}{a_{11}}\left(b_{1}-a_{12} \dot{x}_{2}-a_{13} x_{3}^{0}\right) \\
& x_{21}=\frac{1}{a_{22}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{11}-a_{23} x_{3}^{0}\right) \\
& x_{31}=\frac{1}{a_{33}}\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{11}-a_{32} x_{21}\right) \tag{2.56}
\end{align*}
$$

Iteration 2: The second improvements of $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{12}=\frac{1}{a_{11}}\left(b_{1}-a_{12} x_{11}-a_{13} x_{31}\right) \\
& x_{22}=\frac{1}{a_{22}}\left(b_{2}-a_{21} x_{12}-a_{23} x_{31}\right) \\
& x_{32}=\frac{1}{a_{33}}\left(b_{3}-a_{31} x_{12}-a_{32} x_{22}\right) \tag{2.57}
\end{align*}
$$

The above iteration process is continued until the values of $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ are obtained to a pre-assigned or desired degree of accuracy. In general, the initial approximations are assumed as $x_{1}^{0}=x_{2}^{0}=x_{3}^{0}=0$. GaussSeidal method generally converges for any initial values of $x_{1}^{0}, x_{2}^{0}, x_{3}^{0}$. The convergence rate of Gauss-Seidal method is found to be twice to that of Jacobi’s method. Like the Jacobi's method, Gauss-Seidal method can also be extended to $n$ linear simultaneous algebraic equations in $n$ unknowns.

## Example E2.21

Solve the following equations by Gauss-Seidal method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
8 x+2 y-2 z= & 8 \\
x-8 y+3 z= & -4 \\
2 x+y+9 z= & 12
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

In the above equations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
|8|>|2|+|-2| \\
|-8|>|1|+|3| \\
|9|>|2|+|1|
\end{array}
$$

So, the conditions of convergence are satisfied and we can apply Gauss-Seidal method. Then we rewrite the given equations as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(d_{1}-b_{1} y^{0}-c_{1} z^{0}\right) \\
& y_{1}=\frac{1}{b_{2}}\left(d_{2}-a_{2} x_{1}-c_{2} z^{0}\right) \\
& z_{1}=\frac{1}{c_{3}}\left(d_{3}-a_{3} x_{1}-b_{3} y_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the initial approximations be:

$$
x^{0}=y^{0}=z^{0}=0
$$

Iteration 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boxed{x_{1}}=\frac{d_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{8}{8}=1.0 \\
& y_{1}=\frac{1}{b_{2}}\left(d_{2}-a_{2} x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{-8}(-4-1 \times 1.0)=0.625 \\
& \left.z_{1}=\frac{1}{c_{3}}\left(d_{3}-a_{3} x_{1}-b_{3} y_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{9}(12-2)=2 \times 1.0-1 \times 0.625\right)=1.042
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(d_{1}-b_{1} y_{1}-c_{1} z_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{8}(8-2 \times 0.625-(-2) \times 1.042)=1.104 \\
& y_{2}=\frac{1}{b_{2}}\left(d_{2}-a_{2} x_{2}-c_{2} z_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{-8}(-4-1 \times 1.104-3 \times 1.042)=1.029 \\
& z_{2}=\frac{1}{c_{3}}\left(d_{3}-a_{3} x_{2}-b_{3} y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{9}(12-2 \times 1.104-1 \times 1.029)=0.974
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{3}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}\left(d_{1}-b_{1} y_{2}-c_{1} z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{8}(8-2 \times 1.029-(-2) \times 0.974)=0.986 \\
& y_{3}=\frac{1}{b_{2}}\left(d_{2}-a_{2} x_{3}-c_{2} z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{-8}(-4-1 \times 0.986-3 \times 0.974)=0.989 \\
& z_{3}=\frac{1}{c_{3}}\left(d_{3}-a_{3} x_{3}-b_{3} y_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{9}(12-2 \times 0.986-1 \times 0.989)=1.004
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{4}=\frac{1}{8}(8-2 \times 0.989-(-2) \times 1.004)=1.004 \\
& y_{4}=\frac{1}{-8}(-4-1 \times 1.004-3 \times 1.004)=1.002 \\
& z_{4}=\frac{1}{9}(12-2 \times 1.004-1 \times 1.002)=0.999
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{5}=\frac{1}{8}(8-2 \times 1.002-(-2) \times 0.999)=0.999 \\
& y_{5}=\frac{1}{-8}(-4-1 \times 0.999-3 \times 0.999)=1.0 \\
& z_{5}=\frac{1}{9}(12-2 \times 0.999-1 \times 1.0)=1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

Iteration 6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{6}=\frac{1}{8}(8-2 \times 1+2 \times 1)=1.0 \\
& y_{6}=\frac{1}{-8}(-4-1 \times 1.0-3 \times 1.0)=1.0 \\
& z_{6}=\frac{1}{9}(12-2 \times 1.0-1 \times 1.0)=1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E2.22

Using the Gauss-Seidal method solve the system of equations correct to three decimal places.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+2 y+z=0 \\
& 3 x+y-z=0 \\
& x-y+4 z=3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

Rearranging the given equations to give dominant diagonal elements, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 x+y-z=0 \\
& x+2 y+z=0 \\
& x-y+4 z=3 \tag{E.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (E.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=(z-y) / 3 \\
& y=-(x+z) / 2 \\
& z=(3+x+y) / 2 \tag{E.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Writing Eq.(E.2) in the form of Gauss-Seidal iterative scheme, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(r+1)} & =\left(z^{(r)}-y^{(r)}\right) / 3 \\
y^{(r+1)} & =-\left(x^{(r+1)}-z^{(r)}\right) / 2 \\
z^{(r+1)} & =\left(3-x^{(r+1)}+y^{(r+1)}\right) / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

We start with the initial value

$$
x^{(0)}=y^{(0)}=z^{(0)}=1
$$

The iteration scheme gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(1)}=\left(z^{(0)}-y^{(0)}\right) / 3 & =(1-1) / 3=0 \\
y^{(1)}=\left(-x^{(1)}-z^{(0)}\right) / 2 & =(0-1) / 2=-0.5 \\
z^{(1)}=\left(3-x^{(1)}+y^{(1)}\right) / 4 & =(3-0-0) / 4=0.625
\end{aligned}
$$

The second iteration gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(2)}=\left(z^{(1)}-y^{(1)}\right) / 3 & =(0.625+0.5) / 3=0.375 \\
y^{(2)}=\left(-x^{(2)}-z^{(1)}\right) / 2 & =(-0.375-0.625) / 2=-0.50 \\
z^{(2)}=\left(3-x^{(2)}+y^{(2)}\right) / 4 & =(3-0.375-0.5) / 4=0.53125
\end{aligned}
$$

Subsequent iterations result in

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x^{(3)}=0.34375 & y^{(3)}=-0.4375 & z^{(3)}=0.55469 \\
x^{(4)}=0.33075 & y^{(4)}=-0.44271 & z^{(4)}=0.55664 \\
x^{(5)}=0.33312 & y^{(5)}=-0.44488 & z^{(5)}=0.5555 \\
x^{(6)}=0.33346 & y^{(6)}=-0.44448 & z^{(6)}=0.55552
\end{array}
$$

Hence, the approximate solution is as follows:

$$
x=0.333 \quad y=-0.444 \quad z=0.555
$$

## Example E2.23

Solve the following equations by the Gauss-Seidal method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 x-y+z & =12 \\
-x+4 y-2 z & =-1 \\
x-2 y+4 z & =5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

The iteration formula is

$$
x_{i} \leftarrow \frac{1}{A_{i i}}\left[b_{i}-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} A_{i j} x_{j}\right] i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{4}(12+y-z) \\
& y=\frac{1}{4}(-1+x+2 z) \\
& z=\frac{1}{4}(5-x+2 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing the starting values $x=y=z=0$, we have the first iteration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{4}(12+0-0)=3 \\
& y=\frac{1}{4}[-1+3+2(0)]=0.5 \\
& \left.z=\frac{1}{4}[5-3+2) 0.5\right)=0.75
\end{aligned}
$$

The second iteration gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{4}(12+0.5-0.75)=2.9375 \\
& y=\frac{1}{4}[-1+2.9375+2(0.75)]=0.8594 \\
& z=\frac{1}{4}(5-2.9375+2(0.8594)]=0.9453
\end{aligned}
$$

The third iteration yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{4}[12+0.8594-0.9453]=2.9785 \\
& y=\frac{1}{4}[-1+2.9785+2(0.9453)]=0.9673 \\
& z=\frac{1}{4}(5-2.9785+2(0.9673)]=0.9890
\end{aligned}
$$

After five more iterations, we obtain the final values for $x, y$ and $z$ as $x=3, y=1$ and $z=1$.

### 2.12 SUMMARY

A matrix is a rectangular array of elements, in rows and columns. The elements of a matrix can be numbers, coefficients, terms or variables. This chapter provided the relevant and useful elements of matrix analysis for the solution of linear simultaneous algebraic equations. Topics covered include matrix definitions, matrix operations, determinants, matrix inversion, trace, transpose, and system of algebraic equations and solution. The solution of $n$ linear simultaneous algebraic equations in $n$ unknowns is presented. There are two classes of methods of solving system of linear algebraic equations: direct and iterative methods. Direct methods transform the original equation into equivalent equations that can be solved more easily. Iterative or indirect methods start with a guess of the solution $x$, and then repeatedly refine the solution until a certain convergence criterion is reached. Six direct methods (matrix inversion method, Gauss elimination method, Gauss-Jordan method, Cholesky's triangularisation method, Crout's method and Thomas algorithm for tridiagonal system) are presented. Two indirect or iterative methods (Jacobi's iteration method and Gauss-Seidal iteration method) are presented.

The LU decomposition method is closely related to Gauss elimination method. LU decomposition is computationally very effective if the coefficient matrix remains the same but the right hand side vector changes. Cholesky's decomposition method can be used when the coefficient matrix $A$ is symmetric and positive definite. Gauss-Jordan method is a very stable method for solving linear algebraic equations. Gauss-Seidal iterative substitution technique is very suitable for predominantly diagonal systems. It requires a guess of the solution.

## Problems

2.1 Determine the inverse of the following matrices:
(a)

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & 1 & 2 \\
3 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

(b) $\quad \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -3\end{array}\right]$
(c) $\quad \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}10 & 3 & 10 \\ 8 & -2 & 9 \\ 8 & 1 & -10\end{array}\right]$
(d) $\quad \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$
(e) $\quad \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$
(f) $\quad \mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
2.2 Solve the following set of simultaneous linear equations by the matrix inverse method.
(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x+3 y-z & =-10 \\
-x+4 y+2 z & =-4
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
2 x-2 y+5 z=35
$$

(b)
$10 x+3 y+10 z=5$
$8 x-2 y+9 z=2$

$$
8 x+y-10 z=35
$$

(c)

$$
2 x+3 y-z=1
$$

$$
-x+2 y+z=8
$$

$$
x-3 y-2 z=-13
$$

(d)
$2 x-y+3 z=4$
$x+9 y-2 z=-8$
$4 x-8 y+11 z=15$
(e)

$$
x_{1}-x_{2}+3 x_{3}-x_{4}=1
$$

$$
x_{2}-3 x_{3}+5 x_{4}=2
$$

$$
x_{1}-x_{3}+x_{4}=0
$$

$$
x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{4}=-5
$$

(f)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+4 x_{4}= & 8 \\
2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4} & =-3 \\
x_{1}-3 x_{2}+4 x_{3}-4 x_{4}= & 8 \\
2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-3 x_{3}+4 x_{4} & =-2
\end{aligned}
$$

2.3 Solve the following set of simultaneous linear equations using the method of Gaussian elimination.
(a)

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
2 x+y-3 z= & 11 \\
4 x-2 y+3 z= & 8 \\
-2 x+2 y-z= & -6
\end{array}
$$

(b)

$$
6 x+3 y+6 z=30
$$

$$
2 x+3 y+3 z=17
$$

$$
x+2 y+2 z=11
$$

(c)

$$
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=4
$$

$$
3 x_{2}-3 x_{3}=0
$$

$$
-x_{2}+2 x_{3}=1
$$

(d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+4 x_{4}= & 8 \\
2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}= & -3 \\
x_{1}-3 x_{2}+4 x_{3}-4 x_{4}= & 8 \\
2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-3 x_{3}+4 x_{4}= & -2 \\
2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}= & 10 \\
x_{1}+5 x_{2}-5 x_{3}+6 x_{4}= & 25 \\
-7 x_{1}+3 x_{2}-7 x_{3}-5 x_{4}= & 5 \\
x_{1}-5 x_{2}+2 x_{3}+7 x_{4}= & 11
\end{aligned}
$$

(e)
(f)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4} & =3 \\
2 x_{1}-x_{2}+3 x_{3} & =3 \\
2 x_{2}+3 x_{4} & =1 \\
-x_{1}+2 x_{3}+x_{4} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

2.4 Solve the following set of simultaneous linear equations by the Gauss-Jordan method.
(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 x-3 y+5 z & =34 \\
2 x-y-z & =6 \\
x+y+4 z & =15
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
2 x-y+z=-1
$$

$$
3 x+3 y+9 z=0
$$

$$
3 x+3 y+5 z=4
$$

(c)
$x+y-z=1$
$x+2 y-2 z=0$
$-2 x+y+z=1$
(d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 x+2 y-z & =-1 \\
y-2 z & =6
\end{aligned}
$$

(e)

$$
x+y+z=3
$$

$$
2 x+3 y+z=6
$$

$$
x-y-z=-3
$$

(f) $\quad 4 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-3 x_{3}+6 x_{4}=12$

$$
-5 x_{1}+7 x_{2}+6.5 x_{3}-6 x_{4}=-6.5
$$

$$
x_{1}+7.5 x_{2}+6.25 x_{3}+5.5 x_{4}=16
$$

$$
-12 x_{1}+22 x_{2}+15.5 x_{3}-x_{4}=17
$$

2.5 Solve the following set of simultaneous linear equations by the Cholesky's factorisation method.
(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x-y= & 3 \\
-x+2 y-z= & -3 \\
-y+z= & 2
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
x+y+z=7
$$

$$
3 x+3 y+4 z=23
$$

$$
2 x+y+z=10
$$

(c)

$$
x+0.5 y=1
$$

$$
0.5 x+y+0.5 z=2
$$

$0.5 y+z=3$
(d)

$$
2 x+3 y+z=9
$$

$$
x+2 y+3 z=6
$$

$$
3 x+y+2 z=8
$$

(e) $\quad x-2 y+z=2$
$5 x+y-3 z=0$
$3 x+4 y+z=9$
(f) $\quad 12 x_{1}-6 x_{2}-6 x_{3}+1.5 x_{4}=1$
$-6 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+3 x_{3}+0.5 x_{4}=2$
$-6 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+6 x_{3}+1.5 x_{4}=3$
$-1.5 x_{1}+0.5 x_{2}+1.5 x_{3}+x_{4}=4$
2.6 Solve the following set of simultaneous linear equations using the Crout's method.
(a)
$2 x+y=7$
$x+2 y=5$
(b)
$3 x+2 y+7 z=4$
$2 x+3 y+z=5$
$3 x-4 y+z=7$
(c)

$$
x+y+z=9
$$

$$
2 x-3 y+4 z=13
$$

$$
3 x+y+5 z=40
$$

$$
3 x+y=-1
$$

$$
2 x+4 y+z=7
$$

$$
2 y+5 z=9
$$

(e)

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x+y-z= & 6 \\
x-3 y+5 z= & 11 \\
-x+5 y+4 z= & 13
\end{aligned}
$$

(f)

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}-x_{2} & =1 \\
-x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{3} & =0 \\
-x_{2}+2 x_{3}-x_{4} & =0 \\
-x_{3}+2 x_{4} & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

2.7 Solve the following tridiagonal system of equations using the Thomas algorithm.
(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}+x_{2} & =3 \\
-x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3} & =6 \\
3 x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =12
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $2 x_{1}+x_{2}=4$

$$
3 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3}=8
$$

$$
x_{2}+2 x_{3}+2 x_{4}=8
$$

$$
x_{3}+4 x_{4}=9
$$

(c) $3 x_{1}-x_{2}=2$

$$
2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}+2 x_{3}=1
$$

$$
x_{2}+2 x_{3}+5 x_{4}=13
$$

$$
x_{3}-x_{4}=-1
$$

$$
2 x_{1}+x_{2}=3
$$

$$
x_{1}+3 x_{2}+x_{3}=3
$$

$$
x_{2}+x_{3}+2 x_{4}=4
$$

$$
2 x_{3}+3 x_{4}=4
$$

(e)

$$
2 x_{1}+x_{2}=1
$$

$$
3 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3}=2
$$

$$
x_{2}+2 x_{3}+2 x_{4}=-1
$$

$$
x_{3}+4 x_{4}=-3
$$

(f)

$$
2 x_{1}-x_{2}=1
$$

$$
x_{1}+3 x_{2}+x_{3}=3
$$

$$
x_{2}+x_{3}+2 x_{4}=0
$$

$$
2 x_{3}+3 x_{4}=-1
$$

2.8 Solve the following set of simultaneous linear equations using the Jacobi's method.
(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x-y+5 z & =15 \\
2 x+y+z & =7 \\
x+3 y+z & =10
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
20 x+y-2 z & =17 \\
3 x+20 y-z & =-18 \\
2 x-3 y+20 z & =25
\end{aligned}
$$

(c)
$5 x+2 y+z=12$
$x+4 y+2 z=15$
$x+2 y+5 z=20$
(d)

$$
10 x-y+2 z=6
$$

$$
-x+11 y+z=22
$$

$$
2 x-y+10 z=-10
$$

(e)

$$
8 x+2 y-2 z=8
$$

$$
x-8 y+3 z=-4
$$

$$
2 x+y+9 z=12
$$

(f)

$$
\begin{aligned}
10 x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =6 \\
-x_{1}+11 x_{2}-x_{3}+3 x_{4} & =25 \\
2 x_{1}-x_{2}+10 x_{3}-x_{4} & =-1 \\
3 x_{2}-x_{3}+8 x_{4} & =15
\end{aligned}
$$

2.9 Solve the following system of simultaneous linear equations using the Gauss-Seidal method.
(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 x-3 y+5 z= & 34 \\
2 x-y-z & =6 \\
z+y+4 z & =15
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
2 x-y+5 z=15
$$

$$
2 x+y+z=7
$$

$$
x+3 y+z=10
$$

(c)

$$
15 x+3 y-2 z=85
$$

$$
2 x+10 y+z=51
$$

$$
x-2 y+8 z=5
$$

(d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
10 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4} & =3 \\
-2 x_{1}+10 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4} & =15 \\
-x_{1}-x_{2}+10 x_{3}-2 x_{4} & =27 \\
-x_{1}-x_{2}-2 x_{3}+10 x_{4} & =-9
\end{aligned}
$$

(e)

$$
\begin{align*}
4 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & =4 \\
2 x_{1}+8 x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =0 \\
2 x_{2}+8 x_{3}+2 x_{3} & =0 \\
2 x_{3}+4 x_{4} & =0 \\
4 x_{1}+2 x_{2} & =4  \tag{f}\\
2 x_{1}+8 x_{2}+2 x_{3} & =0 \\
2 x_{2}+8 x_{3}+2 x_{3} & =0 \\
2 x_{3}+4 x_{4} & =14
\end{align*}
$$

## Solution of Algebraic and Transcendental Equations

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

One of the most common problem encountered in engineering analysis is that given a function $f(x)$, find the values of $x$ for which $f(x)=0$. The solution (values of $x$ ) are known as the roots of the equation $f(x)=0$, or the zeroes of the function $f(x)$.

The roots of equations may be real or complex. In general, an equation may have any number of (real) roots, or no roots at all. For example, $\sin x-x=0$ has a single root, namely, $x=0$, whereas $\tan x-x=0$ has infinite number of roots ( $x=0, \pm 4.493, \pm 7.725, \ldots$ ). There are two types of methods available to find the roots of algebraic and transcendental equations of the form $f(x)=0$.

1. Direct Methods: Direct methods give the exact value of the roots in a finite number of steps. We assume here that there are no round off errors. Direct methods determine all the roots at the same time.
2. Indirect or Iterative Methods: Indirect or iterative methods are based on the concept of successive approximations. The general procedure is to start with one or more initial approximation to the root and obtain a sequence of iterates $\left(x_{k}\right)$ which in the limit converges to the actual or true solution to the root. Indirect or iterative methods determine one or two roots at a time.

The indirect or iterative methods are further divided into two categories: bracketing and open methods. The bracketing methods require the limits between which the root lies, whereas the open methods require the initial estimation of the solution. Bisection and False position methods are two known examples of the bracketing methods. Among the open methods, the Newton-Raphson and the method of successive approximation are most commonly used. The most popular method for solving a non-linear equation is the Newton-Raphson method and this method has a high rate of convergence to a solution.

In this chapter, we present the following indirect or iterative methods with illustrative examples:

1. Bisection Method
2. Method of False Position (Regular Falsi Method)
3. Newton-Raphson Method (Newton's method)
4. Successive Approximation Method.

### 3.2 BISECTION METHOD

After a root of $f(x)=0$ has been bracketed in the interval $(a, b)$. Bisection method can be used to close in on it. The Bisection method accomplishes this by successfully halving the interval until it becomes sufficiently small. Bisection method is also known as the interval halving method. Bisection method is not the fastest method available for finding roots of a function, but it is the most reliable method. Once $a$ has been bracketed, Bisection method will always close in on it.

We assume that $f(x)$ is a function that is real-valued and that $x$ is a real variable. Suppose that $f(x)$ is continuous on an interval $a \leq x \leq b$ and that $f(a) f(b)<0$. When this is the case, $f(x)$ will have opposite signs at the end points of the interval $(a, b)$. As shown in Fig. $3.1(a)$ and $(b)$, if $f(x)$ is continuous and has a solution between the points $x=a$ and $x=b$, then either $f(a)>0$ and $f(b)<0$ or $f(a)<0$ and $f(b)>0$. In other words, if there is a solution between $x=a$ and $x=b$, then $f(a) f(b)<0$.


Fig. 3.1: Solution of $f(x)=0$ between $x=a$ and $x=b$

The method of finding a solution with the Bisection method is illustrated in Fig. 3.2. It starts by finding points $a$ and $b$ that define an interval where a solution exists. The midpoint of the interval $x_{s_{1}}$ is then taken as the first estimate for the numerical solution. The true solution is either in the portion between points $a$ and $x_{s_{1}}$, or in the portion between points $x_{s_{1}}$ and $b$. If the solution obtained is not accurate enough, a new interval that contains the true solution is defined. The new interval selected is the half of the original interval that contains the true solution, and its midpoint is taken as the new (second) estimate of the numerical solution. The procedure is repeated until the numerical solution is accurate enough according to a certain criterion that is selected.

The procedure or algorithm for finding a numerical solution with the Bisection method is given below:

## Algorithm for the Bisection Method

1. Compute the first estimate of the numerical solution $x_{s_{1}}$ by

$$
x_{s_{1}}=\frac{a+b}{2}
$$



Fig. 3.2: Bisection method
2. Determine whether the true solution is between $a$ and $x_{S_{1}}$ or between $x_{S_{1}}$ and $b$ by checking the sign of the product

$$
f(a) f\left(x_{s_{1}}\right):
$$

If $f(a) f\left(x_{s_{1}}\right)<0$, the true solution is between $a$ and $x_{s_{1}}$.
If $f(a) f\left(x_{s_{1}}\right)>0$, the true solution is between $x_{s_{1}}$ and $b$.
If $b-c \leq \epsilon$, then accept $c$ as the root and stop. $\in$ is the error tolerance, $\in>0$.
3. Choose the subinterval that contains the true solution ( $a$ to $x_{s_{1}}$ or $x_{S_{1}}$ to $b$ ) as the new interval $(a, b)$, and go back to step 1.
Steps 1 through 3 are repeated until a specified tolerance or error bound is attained.

### 3.2.1 Error Bounds

Let $a_{n}, b_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ denote the $n^{\text {th }}$ computed values of $a, b$ and $x_{s_{1}}$ respectively. Then, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
b_{n+1}-a_{n+1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) & & n \geq 1 \\
\text { also } & b_{n}-a_{n} & =\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}(b-a) &  \tag{3.2}\\
n \geq 1
\end{array}
$$

where $(b-a)$ denotes the length of the original interval with which we started.

Since the root $x_{s}$ is in either the interval $\left(a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ or $\left(c_{n}, b_{n}\right)$, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{s}-c_{n}\right| \leq c_{n}-a_{n}=b_{n}-c_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the error bound for $c_{n}$ that is used in step 2 of the algorithm described earlier.
From Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain the further bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{s}-c_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}(b-a) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.4) shows that the iterate $c_{n}$ converges to $x_{s}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
To find out how many iterations will be necessary, suppose we want to have

$$
\left|x_{s}-c_{n}\right| \leq \in
$$

This will be satisfied if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{n}}(b-a) \leq \epsilon \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking logarithms of both sides of Eq.(3.5), and simplifying the resulting expression, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \geq \frac{\log \left(\frac{b-a}{\epsilon}\right)}{\log 2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are several advantages to the Bisection method. The method is guaranteed to converge. The method always converges to an answer, provided a root was bracketed in the interval $(a, b)$ to start with. In addition, the error bound, given in Eq. (3.4), is guaranteed to decrease by one-half with each iteration. The method may fail when the function is tangent to the axis and does not cross the $x$-axis at $f(x)=0$. The disadvantage of the Bisection method is that it generally converges more slowly than most other methods. For functions $f(x)$ that have a continuous derivative, other methods are usually faster. These methods may not always converge. When these methods do converge, they are almost always much faster than the Bisection method.

## Example E3.1

Use the Bisection method to find a root of the equation $x^{3}-4 x-8.95=0$ accurate to three decimal places using the Bisection method.

## Solution:

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=x^{3}-4 x-8.95=0 \\
& f(2)=2^{3}-4(2)-8.95=-8.95<0 \\
& f(3)=3^{3}-4(3)-8.95=6.05>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, a root lies between 2 and 3.
$f^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}-4>0$ for $x$ in the interval (2,3). Hence, we have $a=2$ and $b=3$. The results of the algorithm for Bisection method are shown in Table E3.1.

## Table E3.1: Bisection Method Results

| n | a | b | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 0.5 | -3.25 |
| 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.75 | 0.25 | 0.84688 |
| 3 | 2.5 | 2.75 | 2.625 | 0.125 | -1.36211 |
| 4 | 2.75 | 2.625 | 2.6875 | -0.0625 | -0.28911 |
| 5 | 2.75 | 2.6875 | 2.71875 | -0.03125 | 0.27092 |
| 6 | 2.6875 | 2.71875 | 2.70313 | 0.01563 | -0.01108 |
| 7 | 2.71875 | 2.70313 | 2.71094 | -0.00781 | 0.12942 |
| 8 | 2.71875 | 2.71094 | 2.71484 | -0.00391 | 0.20005 |
| 9 | 2.71094 | 2.71484 | 2.71289 | 0.00195 | 0.16470 |
| 10 | 2.71094 | 2.71289 | 2.71191 | 0.00098 | 0.14706 |
| 11 | 2.71094 | 2.71191 | 2.71143 | 0.00049 | 0.13824 |

Hence the root is 2.711 accurate to three decimal places.

## Example E3.2

Find one root of $e^{x}-3 x=0$ correct to two decimal places using the method of Bisection.

## Solution:

Here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =e^{x}-3 x \\
f(1.5) & =e^{1.5}-3(1.5)=-0.01831 \\
f(1.6) & =e^{1.6}-3(1.6)=0.15303
\end{aligned}
$$

$f^{\prime}(x)=e^{x}-3>0$ for $x$ in the interval (1.5, 1.6). Hence, a root lies in the interval (1.5, 1.6). Therefore, here we have $a=1.5$ and $b=1.6$. The results of the algorithm for Bisection method are shown in Table E3.2.

Table E3.2: Bisection Method Results

| n | a | b | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.55 | 0.05 | 0.06147 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 1.55 | 1.525 | 0.025 | 0.02014 |
| 3 | 1.5 | 1.525 | 1.5125 | 0.0125 | 0.00056 |
| 4 | 1.5 | 1.5125 | 1.50625 | 0.00625 | -0.00896 |
| 5 | 1.50625 | 1.5125 | 1.50938 | 0.00313 | -0.00422 |
| 6 | 1.50938 | 1.5125 | 1.51094 | 0.00156 | -0.00184 |

Hence the root of $f(x)=0$ is $x=1.51$ accurate up to two decimal places.

## Example E3.3

Determine the largest root of $f(x)=x^{6}-x-1=0$ accurate to within $\in=0.001$. Use the Bisection method.

## Solution:

Here | $f(x)$ | $=x^{6}-x-1=0$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $f(1)$ | $=1^{6}-1-1=-1$ |
| $f(2)$ | $=2^{6}-2-1=61$ |

Since $f(1) f(2)<0, f(x)=0$ has at least one root on the interval. The results of the algorithm for Bisection method are shown in Table E3.3.

Table E3.3: Bisection Method Results

| n | a | b | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}\right)$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 8.89063 |
| 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 1.25 | 0.25 | 1.56470 |
| 3 | 1 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 0.125 | -0.09771 |
| 4 | 1.125 | 1.25 | 1.1875 | 0.0625 | 0.61665 |
| 5 | 1.125 | 1.1875 | 1.15625 | 0.03125 | 0.23327 |
| 6 | 1.125 | 1.15625 | 1.14063 | 0.01563 | 0.06158 |
| 7 | 1.125 | 1.14063 | 1.13281 | 0.00781 | -0.01958 |
| 8 | 1.13281 | 1.14063 | 1.13672 | 0.00391 | 0.02062 |
| 9 | 1.13281 | 1.13672 | 1.13477 | 0.00195 | 0.00043 |
| 10 | 1.13281 | 1.13477 | 1.13379 | 0.00098 | -0.00960 |

### 3.3 METHOD OF FALSE POSITION

The method of False Position (also called the Regular Falsi method, and the linear interpolation method) is another well-known bracketing method. It is very similar to Bisection method with the exception that it uses a different strategy to end up with its new root estimate. Rather than bisecting the interval $(a, b)$, it locates the root by joining $f\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(b_{1}\right)$ with a straight line. The intersection of this line with the $x$-axis represents an improved estimate of the root.


Fig. 3.3: Method of false position
Here again, we assume that within a given interval $(a, b), f(x)$ is continuous and the equation has a solution. As shown in Fig. 3.3, the method starts by finding an initial interval $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ that brackets the solution. $f\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $f\left(b_{1}\right)$ are the values of the function at the end points $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$. These end points are connected by a straight line, and the first estimate of the numerical solution, $x_{s_{1}}$, is the point where the straight line
crosses the axis. For the second iteration, a new interval $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ is defined. The new interval is either $\left(a_{1}, x_{s_{1}}\right)$ where $a_{1}$ is assigned to $a_{2}$ and $x_{s_{1}}$ to $b_{2}$ or $\left(x_{s_{1}}, b_{1}\right)$ where $x_{s_{1}}$ is assigned to $a_{2}$ and $b_{1}$ to $b_{2}$. The end points of the second interval are connected with a straight line, and the point where this new line crosses the $x$-axis is the second estimate of the solution, $x_{S_{1}}$. A new subinterval $\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$ is selected for the third iteration and the iterations will be continued until the numerical solution is accurate enough.

The equation of a straight line that connects points $(b, f(b))$ to point $(a, f(a))$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(x-b)+f(b) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The points $x_{s}$ where the line intersects the $x$-axis is determined by substituting $y=0$ in Eq.(3.7) and solving the equation for $x$.

$$
\text { Hence } \quad x_{s}=\frac{a f(b)-b f(a)}{f(b)-f(a)}
$$

The procedure (or algorithm) for finding a solution with the method of False Position is given below:

## Algorithm for the method of False Position

1. Define the first interval $(a, b)$ such that solution exists between them. Check $f(a) f(b)<0$.
2. Compute the first estimate of the numerical solution $x_{s}$ using Eq.(3.8).
3. Find out whether the actual solution is between $a$ and $x_{S_{1}}$ or between $x_{S_{1}}$ and $b$. This is accomplished by checking the sign of the product $f(a) f\left(x_{s_{1}}\right)$.
If $f(a) f\left(x_{s_{1}}\right)<0$, the solution is between $a$ and $x_{s_{1}}$.
If $f(a) f\left(x_{S_{1}}\right)>0$, the solution is between $x_{S_{1}}$ and $b$.
4. Select the subinterval that contains the solution ( $a$ to $x_{s_{1}}$, or $x_{s_{1}}$ to $b$ ) is the new interval $(a, b)$ and go back to step 2. Step 2 through 4 are repeated until a specified tolerance or error bound is attained. The method of False Position always converges to an answer, provided a root is initially bracketed in the interval $(a, b)$.

## Example E3.4

Using the False Position method, find a root of the function $f(x)=e^{x}-3 x^{2}$ to an accuracy of 5 digits. The root is known to lie between 0.5 and 1.0.

## Solution:

We apply the method of False Position with $a=0.5$ and $b=1.0$. Equation (3.8) is

$$
x_{s}=\frac{a f(b)-b f(a)}{f(b)-f(a)}
$$

The calculations based on the method of False Position are shown in the Table E3.4.
Table E3.4

| n | a | b | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}\right)$ | $\xi$ <br> Relative error |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.89872 | -0.28172 | 0.88067 | 0.08577 | - |
| 2 | 0.88067 | 1 | 0.08577 | -0.28172 | 0.90852 | 0.00441 | 0.03065 |
| 3 | 0.90852 | 1 | 0.00441 | -0.28172 | 0.90993 | 0.00022 | 0.00155 |
| 4 | 0.90993 | 1 | 0.00022 | -0.28172 | 0.91000 | 0.00001 | 0.00008 |
| 5 | 0.91000 | 1 | 0.00001 | -0.28172 | 0.91001 | 0 | $3.7952 \times 10^{-6}$ |

The relative error after the fifth step is $\left(\frac{0.91001-0.91}{0.91001}\right)=3.7952 \times 10^{-6}$. The root is 0.91 accurate to five digits.

## Example E3.5

Find a real root of $\cos x-3 x+5=0$. Correct to four decimal places using the method of False Position method.

## Solution:

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\cos x-3 x+5=0 \\
f(0) & =\cos 0-3(0)+5=5>0 \\
f(\pi / 2) & =\frac{\cos \pi}{2}-3\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+5=\frac{-3 \pi}{2}+5<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, a root of $f(x)=0$ lies between 0 and $\pi / 2$. We apply the method of False Position with $a=0$ and $b=\pi / 2$. Equation (3.8) is

$$
x_{s}=\frac{a f(b)-b f(a)}{f(b)-f(a)}
$$

The calculations based on the method of False Position are shown in Table E3.5.
Table E3.5

| n | a | b | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}\right)$ | $\xi$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1.5708 | 6 | 0.28761 | 1.64988 | -0.02866 | - |
| 2 | 1.64988 | 1.5708 | -0.02866 | 0.28761 | 1.64272 | -0.00001 | -0.00436 |
| 3 | 1.64272 | 1.5708 | -0.00001 | 0.28761 | 1.64271 | 0 | $-1.97337 \times 10^{-6}$ |

The relative error after the third step is

$$
x=\frac{1.64271-1.64272}{1.64271}=-1.97337 \times 10^{-6}
$$

The root is 1.6427 accurate to four decimal places.

## Example E3.6

Using the method of False Position, find a real root of the equation $x^{4}-11 x+8=0$ accurate to four decimal places.

## Solution:

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=x^{4}-11 x+8=0 \\
& f(1)=1^{4}-11(1)+8=-2<0 \\
& f(2)=2^{4}-11(2)+8=4>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, a root of $f(x)=0$ lies between 1 and 2. We apply the method of False Position with $a=1$ and $b=2$. Equation (3.8) is

$$
x_{s}=\frac{a f(b)-b f(a)}{f(b)-f(a)}
$$

The calculations based on the method of False Position are summarised in Table E3.6.
Table E3.6

| n | a | b | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}_{1}}\right)$ | $\xi$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 1.5 | -3.4375 | - |
| 2 | 1.5 | 2 | -3.4375 | 2 | 1.81609 | -1.9895 | 0.17405 |
| 3 | 1.81609 | 2 | -1.09895 | 2 | 1.88131 | -0.16758 | $3.4666 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 4 | 1.88131 | 2 | -0.16758 | 2 | 1.89049 | -0.02232 | $4.85383 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 5 | 1.89049 | 2 | -0.02232 | 2 | 1.89169 | -0.00292 | $6.3902 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 6 | 1.89169 | 2 | -0.00292 | 2 | 1.89185 | -0.00038 | $8.34227 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 7 | 1.89185 | 2 | -0.00038 | 2 | 1.89187 | -0.00005 | $1.08786 \times 10^{-5}$ |

The relative error after the seventh step is

$$
\xi=\frac{1.89187-1.89185}{1.89187}=1.08786 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Hence, the root is 1.8918 accurate to four decimal places.

### 3.4 NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD

The Newton-Raphson method is the best-known method of finding roots of a function $f(x)$. The method is simple and fast. One drawback of this method is that it uses the derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ of the function as well as the function $f(x)$ itself. Hence, the Newton-Raphson method is usable only in problems where $f^{\prime}(x)$ can be readily computed. Newton-Raphson method is also called Newton's method. Here, again we assume that $f(x)$ is continuous and differentiable and the equation is known to have a solution near a given point. Figure 3.4 illustrates the procedure used in Newton-Raphson method. The solution process starts by selecting point $x_{1}$ as the first estimate of the solution. The second estimate $x_{2}$ is found by drawing the tangent line to $f(x)$ at the point ( $\left.x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ and determining the intersection point of the tangent line with the $x$-axis. The next estimate $x_{3}$ is the intersection of the tangent line to $f(x)$ at the point ( $x_{2}, f\left(x_{2}\right)$ ) with the $x$-axis, and so on. The slope, $f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$, of the tangent at point $\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-0}{x_{1}-x_{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rewriting Eq.(3.9) for $x_{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.10) can be generalised for determining the next solution $x_{i+1}$ from the current solution $x_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3.4: Newton-Raphson method
The solution is obtained by repeated application of the iteration formula given by Eq.(3.11) for each successive value of ' $i$ '.

## Algorithm for Newton-Raphson Method:

1. Select a point $x_{1}$ as an initial guess of the solution.
2. For $i=1,2, \ldots$, until the error is smaller than a specified value, compute $x_{i+1}$ by using Eq.(3.11). Two error estimates that are generally used in Newton-Raphson method are given below:

The iterations are stopped when the estimated relative error $\left|\frac{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}{x_{i}}\right|$ is smaller than a specified value $\in$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}{x_{i}}\right| \leq \epsilon \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The iterations are stopped when the absolute value of $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ is smaller than some number $\delta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \leq \delta \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Newton-Raphson method, when successful, works well and converges fast. Convergence problems occur when the value of $f^{\prime}(x)$ is close to zero in the vicinity of the solution, where $f(x)=0$. Newton-Raphson method generally converges when $f(x), f^{\prime}(x)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are all continuous, if $f^{\prime}(x)$ is not zero at the solution and if the starting value $x_{1}$ is near the actual solution.

### 3.4.1 Convergence of Newton-Raphson Method

The Newton-Raphson iteration formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}=\phi\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{3.13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general form of Eq.(3.13a) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\phi(x) \tag{3.13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Newton-Raphson iteration method given by Eq.(3.13b) converges if $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Here } \quad \phi(x)=x-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \\
& \text { Hence } \quad \phi^{\prime}(x)=1-\left[\frac{\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}-f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}}\right]=\frac{f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}} \\
& \text { or } \quad\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|\frac{f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Newton-Raphson's method converges if

$$
\left|\frac{f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}}\right|<1
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|<\left[f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} \tag{3.13c}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha$ denotes the actual root of $f(x)=0$, then we can select a small interval in which $f(x), f^{\prime}(x)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are all continuous and the condition given by Eq.(3.13c) is satisfied. Therefore, Newton-Raphson method always converges provided the initial approximation $x_{0}$ is taken very close to the actual root $\alpha$.

### 3.4.2 Rate of Convergence of Newton-Raphson Method

Let $\alpha$ denotes the exact value of the root of $f(x)=0$, and let $x_{i}, x_{i+1}$, be two successive approximations to the actual root $\alpha$. If $\epsilon_{i}$ and $\epsilon_{i+1}$ are the corresponding errors, we have

$$
x_{i}=\alpha+\epsilon_{i} \text { and } x_{i+1}=\alpha+\varepsilon_{i+1}
$$

by Newton-Raphson's iterative formula
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha+\epsilon_{i+1} & =\alpha+\epsilon_{i}-\frac{f\left(\alpha+\epsilon_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha+\epsilon_{i}\right)} \\
\epsilon_{i+1}-\epsilon_{i} & =-\frac{f\left(\alpha+\epsilon_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha+\epsilon_{i}\right)} \\
\epsilon_{i+1} & =\epsilon_{i}-\frac{f(\alpha)+\epsilon_{i} f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\left(\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{2}}{2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\cdots}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\epsilon_{i} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\cdots}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\epsilon_{i}-\frac{\epsilon_{i} f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{2}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\cdots}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\epsilon_{i} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\cdots}(\text { since } f(\alpha)=0) \\
& =\epsilon_{i}-\frac{\epsilon_{i}\left[f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\frac{\epsilon_{i}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\cdots\right]}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\epsilon_{i} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\cdots}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\epsilon_{i} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\cdots}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+\epsilon_{i} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)}\right)+\cdots}\right]=\epsilon_{i+1} \approx \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)}{2 f^{\prime}(\alpha)} \tag{3.13d}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.13d) shows that the error at each stage is proportional to the sequence of the error in the previous stage. Hence, Newton-Raphson method has a quadratic convergence.

## Example E3.7

Use Newton-Raphson method to find the real root near 2 of the equation $x^{4}-11 x+8=0$ accurate to five decimal places.

## Solution:

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =x^{4}-11 x+8 \\
f^{\prime}(x) & =4 x^{3}-11 \\
x_{0} & =2
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{0}\right)=f(2)=2^{4}-11(2)+8=2 \\
& f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}(2)=4(2)^{3}-11=21
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}=2-\frac{2}{21}=1.90476 \\
& x_{2}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}=1.90476-\frac{(1.90476)^{4}-11(1.90476)+8}{4(1.90476)^{3}-11}=1.89209 \\
& x_{3}=x_{2}-\frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)}=1.89209-\frac{(1.89209)^{4}-11(1.89209)+8}{4(1.89209)^{3}-11}=1.89188 \\
& x_{4}=x_{3}-\frac{f\left(x_{3}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}=1.89188-\frac{(1.89188)^{4}-11(1.89188)+8}{4(1.89188)^{3}-11}=1.89188
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the root of the equation is 1.89188 .

## Example E3.8

Using Newton-Raphson method, find a root of the function $f(x)=e^{x}-3 x^{2}$ to an accuracy of 5 digits. The root is known to lie between 0.5 and 1.0. Take the starting value of $x$ as $x_{0}=1.0$.

## Solution:

Start at $x_{0}=1.0$ and prepare a table as shown in Table E3.8, where $f(x)=e^{x}-3 x^{2}$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=e^{x}-6 x$. The relative error

$$
\xi=\left|\frac{x_{i+1}-x_{i}}{x_{i}+1}\right|
$$

The Newton-Raphson iteration method is given by

$$
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

Table E3.8

| i | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ | $\xi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 1.0 | -0.28172 | -3.28172 | 0.91416 | 0.09391 |
| 1 | 0.91416 | -0.01237 | -2.99026 | 0.91002 | 0.00455 |
| 2 | 0.91002 | -0.00003 | -2.97574 | 0.91001 | 0.00001 |
| 3 | 0.91001 | 0 | -2.97570 | 0.91001 | $6.613 \times 10^{-11}$ |

## Example E3.9

Evaluate $\sqrt{29}$ to five decimal places by Newton-Raphson iterative method.

## Solution:

Let $x=\sqrt{29}$ then $x^{2}-29=0$.
We consider $f(x)=x^{2}-29=0$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=2 x$
The Newton-Raphson iteration formula gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}=x_{i}-\frac{x_{i}^{2}-29}{2 x_{i}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i}+\frac{29}{x_{i}}\right) \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $f(5)=25-29=-4<0$ and $f(6)=36-29=7>0$.
Hence, a root of $f(x=0)$ lies between 5 and 6 .
Taking $x_{0}=3.3$, Equation (E.1) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(5.3+\frac{29}{5.3}\right)=5.38585 \\
& x_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(5.38585+\frac{29}{5.38585}\right)=5.38516 \\
& x_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(5.38516+\frac{29}{5.38516}\right)=5.38516
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{2}=x_{3}$ up to five decimal places, $\sqrt{29}=5.38516$.

### 3.4.3 Modified Newton-Raphson Method

Here, the iteration scheme is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}+a\left(x_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}=\phi\left(x_{i}\right) \text { (say) } \tag{3.13e}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\phi(x)=x-\frac{f(x)}{f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))}
$$

where $a(x)$ is a smooth function.
Consider $\quad \phi^{\prime}(x)=1-\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))}+\frac{f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\left(1+a^{\prime}(x) f(x)+a(x) f^{\prime}(x)\right)}{\left[f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\right]^{2}}$
and

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))}+2 \frac{f^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\left[1+a^{\prime}(x) f(x)+a(x) f^{\prime}(x)\right]}{\left[f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\right]^{2}} \\
& -2 \frac{f(x)\left[f^{\prime \prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\right]^{2}\left[1+a^{\prime}(x) f(x)+a(x) f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}}{\left[f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\right]^{2}} \\
+ & \frac{f(x) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\left[1+a^{\prime}(x) f(x)+a(x) f^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}}{\left[f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\right]^{2}}+\frac{[f(x)]^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x+a(x) f(x)) a^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left[f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\right]^{2}} \\
& +\frac{f(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\left[2 a^{\prime}(x) f^{\prime}(x)+a(x) f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]}{\left[f^{\prime}(x+a(x) f(x))\right]^{2}} \tag{3.13g}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\xi$ is the root of the equation $f(x)=0$, then $f(\xi)=0$ and therefore $\phi(\xi)=\xi$ and $\phi^{\prime}(\xi)=0$.
Now, from Eq.(3.13g)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)=-\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{f^{\prime}(\xi)}+\frac{2 f^{\prime}(\xi) f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\left[1+a(\xi) f^{\prime}(\xi)\right]}{\left[f^{\prime}(\xi)\right]^{2}}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{f^{\prime}(\xi)}\left[1+2 a(\xi) f^{\prime}(\xi)\right] \tag{3.13h}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\quad a(\xi)=-\frac{1}{2 f^{\prime}(\xi)}$ then $\phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)=0$.
Therefore, the iteration scheme in modified Newton-Raphson method is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left[x_{i}+a\left(x_{i}\right)+f\left(x_{i}\right)\right]} \tag{3.13i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad a\left(x_{i}\right)=-\frac{1}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}$
Equation (3.13i) can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}-f\left(x_{i}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \tag{3.13j}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\xi)=\xi, \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=0 \text { and } \phi^{\prime}(\xi)=0 \tag{3.13k}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4.4 Rate of Convergence of Modified Newton-Raphson Method

Let $\xi$ be the root of the equation $f(x)=0$. In addition, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{i} & =x_{i}-\xi \\
\text { Hence } & x_{i+1} & =\phi\left(x_{i}\right)=\phi\left(\epsilon_{i}+\xi\right) \\
\text { or } & \epsilon_{i+1}+\xi & =\phi(\xi)+\epsilon_{i} \phi^{\prime}(\xi)+\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{2}}{2!} \phi^{\prime}(\xi)+\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{3}}{3!} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)+\cdots \\
\text { or } & \quad \epsilon_{i+1} & =\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{3}}{3!} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)+O\left(\epsilon_{i}^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If we neglect the terms $\epsilon_{i}^{4}$ and higher powers of $\epsilon_{i}^{4}$, Eq.(3.13l) reduces to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\in_{i+1} & =A \epsilon_{i}^{3} \\
\text { in which } \quad A & =\frac{1}{3!} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)
\end{array}
$$

Equation (3.13m) shows that the rate of convergence of the modified Newton-Raphson method is cubic.

## Example E3.10

Repeat Example E3.7 using modified Newton-Raphson method.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =x^{4}-11 x+8 \\
f^{\prime}(x) & =4 x^{3}-11 \\
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =12 x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The modified Newton-Raphson's formula is

$$
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}-f\left(x_{i}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

The calculations are shown in Table E3.10.
Table E3. 10

| n | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 48 | 1.878261 |
| 1 | 1.878261 | -0.21505 | 15.50499 | 42.33437 | 1.891624 |
| 2 | 1.891624 | -0.00405 | 16.07476 | 42.93891 | 1.891876 |
| 3 | 1.891876 | $-1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | 16.08557 | 42.95034 | 1.891876 |

Hence, the root is 1.891876 .

### 3.5 SUCCESSIVE APPROXIMATION METHOD

Suppose we are given an equation $f(x)=0$ whose roots are to be determined. The equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=f(x) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x=x_{0}$ be an initial approximation to the desired root $\alpha$. Then, the first approximation $x_{1}$ is given by

$$
x_{1}=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

The second approximation $x_{2}=\phi\left(x_{1}\right)$. The successive approximations are then given by $x_{3}=\phi\left(x_{2}\right)$, $x_{4}=\phi\left(x_{3}\right), \ldots, x_{n}=\phi\left(x_{n-1}\right)$.

The sequence of approximations of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ always converge to the root of $x=\phi(x)$ and it can be shown that if $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$, when $x$ is sufficiently close to the exact value $c$ of the root and $x_{n} \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The convergence of $x_{i+1}=\phi\left(x_{n}\right)$, for $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$ is shown in Fig. 3.5. The following theorem presents the convergence criteria for the iterative sequence of solution for the Successive Approximation method.

Theorem 3.5: Let $\alpha$ be a root of $f(x)=0$ which is equivalent to $x=\phi(x), \phi(x)$ is continuously differentiable function in an interval $I$ containing the root $x=\alpha$, if $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$, then the sequence of approximations $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ will converge to the root $\alpha$ provided the initial approximation $x_{0} \in I$.


Fig. 3.5: Converge of $x_{i+1}=\phi\left(x_{n}\right)$, for $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$
Proof: Let $\alpha$ be the actual root of $x=\phi(x)$, then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\phi(a) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x=x_{0}$ be an initial approximation to the root, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$
\alpha-x_{1}=\phi(\alpha)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

By using the Lagrange's mean value theorem, we can write

$$
\alpha-x_{1}=\left(a-x_{0}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right) \quad \text { for } x_{0}<x_{0}<\alpha
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha-x_{2}=\left(\alpha-x_{1}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right) & \text { for } x_{1}<\xi_{1}<\alpha \\
\alpha-x_{3}=\left(\alpha-x_{2}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right) & \text { for } x_{2}<\xi_{2}<\alpha
\end{array}
$$

and so on, or

$$
\alpha-x_{n}=\left(\alpha-x_{n-1}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n-1}\right) \quad \text { for } x_{n-1}<\xi_{n-1}<\alpha
$$

Multiplying the above equations, we obtain

$$
\alpha-x_{n}=\left(\alpha-x_{0}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right), \ldots, \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n-1}\right)
$$

If $\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \leq \kappa<1$, for all I, then

$$
\left|x_{n}-\alpha\right| \leq\left|x_{0}-\alpha\right| \xi_{0}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right| \phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \ldots\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n-1}\right)\right| \leq \kappa, \kappa \ldots \kappa\left|x_{0}-\alpha\right| \leq \kappa^{n}\left|x_{0}-\alpha\right|
$$

As $\kappa<1$ therefore $\kappa^{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and thus we have $x_{n} \rightarrow \alpha$, provided $x_{0} \in I$.
Hence, the theorem is proved.

### 3.5.1 Error Estimate in the Successive Approximation Method

Let $\epsilon_{n}=x_{n}-\xi$, the error estimate at the $n^{\text {th }}$ iteration, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\epsilon_{n+1} / \epsilon_{n}\right)=\phi^{\prime}(\xi)$ is satisfied. We know that $\left|x_{n+1}-\xi\right|=\left|\phi\left(x_{n}\right)-\phi(\xi)\right|=\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right|\left|x_{n}-\xi\right|, \xi_{n} \in\left(x_{n}, \xi\right)$ by the mean value theorem. Hence

$$
\epsilon_{n+1}=\epsilon_{n}\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right| \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\epsilon_{n+1} / \epsilon_{n}\right)=\phi^{\prime}(\xi)
$$

Therefore, we obtain the order of convergence as linear. But if $\phi^{\prime}(\xi)=0$ and $\phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \neq 0$, then the Taylor series expansion of $\phi$ in a neighbourhood of $\xi$ is given by

$$
\phi\left(x_{n}\right)=\phi(\xi)+\left(x_{n}-\xi\right) \phi^{\prime}(\xi)+\frac{\left(x_{n}-\xi\right)^{2}}{\angle 2} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+\cdots
$$

which shows that

$$
\epsilon_{n+1}=\epsilon_{n} \phi^{\prime}(\xi)-\frac{\epsilon_{n}^{2}}{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+\frac{\epsilon_{n}^{3}}{6} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-\cdots
$$

on using $x_{n+1}=\phi\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $\epsilon_{n}=\left|x_{n+1}-\xi\right|$.
Hence, $\epsilon_{n+1}=-\frac{\epsilon_{n}^{2}}{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}(\xi)$, on neglecting the terms containing cubes and higher power of $\epsilon_{n}$. This is a quadratic convergence.

## Example E3.11

Find a real root of $x^{3}-2 x-3=0$, correct to three decimal places using the Successive Approximation method.

## Solution:

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Here } & f(x)=x^{3}-2 x-3=0 \\ \text { Also } & f(1)=1^{3}-2(1)-3=-4<0 \\ \text { and } & f(2)=2^{3}-2(2)-3=1>0\end{array}$
and
$f(2)=2^{3}-2(2)-3=1>0$

Therefore, root of Eq.(E.1) lies between 1 and 2. Since $f(1)<f(2)$, we can take the initial approximation $x_{0}=1$. Now, Eq. (E.1) can be rewritten as
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{3} & =2 x+3 \\
x & =(2 x+3)^{1 / 3}=\phi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The successive approximations of the root are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(2 x_{0}+3\right)^{1 / 3}=[2(1)+3]^{1 / 3}=1.25992 \\
& x_{2}=\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(2 x_{1}+3\right)^{1 / 3}=[2(1.25992)+3]^{1 / 3}=1.31229 \\
& x_{3}=\phi\left(x_{2}\right)=\left(2 x_{2}+3\right)^{1 / 3}=[2(1.31229)+3]^{1 / 3}=1.32235 \\
& x_{4}=\phi\left(x_{3}\right)=\left(2 x_{3}+3\right)^{1 / 3}=[2(1.3235)+3]^{1 / 3}=1.32427 \\
& x_{5}=\phi\left(x_{4}\right)=\left(2 x_{4}+3\right)^{1 / 3}=[2(1.32427)+3]^{1 / 3}=1.32463
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the real roots of $f(x)=0$ is 1.324 correct to three decimal places.

## Example E3.12

Find a real root of $2 x-\log _{10} x-9$ using the Successive Approximation method.

## Solution:

Here $\quad f(x)=2 x-\log _{10} x-9$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(4)=2(4)-\log _{10}(4)-9=8-0.60206-9=-1.60206  \tag{E.1}\\
& f(5)=2(5)-\log _{10}(5)-9=10-0.69897-9=0.30103
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, a root of Eq.(E.1) lies between 4 and 5. Rewriting Eq.(E.1) as

$$
x=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log _{10} x+9\right)=\phi(x)
$$

We start with $x_{0}=4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log _{10} 4+9\right)=4.80103 \\
& x_{2}=\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log _{10} 4.80103+9\right)=4.84067 \\
& x_{3}=\phi\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log _{10} 4.84067+9\right)=4.84245 \\
& x_{4}=\phi\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log _{10} 4.84245+9\right)=4.84253 \\
& x_{5}=\phi\left(x_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log _{10} 4.84253+9\right)=4.84254
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $x=4.8425$ is the root of Eq.(E.1) correct to four decimal places.

## Example E3.13

Find a real root of $\cos x-3 x+5=0$. Correct to four decimal places using the Successive Approximation method.

## Solution:

Here, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\cos x-3 x+5=0 \\
f(0) & =\cos (0)-3(0)+5=5>0 \\
f(\pi / 2) & =\cos (\pi / 2)-3(\pi / 2)+5=-3 \pi / 2+5<0 \\
f(0) f(\pi / 2) & <0
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

Hence, a root of $f(x)=0$ lies between 0 and $\pi / 2$.
The given Eq. (E.1) can be written as

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos x] \\
\phi(x) & =\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos x] \text { and } \phi^{\prime}(x)=-\frac{\sin x}{3} \\
\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right| & =\left|\frac{\sin x}{3}\right|<1 \text { in }(0, \pi / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the successive approximation method applies.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=0 \\
& x_{1}=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos 0]=2 \\
& x_{2}=\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (2)]=1.52795 \\
& x_{3}=\phi\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.52795)]=1.68094 \\
& x_{4}=\phi\left(x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.68094)]=1.63002 \\
& x_{5}=\phi\left(x_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.63002)]=1.64694 \\
& x_{6}=\phi\left(x_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.64694)]=1.64131 \\
& x_{7}=\phi\left(x_{6}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.64131)]=1.64318 \\
& x_{8}=\phi\left(x_{7}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.64318)]=1.64256 \\
& x_{9}=\phi\left(x_{8}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.64256)]=1.64277 \\
& x_{10}=\phi\left(x_{9}\right)=\frac{1}{3}[5+\cos (1.64277)]=1.64270
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the root of the equation is 1.6427 correct to four decimal places.

### 3.6 SECANT METHOD

The secant method is very similar to the Newton-Raphson method. The main disadvantage of the NewtonRaphson method is that the method requires the determination of the derivatives of the function at several points. Often, the calculation of these derivatives takes too much time. In some cases, a closed-form expression for $f^{\prime}(x)$ may difficult to obtain or may not be available.

To remove this drawback of the Newton-Raphson method, the derivatives of the function being approximated by finite differences instead of being calculated analytically. In particular, the derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ is approximated by the backward difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}$ and $x_{i-1}$ are two approximations to the root but does not require the condition $f\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot f\left(x_{i-1}\right)<0$. Now, from the Newton-Raphson method, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here from Eq.(3.18) that this method requires two initial guess values $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ for the root. The secant method is illustrated geometrically as shown in Fig. 3.6, where a secant is drawn connecting $f\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{i}\right)$. The point where it intersects the $x$-axis is $x_{i+1}$. Another secant is drawn connecting $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)$ to obtain $x_{i+2}$ and the process continues.


Fig. 3.6: The secant method

### 3.6.1 Convergence of the Secant Method

The formula for the secant method can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n-1}\right)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi$ be the exact root of the equation $f(x)=0$ and $f(\xi)=0$. The error at the $n^{\text {th }}$ iteration is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{n}=x_{n}-\xi \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now Eq.(3.19) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{n+1} & =\epsilon_{n}-\frac{\left(\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right) f\left(\epsilon_{n}+\xi\right)}{f\left(\epsilon_{n}+\xi\right)-f\left(\epsilon_{n-1}+\xi\right)} \\
& =\epsilon_{n}-\frac{\left(\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right)\left[f(\xi)+\epsilon_{n} f^{\prime}(\xi)+\left(\epsilon_{n}^{2} / 2\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+\cdots\right]}{\left(\epsilon_{n}-\epsilon_{n-1}\right) f^{\prime}(\xi)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{n}^{2}-\epsilon_{n-1}^{2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)+\cdots} \\
& =\epsilon_{n}-\left[\epsilon_{n}+\frac{\epsilon_{n}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{2 f^{\prime}(\xi)}+\cdots\right]\left[1+\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{n}+\epsilon_{n-1}\right) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{f^{\prime}(\xi)}+\cdots\right]^{-1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{n} \in_{n-1} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{f^{\prime}(\xi)}+O\left(\epsilon_{n}^{2} \epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n} \epsilon_{n-1}^{2}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.21) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\in_{n+1}=c \in_{n} \in_{n-1} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{1}{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{f^{\prime}(\xi)} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.23) is a non-linear difference equation which can be solved by letting $\epsilon_{n+1}=A \in_{n}^{p}$ or $\epsilon_{n}=A \epsilon_{n-1}^{p}$ and which gives

$$
\epsilon_{n-1}=\epsilon_{n}^{1 / p} A^{-1 / p}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \epsilon_{n}^{p}=c \in_{n} \in_{n}^{1 / p} A^{-1 / p} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\in_{n}^{p}=c A^{-(1+1 / p)} \in_{n}^{1+1 / p} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by equating the power of $\epsilon_{n}$ both sides of Eq.(3.25), we obtain

$$
p=1+\frac{1}{p}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{5}) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore taking the positive sign in Eq.(3.26), we get

$$
p=1.618
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{n+1}=A \epsilon_{n}^{1.618} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the rate of convergence of the secant method is 1.618 which is lesser than the Newton-Raphson method. The second method evaluates the function only once in each iteration whereas the Newton-Raphson method evaluates two functions $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ in each iteration. Therefore, the second method is more efficient than the Newton-Raphson method.

## Example E3.14

Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-8 x-5=0$ using the secant method.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=x^{3}-8 x-5=0 \\
& f(3)=3^{3}-8(3)-5=-2 \\
& f(4)=4^{3}-8(4)-5=-27
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore one root lies between 3 and 4. Let the initial approximations be $x_{0}=3$, and $x_{1}=3.5$. Then, $x_{2}$ is given by

$$
x_{2}=\frac{x_{0} f\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

The calculations are summarised in Table E3.14.
Table E3.14: Secant method

| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | -2 | 3.5 | 9.875 | 3.08421 | -0.33558 |
| 3.5 | 9.875 | 3.08421 | -0.33558 | 3.09788 | -0.05320 |
| 3.08421 | -0.33558 | 3.09788 | -0.05320 | 3.10045 | 0.00039 |
| 3.08788 | -0.05320 | 3.10045 | 0.00039 | 3.10043 | 0 |
| 3.10045 | 0.00039 | 3.10043 | 0 | 3.10043 | 0 |

Hence, a root is 3.1004 correct up to five significant figures.

## Example E3.15

Determine a root of the equation $\sin x+3 \cos x-2=0$ using the secant method. The initial approximations $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ are 0 and 1.5.

## Solution:

The formula for $x_{2}$ is given by

$$
x_{2}=\frac{x_{0} f\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

The calculations are summarised in Table E3.15.
Table E3.15: Secant method

| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | -2.33914 | 1.5 | -0.79029 | 1.24488 | -0.09210 |
| 1.5 | -0.79029 | 1.24488 | -0.09210 | 1.21122 | -0.00833 |
| 1.24488 | -0.09210 | 1.21122 | -0.00833 | 1.20788 | -0.00012 |
| 1.21122 | -0.00833 | 1.20788 | -0.00012 | 1.20783 | 0 |
| 1.20788 | -0.00012 | 1.20783 | 0 | 1.20783 | 0 |

Hence, a root is 1.2078 correct up to five significant figures.

## Example E3.16

Repeat Example E3.14 with initial approximations of $x_{0}=-2$ and $x_{1}=-1.5$.

## Solution:

$x_{2}$ is given by

$$
x_{2}=\frac{x_{0} f\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}
$$

The calculations are summarised in Table E3.16.
Table E3.16: Secant method

| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2 | -4.15774 | -1.5 | -2.78528 | -0.48529 | 0.18715 |
| -1.5 | -2.78528 | -0.48529 | 0.18715 | -0.54918 | 0.03687 |
| -0.48529 | 0.18715 | -0.54918 | 0.03687 | -0.56488 | -0.00129 |
| -0.54918 | 0.03687 | -0.56485 | -0.00129 | -0.56432 | 0.00001 |
| -0.56485 | -0.00129 | -0.56432 | 0.00001 | -0.56433 | 0 |
| -0.56432 | 0.00001 | -0.56433 | 0 | -0.56433 | 0 |

Hence, a root is -0.5643 correct up to five significant figures.

### 3.7 MULLER'S METHOD

Muller's method is an iterative method and free from the evaluation of derivative as in Newton-Raphson method. It requires three starting points $\left(x_{n-2}, f_{n-2}\right),\left(x_{n-1}, f_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, f_{2}\right)$. A parabola is constructed that passes through these points then the quadratic formula is employed to find a root of the quadratic for the next approximation. In other words, we assume that $x_{n}$ is the best approximation to the root and consider the parabola through the three starting values as shown in Fig. 3.7. We denote $f\left(x_{n-2}\right)=f_{n-2}, f\left(x_{n-1}\right)=f_{n-1}$ and $f\left(x_{n}\right)=f_{n}$.


Fig. 3.7: Muller's method
Let the quadratic polynomial be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If Eq.(3.28) passes through the points $\left(x_{n-2}, f_{n-2}\right),\left(x_{n-1}, f_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& a x_{n-2}^{2}+b x_{n-2}+c=f_{n-2}  \tag{3.29}\\
& a x_{n-1}^{2}+b x_{n-1}+c=f_{n-1} \\
& a x_{n}^{2}+b x_{n}+c=f_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $a, b, c$ from Eq.(3.29), we obtain the following determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
f(x) & x^{2} & x & 1  \tag{3.30}\\
f_{n-2} & x_{n-2}^{2} & x_{n-2} & 1 \\
f_{n-1} & x_{n-1}^{2} & x_{n-1} & 1 \\
f_{n} & x_{n}^{2} & x_{n} & 1
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

By expanding this determinant in Eq.(3.30), the function $f(x)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{n-2}-x_{n-1}\right)\left(x_{n-2}-x_{n}\right)} f_{n-2}+\frac{\left(x-x_{n-2}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n-2}\right)\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right)} f_{n-1} \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{n-2}\right)\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-x_{n-2}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)} f_{n} \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.31) is a quadratic polynomial passing through the three given points.
Let $\quad h=x-x_{n}, h_{n}=x_{n}-x_{n-1}$ and $h_{n-1}=x_{n-1}-x_{n-2}$.
Now, Eq.(3.31) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h\left(h+h_{n}\right)}{h_{n-1}\left(h_{n-1}+h_{n}\right)} f_{n-2}-\frac{h\left(h+h_{n}+h_{n-1}\right)}{h_{n} h_{n-1}} f_{n-1}+\frac{\left(h+h_{n}\right)\left(h+h_{n}+h_{n-1}\right)}{h_{n}\left(h_{n}+h_{n-1}\right)} f_{n}=0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting $\quad f(x)=0$.
Let $\quad \lambda=\frac{h}{h_{n}}, \lambda_{n}=\frac{h_{n}}{h_{n-1}}$ and $\delta_{n}=1+\lambda_{n}$
The Equation (3.32) now reduces to the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}\left(f_{n-1} \lambda_{n}^{2} f_{n-1} \lambda_{n} \delta_{n}+f_{n} \lambda_{n}\right) \delta_{n}^{-1}+\lambda\left\{f_{n-2} \lambda_{n}^{2}-f_{n-2} \delta_{n}^{2}+f_{n}\left(\lambda_{n}+\delta_{n}\right)\right\} \delta_{n}^{-1}+f_{n}=0 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} c_{n}+\lambda g_{n}+\delta_{n} f_{n}=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n} & =\lambda_{n}^{2} f_{n-2}-\delta_{n}^{2} f_{n-1}+\left(\lambda_{n}+\delta_{n}\right) f_{n} \\
c_{n} & =\lambda_{n}\left(\lambda_{n} f_{n-2}-\delta_{n} f_{n-1}+f_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (3.34) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n} f_{n}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)+\frac{g_{n}}{\lambda}+c_{n}=0 \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Eq.(3.35) for $1 / \lambda$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\frac{2 \delta_{n} f_{n}}{g_{n} \pm \sqrt{g_{n}^{2}-4 \delta_{n} f_{n} c_{n}}} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sign in the denominator of (3.36) is $\pm$ according as $g_{n}>0$ or $g_{n}<0$.

Hence $\quad \lambda=\frac{x-x_{n}}{x_{n}-x_{n-1}}$ or $x=x_{n}+\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right) \lambda$
Now, replacing $x$ on left hand side by $x_{n+1}$ in Eq.(3.37), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}+\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right) \lambda \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.38) is the Muller's formula for the root and the procedure for finding a root is summarised in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Muller's method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, \lambda_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}-2}}, \delta_{\mathrm{n}}=1+\lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}}=\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}-\delta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}+\delta_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}=\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}-\delta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \\
& \lambda=-\frac{2 \delta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}} \pm \sqrt{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-4 \delta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}}} \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \lambda \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}=\mathrm{xn}+\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E3.17

Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-3 x-7=0$ using the Miller's method where the root lies between 2 and 3 .

## Solution:

Let $x_{0}=2$, $x_{1}=2.5$ and $x_{2}=3$. The calculations are shown in Tables E3.16 and E3.17(a).
Table E3.17: Muller's method

| n | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 2.5 | 3 | 2.4272 | -0.5728 | 0.5 | -1.14559 | -0.14559 |
| 4 | 3 | 2.4272 | 2.42599 | -0.00122 | -0.5728 | 0.00213 | 1.00213 |
| 5 | 2.4272 | 2.42599 | 2.42599 | 0 | -0.00122 | -0.0029 | 0.99710 |

Table E3.17 (a): Muller's method

| n | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-2}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\lambda$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -5 | 1.125 | 11 | 23.5 | 3.75 | -1.14559 | 2.42720 |
| 3 | 1.125 | 11 | 0.01781 | 1.22026 | -0.37867 | 0.00213 | 2.42599 |
| 4 | 11 | 0.01781 | -0.00005 | -0.01789 | 0.00001 | -0.0029 | 2.42599 |
| 5 | 0.01781 | -0.0005 | 0 | 0.00005 | 0 | -0.00005 | 2.42599 |

Hence one root is 2.42599 correct up to five decimal places.

### 3.8 CHEBYSHEV METHOD

Consider the equation to be solved as $f(x)=0$. The function $f(x)$ can be expanded by Taylor's series in the neighbourhood of $x_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0=f(x)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+x-x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\cdots \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.39) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.40) gives the $(n+1)^{\mathrm{th}}$ approximation to the root.
Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once again, we expand $f(x)$ by Taylor's series and retain up to the second order term, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=f(x)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(x-x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n+1}\right)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the value of $x_{n+1}-x_{n}$ from (3.41) to the last term and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[f\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{2}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[f\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{2}}{\left[f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]^{3}} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.45) can be recognised as the extended form of Newton-Raphson formula and it is called the Chebyshev's formula.

The rate of convergence of this method can be shown to be a cubic.

### 3.9 AITKEN'S $\Delta^{2}$ METHOD

Suppose we have an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose roots are to be determined.
Let $I$ be an interval containing the point $x=\alpha$.
Now, Eq.(3.46) can be written as $x=\phi(x)$ such that $\phi(x)$ and $\phi^{\prime}(x)$ are continuous in $I$ and $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$ for all $x$ in $I$.

Denoting $x_{i-1}, x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$ as the three successive approximations to the desired root $\alpha$, we can write
and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha-x_{i} & =\lambda\left(\alpha-x_{i-1}\right)  \tag{3.47}\\
\alpha-x_{i+1} & =\lambda\left(\alpha-x_{i}\right) \tag{3.48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a constant so that $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \lambda \leq 1$ for all $i$.
Dividing Eq.(3.47) with Eq. (3.48), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha-x_{i}}{\alpha-x_{i+1}}=\frac{\alpha-x_{i-1}}{\alpha-x_{i}} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.49) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=x_{i+1}-\frac{\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\quad \Delta x_{i}=x_{i+1}-x_{i}$
and $\quad \Delta^{2} x_{i-1}=(E-1)^{2} x_{i-1}=\left(E^{2}-2 E+1\right) x_{i-1}=x_{i+1}-2 x_{i}+x_{i-1}$
Using Eq.(3.51), Eq.(3.50) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=x_{i+1}-\frac{\left(\Delta x_{i}\right)^{2}}{\Delta_{i-1}^{2}} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.52) gives the successive approximation to the root $\alpha$ and method is known as the Aitken's $\Delta^{2}$ method.


## Example E3.18

Find the root of the function $x=\left(\frac{1+\cos x}{3}\right)$ correct to four decimal places using Aitken's iteration method.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) & =\cos x-3 x+1  \tag{E.1}\\
f(0) & =1 \\
f(\pi / 2) & =\cos (\pi / 2)-3(\pi / 2)+1=-8.42857 \\
f(0) & >0 \text { and } f(\pi / 2)<0 \\
f(0) f(\pi / 2) & =1(-8.42857)=-8.42857<0
\end{align*}
$$

Hence
Also
Therefore, a root exists between 0 and $\pi / 2$.
Equation (E.1) can be written as

$$
x=\left(\frac{1+\cos x}{3}\right)=\phi(x)
$$

Now $\quad \phi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{-\sin x}{3}=\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|\frac{-\sin x}{3}\right|<1-x \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

Equation (E.2) signifies that Aitken's method can be employed.
Let $x_{0}=0$ be an initial approximation to the root of (E.1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{1+\cos 0}{3}\right)=0.66667 \\
& x_{2}=\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1+\cos (0.66667)}{3}=0.59530 \\
& x_{3}=\phi\left(x_{2}\right)=\frac{1+\cos (0.59530)}{3}=0.60933
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now construct the table as shown in Table E3.18.
Table E3.18: Aitken's method

| $x$ | $\Delta x$ | $\Delta^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}=0.66667$ | $\frac{-0.07137}{\Delta x_{1}}$ |  |
| $x_{2}=0.59530$ |  | $\frac{0.08540}{\Delta^{2} x_{1}}$ |
| $x_{3}=0.60933$ | $\frac{0.01403}{\Delta x_{2}}$ |  |

Therefore, $\quad x_{4}=x_{3}-\frac{\left(\Delta x_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\Delta^{2} x_{1}\right)}=0.60933-\frac{(0.01403)^{2}}{(0.08540)}=0.60702$
Hence, the root is 0.6070 correct up to four decimal places.

### 3.10 COMPARISON OF ITERATIVE METHODS

The Bisection method and the method of False Position always converge to an answer, provided a root is bracketed in the interval $(a, b)$ to start with. Since the root lies in the interval $(a, b)$, on every iteration the width of the interval is reduced until the solution is obtained. The Newton-Raphson method and the method of Successive Approximations require only one initial guess and on every iteration it approaches to the true solution or the exact root. The Bisection method is guaranteed to converge. The Bisection method may fail when the function is tangent to the axis and does not cross the $x$-axis at $f(x)=0$.

The Bisection method, the method of False Position, and the method of Successive Approximations converge linearly while the Newton-Raphson method converges quadratically. Newton-Raphson method requires less number of iterations than the other three methods. One disadvantage with Newton-Raphson method is that when the derivative $f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is zero, a new starting or initial value of $x$ must be selected to continue with the iterative procedure. The Successive Approximation method converges only when the condition $\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|<1$ is satisfied. Table 3.2 gives a summary of the comparison of the methods presented in this chapter.

Table 3.2: Comparison of the methods

| S.No. | Method | Formula | Order of convergence | Functional evaluations at each step |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Bisection | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s} 1}=\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}$ | One bit/iteration gain | 1 |
| 2. | False position | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{af}(\mathrm{b})-\mathrm{bf}(\mathrm{a})}{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{b})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})}$ | 1 | 1 |
| 3. | Newton-Raphson | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\frac{\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}$ | 2 | 2 |
| 4. | Modified Newton-Raphson | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}\right]}$ | 3 | 3 |
| 5. | Successive approximation | $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\phi\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ | 1 | 1 |
| 6. | Secant | $x_{i+1}=x_{i}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)}{f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)}$ | 1.62 | 1 |
| 7. | Muller | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right) \lambda$ | 1.84 | 1 |
| 8. | Chebyshev | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}-\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime 3}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | 3 |

### 3.11 SUMMARY

In this chapter, the techniques for the numerical solution of algebraic and transcendental equations have been presented. Numerical methods involving iterative solution of nonlinear equations are more powerful. These methods can be divided into two categories: Direct methods and Indirect (or iterative) methods. The indirect or iterative methods are further divided into two categories: bracketing and open method. The bracketing methods require the limits between which the root lies, whereas the open methods require the initial estimation of the solution. Bisection and False Position methods are two known examples of the bracketing methods. Among the open methods, the Newton-Raphson and the method of Successive Approximation are most commonly used. The most popular method for solving a non-linear equation is the Newton-Raphson method and this method has a quadratic rate of convergence. These methods have been illustrated with examples.

## Problems

3.1 Use the Bisection method to find a solution accurate to four decimal places for $x=\tan x$ in the interval (4.4, 4.6).
3.2 Determine the solution of the equation $8-\frac{9}{2}(x-\sin x)=0$ by using the Bisection method accurate to five decimal places in the interval $(2,3)$.
3.3 Use the Bisection method to compute the root of $e^{x}-3 x=0$ correct to three decimal places in the interval (1.5, 1.6).
3.4 Find the root of $\log x=\cos x$ correct to two decimal places using Bisection method.
3.5 Use the Bisection method to find a root of the equation $x^{3}-4 x-9=0$ in the interval $(2,3)$, accurate to four decimal places.
3.6 Use the Bisection method to determine a root correct to three decimal places of the equation $x \log _{10} x=1.2$. Interval (2, 3).
3.7 Use the Bisection method to find a root of the equation $4.905 t^{2}-15 t+5=0$ in the interval $(0.3,0.4)$ with an accuracy of 4 digits.
3.8 Use Bisection method to find the root of $f(x)=x^{3}-10 x^{2}+5=0$ that lies in the interval $(0.6,0.8)$ correct within four decimal places.
3.9 Use Bisection method to find the root of $f(x)=x-\tan x$ in the interval $(7,8)$ correct to four decimal places.
3.10 Use Bisection method to find the smallest positive root of $\cos x=\frac{1}{2}+\sin x$ in the interval ( $0.41,043$ ). Use an error tolerance of $\epsilon=0.0001$.
3.11 Use the method of False Position to find solution accurate to within $10^{-4}$ for the function $f(x)$ $=x-\cos x$ in the interval $(0, \pi / 2)$.
3.12 Use the method of False Position to find solution accurate to within $10^{-4}$ for the function $f(x)$ $=x-0.8-0.2 \sin x=0$ in the interval $(0, \pi / 2)$.
3.13 Repeat Problem 4.6 correct to four decimal places using the False Position method.
3.14 Repeat Problem 4.7 correct to four decimal places using the False Position method.
3.15 Use the method of False Position to solve the equation $x \tan x+1=0$ accurate to three decimal places starting with 2.5 and 3.0 as the initial approximations to the root.
3.16 Use method of False Position to solve the equation $x \log x-1=0$ correct to three significant figures.
3.17 Use the method of False Position to solve the equation $x e^{x}-\cos x=0$ correct to four decimal places in the interval $(0,1)$.
3.18 Use the method of False Position to find a root correct to three decimal places for the function $\tan x-4 x=0$.
3.19 Use the method of False Position to find a root of $f(x)=e^{x}-2 x^{2}=0$ with an accuracy of four digits. The root lies between 1 and 1.5 .
3.20 Use the method of False Position to find a root correct to three decimal places of the function $x^{3}-4 x-9=0$.
3.21 A root of $f(x)=x^{3}-10 x^{2}+5=0$ lies close to $x=0.7$. Determine this root with the Newton-Raphson method to five decimal accuracy.
3.22 A root of $f(x)=e^{x}-2 x^{2}$ lies in the interval (1, 2). Determine this root with the Newton-Raphson method to five decimal accuracy.
3.23 A root of $f(x)=x^{3}-x^{2}-5=0$ lies in the interval (2,3). Determine this root with the Newton-Raphson method for four decimal places.
3.24 Use Newton-Raphson method to find solution accurate to within $10^{-4}$ for the function $f(x)$ $=x-\cos x$ in the interval $(0, \pi / 2)$.
3.25 Use Newton-Raphson method to find solution accurate to within $10^{-4}$ for the function $f(x)=x-0.8$ $-0.2 \sin x=0$ in the interval $(0, \pi / 2)$.
3.26 A positive root of the equation $e^{x}=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{6} e^{0.3 x}$ lies in the interval (2,3). Use NewtonRaphson method to find this root accurate to five decimal places.
3.27 Use Newton-Raphson method to find the smallest positive root of the equation $\tan x=x$ accurate to four decimal places.
3.28 Determine the positive root of the equation $x=2 \sin x$ accurate to three decimal places.
3.29 Use the Newton-Raphson method to estimate the root of $f(x)=e^{-x}-x$ with an initial guess of $x_{0}=0$ accurate to five decimal places.
3.30 The equation $f(x)=0.1-x+\frac{x^{2}}{4}-\frac{x^{3}}{36}+\frac{x^{4}}{576}+\cdots=0$ has one root in the interval ( 0,1 ). Determine this root correct to five decimal places.
3.31 Use the Successive Approximation method to find correct to four significant figures a real root of $\cos x-3 x+1=0$.
3.32 Use the Successive Approximation method to find correct to four significant figures a real root of $e^{-x}-10 x=0$.
3.33 Use the Successive Approximation method to find correct to four decimal places a real root of $2 x-\log _{10} x-7=0$.
3.34 Use the Successive Approximation method to find correct to four significant figures a real root of the function $e^{x} \tan x-1=0$.
3.35 Find the real root of the equation $x-\sin x-0.25=0$ to three significant digits using the Successive Approximation method.
3.36 Use the method of Successive Approximation to find a root of the equation $e^{x}-3 x=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$ accurate to four decimal places.
3.37 Use the method of Successive Approximation to find a real root of $e^{x}-x^{2}=0$ correct to four significant figures.
3.38 Use the method of Successive Approximation to determine a solution accurate to within $10^{-2}$ for $x^{4}-3 x^{2}-3=0$ on [1, 2]. Use $x_{0}=1$.
3.39 Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-3 x^{2}+4=0$ using the modified Newton-Raphson method, starting with $x_{0}=1.8$.
3.40 Find a root of the following function with an accuracy of 4 digits using modified Newton-Raphson method, starting with $x_{0}=1.4 . f(x)=e^{x}-2 x^{2}=0$.
3.41 Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-8 x-4=0$ using the modified Newton-Raphson method starting with $x_{0}=2.8$ up to four significant figures.
3.42 Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-3 x-5=0$ using the modified Newton-Raphson method correct up to four decimal places starting with $x_{0}=2.0$.
3.43 Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-x-1=0$ using the modified Newton-Raphson method correct up to four decimal places starting with $x_{0}=-1.5$.
3.44 Find a root of the equation $x^{6}-x-1=0$ using the secant method approximations: $x_{0}=2$ and $x_{1}=1.0$.
3.45 Find a root of the equation $x^{3}-75=0$ using the secant method with the initial approximations of $x_{0}=4$ and $x_{1}=5$.
3.46 Find a root of the equation $\tan x-\tanh x=0$ using the secant method with initial approximations: $x_{0}=7$ and $x_{1}=7.5$.
3.47 Find a root of the equation $\cos x \cosh x-1=0$ using the secant method with initial approximations: $x_{0}=4.5$ and $x_{1}=5.0$.
3.48 Find a root of the equation $\sin x-0.1 x=0$ using the secant method with initial approximations: $x_{0}=2$ and $x_{1}=3$.
3.49 Repeat Problem P3.39 using Muller's method given that a root is near 1.0.
3.50 Repeat Problem P3.40 using Muller’s method given that a root is near 4.0.
3.51 Repeat Problem P3.41 using Muller's method given that a root is near 7.0.
3.52 Repeat Problem P3.42 using Muller's method given that a root is near 4.6.
3.53 Repeat Problem P3.43 using Muller's method given that a root is near 2.8 .
3.54 Find a root of the equation $\cos x-x e^{x}=0$ using Aitken's $\Delta^{2}$ method.
3.55 Find the root of the equation $x^{3}-5 x-11=0$ correct to three decimal places using Aitken's method.
3.56 Find the root of $0.5+\sin x-x=0$ and $x_{0}=1$ using Aitken's method.
3.57 Use Aitken's method to find a root of the equation $3 x-\log 10 x-16=0$.
3.58 Use Aitken's method to find a root of the equation $\mathrm{e}^{x}-3 x=0$ lying between 0 and 1 .
3.59 Use Aitken's method to find a root of the equation $x^{3}+x-1=0$.
3.60 Use Aitken's method to find a root of the equation $5 x^{3}-20 x+3=0$ in the interval $(0,1)$.
3.61 Use Aitken's method to find a root of the equation $x^{3}+2 x-2=0$ up to three decimal places.
3.62 Use Aitken's method to find a root of the equation $x^{3}-3 x^{2}+4=0$.

## 

## Numerical Differentiation

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

Numerical differentiation deals with the following problem: given the function $y=f(x)$ find one of its derivatives at the point $x=x_{k}$. Here, the term given implies that we either have an algorithm for computing the function, or possesses a set of discrete data points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots ., n$. In other words, we have a finite number of $(x, y)$ data points or pairs from which we can compute the derivative. Numerical differentiation is a method to compute the derivatives of a function at some values of independent variable $x$, when the function $f(x)$ is explicitly unknown, however it is known only for a set of arguments.

Like the numerical interpolation discussed in Chapter 5, a number of formulae for differentiation are derived in this chapter. They are:
(a) Derivatives based on Newton's forward interpolation formula. This formula is used to find the derivative for some given $x$ lying near the beginning of the data table.
(b) Derivatives based on Newton's backward interpolation formula. This formula is suitable to find the derivative for a point near the end of the data table.
(c) Derivatives based on Stirling's interpolation formula. This formula is used to find the derivative for some point lying near the middle of the tabulated value.
A method to find the maxima and minima of a given function is also discussed in this chapter.

### 4.2 DERIVATIVES BASED ON NEWTON'S FORWARD INTERPOLATION FORMULA

Suppose the function $y=f(x)$ is known at $(n+1)$ equispaced points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and they are $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ respectively i.e., $y_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, n$. Let $x_{i}=x_{0}+i h$ and $u=\frac{x-x_{i}}{h}$, where $h$ is the spacing.

Referring to Chatper 5, the Newton’s forward interpolation formula is

$$
y=f(x)=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\cdots+\frac{u(u-1) \cdots(u-\overline{n-1})}{n!} \Delta^{n} y_{0}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u^{2}-u}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u^{3}-3 u^{2}+2 u}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{u^{4}-6 u^{3}+11 u^{2}-6 u}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{0} \\
& +\frac{u^{5}-10 u^{4}+35 u^{3}-50 u^{2}+24 u}{5!} \Delta^{5} y_{0}+\cdots \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating Eq.(4.1) w.r.t. $x$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(x)= & \frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}+\frac{2 u-1}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{3 u^{2}-6 u+2}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{4 u^{3}-18 u^{2}+22 u-6}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{0}\right. \\
& +\frac{5 u^{4}-40 u^{3}+105 u^{2}-100 u+24}{5!} \Delta^{5} y_{0} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note here that $\frac{d u}{d x}=\frac{1}{h}$
Differentiating Eq.(4.2) w.r.t. $x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{6 u-6}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{12 u^{2}-36 u+22}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\frac{20 u^{3}-120 u^{2}+210 u-100}{5!} \Delta^{5} y_{0}+\cdots\right] \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.
Equations (4.2) and (4.3) give the approximate derivatives of $f(x)$ at arbitrary point $x=x_{0}+u h$.
When $x=x_{0}, u=0$, Eqs.(4.2) and (4.3) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}-\frac{1}{4} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\frac{1}{5} \Delta^{5} y_{0}-\cdots\right] \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{11}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{0}-\frac{5}{6} \Delta^{5} y_{0}+\cdots\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.

## Example E4.1

From the following table find the value of $\frac{d y}{d x}$ and $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}$ at the point $x=1.0$.

| x | 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 5.4680 | 5.6665 | 5.9264 | 6.2551 | 6.6601 | 7.1488 |

## Solution:

The forward difference table is

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 5.4680 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.1985 |  |  |
| 1.1 | 5.6665 |  | 0.0614 |  |
|  |  | 0.2599 |  | 0.0074 |
| 1.2 | 5.9264 |  | 0.0688 |  |
|  |  | 0.3287 |  | 0.0074 |
| 1.3 | 6.2551 |  | 0.0763 |  |
|  |  | 0.4050 |  | 0.0074 |
| 1.4 | 6.6601 |  | 0.0837 |  |
|  |  | 0.4887 |  |  |
| 1.5 | 7.1488 |  |  |  |

Here $x_{0}=1.0$ and $h=0.1$. Then $u=0$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d y}{d x}=y^{\prime}(1.0)=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}-\cdots\right]=\frac{1}{0.1}\left[0.1985-\frac{1}{2}(0.0614)+\frac{1}{3}(0.0074)\right]=1.7020 \\
& \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots\right]=\frac{1}{(0.1)^{2}}[0.0614-0.0074]=5.4040
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E4.2

Obtain the first and second derivatives of the function tabulated below at the points $x=1.1$ and $x=1.2$.

| $x:$ | 1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y:$ | 0 | 0.128 | 0.544 | 1.298 | 2.440 | 4.02 |

## Solution:

We first construct the forward difference table as shown below.

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.0 \longrightarrow 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.128 |  |  |  |
| $1.2 \rightarrow 0.128$ |  | 0.288 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.416 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 1.4 | 0.544 |  | 0.338 |  | 0 |
|  |  | 0.754 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 1.6 | 1.298 |  | 0.388 |  | 0 |
|  |  | 1.142 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 1.8 | 2.440 |  | 0.438 |  |  |
|  |  | 1.580 |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 4.02 |  |  |  |  |

Since $x=1.1$ is a non-tabulated point near the beginning of the table, we take $x_{0}=1.0$ and compute

$$
p=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{1.1-1.0}{0.2}=0.5
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d y}{d x} & =\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}+\frac{2 p-1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{3 p^{2}-6 p+2}{6} \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{0.2}\left[0.128+0+\frac{3(0.5)^{2}-6(0.5)+2}{6}(0.05)\right]=0.62958 \\
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}} & =\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}+(p-1) \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{(0.2)^{2}}[0.288+(0.5-1) 0.05]=6.575
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $x=1.2$ is a tabulated point near the beginning of the table. For $x=x_{0}=1.2, p=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d y}{d x} & =\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{0.2}\left[0.416-\frac{1}{2}(0.338)+\frac{1}{3}(0.05)\right]=1.31833 \\
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}} & =\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{(0.2)^{2}}[0.338-0.05]=7.2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E4.3

Find the first and second derivatives of the functions tabulated below at the point $x=1.1$ and $x=1.2$.

| x | 1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.25 | 2.4 | 3.9 |

## Solution:

First, we construct the forward difference table:

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1.0 \rightarrow$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| $1.2 \rightarrow$ | 0.1 |  | 0.3 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.4 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 1.4 | 0.5 |  | 0.35 |  | 0 |
|  |  | 0.75 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 1.6 | 1.25 |  | 0.40 |  | 0 |
|  |  | 1.15 |  | 0.05 |  |
| 1.8 | 2.40 |  | 0.45 |  |  |
|  |  | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 3.90 |  |  |  |  |

Here $x=1.1$ is a non-tabulated point near the beginning of the table. For $x_{0}=1.0$,

$$
p=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{1.1-1.0}{0.2}=0.5
$$

Hence $\quad \frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}+\frac{2 p-1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{3 p^{2}-6 p+2}{6} \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]$

$$
=\frac{1}{0.2}\left[0.1+0+\frac{3(0.5)^{2}-6(0.5)+2}{6}(0.05)\right]=0.48958
$$

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}+(p-1) \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{(0.2)^{2}}[0.3+(0.5-1) 0.05]=6.875
$$

For $x=1.2$, it is a tabulated point near the beginning of the table.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =x_{0}=1.2, p=0 \\
\frac{d y}{d x} & =\frac{1}{h}\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{0.2}\left[0.4-\frac{1}{2}(0.35)+\frac{1}{3}(0.05)\right]=1.208 \\
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}} & =\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=\frac{1}{(0.2)^{2}}[0.35-0.05]=7.5
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.3 DERIVATIVES BASED ON NEWTON'S BACKWARD INTERPOLATION FORMULA

Here, we assume the function $y=f(x)$ is known at $(n+1)$ points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, i.e., $y_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots$, $n$ are known. Let $x_{i}=x_{0}+i h, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ and $v=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}$.

Then, the Newton's backward interpolation formula from Chapter 5 is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & y_{n}+v \nabla y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)(v+3)}{4!} \nabla^{4} y_{n} \\
& +\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)(v+3)(v+4)}{5!} \nabla^{5} y_{n}+\cdots \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

When the Eq.(4.6) is differentiated w.r.t. $x$ successively, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}(x)= \frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla y_{n}+\frac{2 v+1}{2!} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{3 v^{2}+6 v+2}{3!} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{4 v^{3}+18 v^{2}+22 v+6}{4!} \nabla^{4} y_{n}\right.  \tag{4.7}\\
&\left.+\frac{5 v^{4}+40 v^{3}+105 v^{2}+100 v+24}{5!} \nabla^{5} y_{n}+\ldots\right] \\
& f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{6 v+6}{3!} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{12 v^{2}+36 v+22}{4!} \nabla^{4} y_{n}+\frac{20 v^{3}+120 v^{2}+210 v+100}{5!} \nabla^{5} y_{n}+\cdots\right] \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on.

Equations (4.7) and (4.8) can be used to determine the approximate differentiation of first, second, etc. order at any point $x$, where $x=x_{n}+v h$.

If $x=x_{n}$, then $v=0$.
Equations (4.7) and (4.8) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{1}{3} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{1}{4} \nabla^{4} y_{n}+\frac{1}{5} \nabla^{5} y_{n}+\cdots\right] \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\nabla^{2} y_{n}+\nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{11}{12} \nabla^{4} y_{n}+\frac{5}{6} \nabla^{5} y_{n}+\cdots\right] \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example E4.4

A slider in a machine moves along a fixed straight rod. Its distance $x(m)$ along the rod are given in the following table for various values of the time $t$ (seconds).

| $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{sec})$. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.0201 | 0.0844 | 0.3444 | 1.0100 | 2.3660 | 4.7719 |

Find the velocity and acceleration of the slider at time $t=6 \mathrm{sec}$.

## Solution:

The backward difference table is

| t | x | $\nabla \mathrm{x}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{x}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{x}$ | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{x}$ | $\nabla^{5} \mathrm{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 0.0201 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 0.0844 | 0.0643 |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | 0.3444 | 0.2600 | 0.1957 |  |  |  |
| 4.0 | 1.0100 | 0.6656 | 0.4056 | 0.2100 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 2.3660 | 1.3560 | 0.6904 | 0.2847 | 0.0748 |  |
| 6.0 | 4.7719 | 2.4059 | 1.0499 | 0.3595 | 0.0748 | 0.0000 |

Here $h=1.0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d x}{d t} & =\frac{1}{h}\left[\nabla x+\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} x+\frac{1}{3} \nabla^{3} x+\frac{1}{4} \nabla^{4} x+\frac{1}{5} \nabla^{5} x+\cdots\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{1.0}\left[2.4059+\frac{1}{2}(1.0499)+\frac{1}{3}(0.3595)+\frac{1}{4}(0.0748)+\frac{1}{5}(0.0)\right]=3.0694 \\
\frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}} & =\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\nabla^{2} x+\nabla^{3} x+\frac{11}{12} \nabla^{5} x+\cdots\right]=\frac{1}{(1.0)^{2}}\left[1.0499+0.3595+\frac{11}{12}(0.0748)+\frac{5}{6}(0)\right]=1.4780
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.4 DERIVATIVES BASED ON STIRLING'S INTERPOLATION FORMULA

Suppose $y_{ \pm i}=f\left(x_{ \pm i}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, n$ are given for $2 n+1$ equispaced points $x_{0}, x_{ \pm 1}, x_{ \pm 2}, \ldots, x_{ \pm n}$, where $x_{ \pm i}=x_{0} \pm i h, i=0,1, \ldots, n$.

The Stirling's interpolation polynomial is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & y_{0}+\frac{u}{1!}\left[\frac{\Delta y_{-1}+\Delta y_{0}}{2}\right]+\frac{u^{2}}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{u^{3}-u}{3!}\left[\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-2}+\Delta^{3} y_{-1}}{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{u^{4}-u^{2}}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\frac{u^{5}-5 u^{3}+4 u}{5!}\left[\frac{\Delta^{5} y_{-3}+\Delta^{5} y_{-2}}{2}\right]+\cdots \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}$
When Eq.(4.11) is differentiated with respect to $x$ successively, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(x)= & \frac{1}{h}\left[\frac{\Delta y_{-1}+\Delta y_{0}}{2}+u \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{3 u^{2}-1}{6}\left(\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-2}+\Delta^{3} y_{-1}}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 u^{3}-u}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\frac{5 u^{4}-15 u^{2}+4}{120}\left(\frac{\Delta^{5} y_{-3}+\Delta^{5} y_{-2}}{2}\right)+\cdots\right] \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+u \frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-2}+\Delta^{3}-1}{2}+\frac{6 u^{2}-1}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\frac{2 u^{3}-3 u}{12}\left(\frac{\Delta^{5} y_{-3}+\Delta^{5} y_{-2}}{2}\right)+\cdots\right] \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $x=x_{0}, u=0$ and Eqs.(4.12) and (4.13) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{h}\left[\frac{\Delta y_{0}+\Delta y_{-1}}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-1}+\Delta^{3} y_{-2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{30}\left(\frac{\Delta^{5} y_{-2}+\Delta^{5} y_{-3}}{2}\right)+\cdots\right]  \tag{4.14}\\
& f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{-1}-\frac{1}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots\right] \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

## Example E4.5

Find $\frac{d y}{d x}$ and $\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}$ for $x=0.2$ for the data given in the following table

| x | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0 | 0.10017 | 0.20134 | 0.30452 | 0.41076 | 0.52115 |

## Solution:

Construct the following difference table.

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.10017 |  |  |  |
| 0.1 | 0.10017 |  | 0.001 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.10017 |  | 0.00101 |  |
| 0.2 | 0.20134 |  | 0.00201 |  | 0.00004 |
|  |  | 0.10318 |  | 0.00105 |  |
| 0.3 | 0.30452 |  | 0.00306 |  | 0.00004 |
|  |  | 0.10624 |  | 0.00109 |  |
| 0.4 | 0.41076 |  | 0.00415 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.11039 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.5215 |  |  |  |  |

Here, we use Stirling's formula. Hence, for $x=0.2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d y}{d x} & =\frac{1}{h}\left[\frac{\Delta y_{-1}+\Delta y_{0}}{2}-\frac{1}{6} \frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-2}+\Delta^{3} y_{-1}}{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{0.1}\left[\frac{0.10117+0.10318}{2}-\frac{1}{12}(0.00101+0.00105]=1.020033\right. \\
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}} & =\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{-1}-\frac{1}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}\right]=\frac{1}{(0.1)^{2}}\left[0.00201-\frac{1}{12}(0.00004)\right]=0.200666
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E4.6

Compute the values of $f^{\prime}(3.1)$ and $f^{\prime}(3.2)$ using the following table.

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0 | 1.4 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 8.1 |

## Solution:

The central difference table is

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{-2}=1$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{-1}=2$ | 1.4 |  | 0.5 |  |  |
|  |  | 1.9 |  | -0.1 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}=3$ | 3.3 |  | 0.4 |  | -0.1 |
|  |  | 2.3 |  | -0.2 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}=4$ | 5.6 |  | 0.2 |  |  |
|  |  | 2.5 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}=5$ | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=3, h=1, u=\frac{3.1-3}{1}=0.1 \\
& \begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(3.1) & =\frac{1}{h}\left[\frac{\Delta y_{-1}+\Delta y_{0}}{2}+u \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{3 u^{2}-1}{6}\left(\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-2}+\Delta^{3} y_{-1}}{2}\right)+\frac{2 u^{3}-u}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{1}\left[\frac{1.9+2.3}{2}+0.1(0.4)+\frac{3(0.1)^{2}-1}{6}\left(\frac{-0.1-0.2}{2}\right)+\frac{2(0.1)^{3}-0.1}{12}(-0.1)\right] \\
& =[2.1+0.04+0.02425+0.00082]=2.16507 \\
f^{\prime}(3.1) & =\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+u\left(\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{-2}+\Delta^{3} y_{-1}}{2}\right)+\frac{6 u^{2}-1}{12} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{1^{2}}\left[0.4+0.1\left(\frac{-0.1-0.2}{2}\right)+\frac{6(0.1)^{2}-1}{12}(-0.1)\right]=[0.4-0.015+0.00783]=0.39283
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.5 MAXIMA AND MINIMA OF A TABULATED FUNCTION

From calculus, we know that if a function is differentiable, then the maximum and minimum value of that function can be determined by equating the first derivative to zero and solving for the variable. This method is extendable for the tabulated function.

Now, consider the Newton's forward difference formula given in Eq.(4.1).
Differentiating Eq.(4.1) w.r.t. $u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d u}=\Delta y_{0}+\frac{2 u-1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{3 u^{2}-3 u+2}{6} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For maximum or minimum, $\frac{d y}{d u}=0$. Neglecting the term after the third difference to obtain a quadratic equation in $u$.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{0}+\left(u-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\left(\frac{u^{2}}{2}-\frac{u}{2}+\frac{1}{3}\right) \Delta^{3} y_{0}=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{0}}{2} u^{2}+\left[\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}\right] u+\left[\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0} u^{2}+a_{1} u+a_{2}=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the values of $u$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Here } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
a_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{3} y_{0} \\
a_{1}=\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{3} y_{0} \\
a_{2}=\Delta y_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

The values of $x$ will then be obtained from $x=x_{0}+u h$.

## Example E4.7

Find $x$ correct to four decimal places for which $y$ is maximum from the following data given in tabular form. Find also the value of $y$.

| x | 1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0 | 0.128 | 0.544 | 1.298 | 2.44 |

## Solution:

We first construct the forward difference table as shown below:

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.128 |  |  |
| 1.2 | 0.128 |  | 0.288 |  |
|  |  | 0.416 |  | 0.05 |
| 1.4 | 0.544 |  | 0.338 |  |
|  |  | 0.754 |  | 0.05 |
| 1.6 | 1.298 |  | 0.388 |  |
|  |  | 1.142 |  |  |
| 1.8 | 2.44 |  |  |  |

Let $\quad x_{0}=1.0$
Here $\quad a_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(0.05)=0.025$

$$
a_{1}=0.288-\frac{1}{2}(0.05)=0.2630
$$

$$
a_{2}=0.128-\frac{1}{2}(0.288)+\frac{1}{3}(0.05)=0.128-0.144+0.0166=0.000666
$$

Hence $\quad a_{0} u^{2}+a_{1} u+a_{2}=0$, which gives the value of $u$.
or $\quad 0.025 u^{2}+0.263 u+0.000666=0$

$$
u_{1,2}=\frac{-0.263 \pm \sqrt{(0.263)^{2}-4(0.025)(0.000666)}}{2(0.025)}=(0,-10.5175)
$$

Hence $\quad u=0$ or $u=-10.5175$
Therefore, $\quad x=1.0$ and $x=1.0-10.5175(0.2)=-1.1035$

At $x=1.0, y=0$ and at $x-1.1035$, we apply the Newton's forward interpolation formula.

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots \\
= & 0+(-10.5175)(0.128)+\frac{(-10.5175)(-11.5175)}{2}(0.288) \\
& +\frac{(-10.5175)(-11.5175)(-12.5175)}{(3)(2)(1)}(0.05) \\
= & 3.46132 \text { (maximum value) }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.6 CUBIC SPLINE METHOD

The cubic spline method described in Section 5.7 of Chapter 5 can be used to find the first and second derivatives of a function. The method requires two steps. In the first step, the cubic splines are constructed with suitable intervals. In the second step, the first and second derivatives are determined from the appropriate cubic spline. These steps are illustrated by an example as follows:

## Example E4.8

Given $y=f(x)=\cos x, 0 \leq x \leq \pi / 2$. Determine
(a) the natural cubic spline in the interval $0 \leq x \leq \pi / 4$ and $\pi / 4 \leq x \leq / 2$
(b) the first and second derivatives $f^{\prime}(\pi / 8)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(\pi / 8)$.

## Solution:

Here

$$
h=\frac{\pi}{4}, y_{0}=\cos 0=1, y_{1}=\cos \frac{\pi}{4}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text { and } y_{2}=\cos \frac{\pi}{4}=0 . \text { Also } k_{0}=k_{2}=0
$$

From Eq.(5.85) of Sec.5.7 of Chapter 5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i-1}+4 k_{i}+k_{i+1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left[y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right], \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-1 \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
k_{0}+4 k_{1}+k_{2}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left[y_{0}-2 y_{1}+y_{2}\right]
$$

or

$$
4 k_{1}=\frac{96}{\pi^{2}}(1-\sqrt{2})
$$

or

$$
k_{1}=\frac{24}{\pi^{2}}(1-\sqrt{2})=-1.007247
$$

Therefore, the cubic spline is given by Eq.(5.81) of Chapter 5,

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{0, \frac{\pi}{4}}(x)  \tag{E.2}\\
f_{\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0, \frac{\pi}{4}}(x)=\frac{4}{\pi}\left[\frac{x^{3}}{6} k_{1}-\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{96} k_{1}\right) x+\frac{\pi}{4}\right] \tag{E.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}}(x)=\frac{4}{\pi}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-x\right)^{3}}{6} k_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{96} k_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-x\right)\right] \tag{E.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)=f_{0, \frac{\pi}{4}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=-0.339961 \\
& f^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)=f_{0, \frac{\pi}{4}}^{\prime \prime}\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)=-0.503623
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.7 SUMMARY

Numerical differentiation is not a particularly accurate process due to a conflict between round off errors and errors inherent in interpolation. Hence, a derivative of a function can never be computed with the same precision as the function itself.

## Problems

4.1 From the following table of values, estimate $y^{\prime}(1.0)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)$ :
(a)

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y$ | -4 | +3 | 22 | 59 | 120 | 211 |

(b)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| y | 5 | 6.125 | 9 | 14.375 | 23 | 35.625 |

(c)

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | 6 | 25 | 104 | 309 | 730 | 1481 |

(d)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 2.7 | -5.5188 | -27.8 | -75.4688 | -163.3 | -309.5188 |

(e)

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 2.9 | -26.2 | -157.9 | -523 | -1307.5 | -2752.6 |

(f)

| x | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 5.2 | 28.4 | 130 | 367.6 | 798.8 | 1481.2 |

(g)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| y | -48 | -41.0068 | -24.362 | 8.1098 | 64.084 | 152.7363 |

4.2 Find the values of $y^{\prime}(3.5)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(3.5)$ from the following table:
(a)

| $x$ | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $y$ | -49 | -46.6250 | -42 | -34.3750 | -23 | -7.1250 |

(b)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 5 | 6.1250 | 9 | 14.3750 | 23 | 35.6250 |

(c)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 0.5470 | 0.4536 | 0.2020 | -0.3284 | -1.2930 | -2.8814 |

(d)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.2377 | 0.3108 | 0.4829 | 0.7941 | 1.2849 | 1.9953 |

4.3 Find the values of $y^{\prime}(6.0)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(6.0)$ from the following table:
(a)

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | -0.5530 | -0.7740 | -1.2490 | -2.0380 | -3.1770 | -4.6780 |

(b)

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 0.0858 | -0.0099 | -0.3242 | -0.9827 | -2.1094 | -3.8270 |

4.4 A particle is moving along a straight line. The displacement $x$ at some time instance $t$ are given below. Find the velocity and acceleration of the particle at $t=4$.

| t | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| x | 0.1405 | 0.7676 | 3.5135 | 9.9351 | 21.5892 | 40.0324 |

4.5 Find the values of $y^{\prime}(4)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(4)$ from the following table:

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 5 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 26 |

4.6 Find the values of $y^{\prime}(2)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(2)$ from the following table:

| x | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.3328 | 0.5312 | 0.7651 | 1.0384 | 1.3552 | 1.7198 |

4.7 Compute the values of $y^{\prime}(3)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(3)$ from the following table:
(a)

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0 | 1.4 | 1.65 | 5.673 | 8.0978 |

(b)

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.4 | 0.65 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.98 |

4.8 Compute the values of $y^{\prime}(2)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(2)$ from the following table:
(a)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 5.3 |

(b)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | -2 | 2 | 3.456 | 5.674 | 8.4592 |

4.9 Compute the values of $y^{\prime}(1.2)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(1.2)$ from the following table:
(a)

| x | 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.62 |

(b)

| x | 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.0254 | 0.0437 | 0.0587 | 0.0670 | 0.0780 |

(c)

| x | 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.0012 | 0.2342 | 0.5786 | 0.7693 | 0.8934 |

4.10 Find $x$ for which $y$ is maximum and also find the corresponding value of $y$, from the table given below:
(a)

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y$ | -4 | +3 | 22 | 59 | 120 | 211 |

(b)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| y | 5 | 6.125 | 9 | 14.375 | 23 | 35.625 |

(c)

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y$ | 6 | 25 | 104 | 309 | 730 | 1481 |

(d)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 2.7 | -5.5188 | -27.8 | -75.4688 | -163.3 | -309.5188 |

(e)

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 2.9 | -26.2 | -157.9 | -523 | -1307.5 | -2752.6 |

(f)

| $x$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y$ | 5.2 | 28.4 | 130 | 367.6 | 798.8 | 1481.2 |

(g)

| x | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| y | -48 | -41.0068 | -24.362 | 8.1098 | 64.084 | 152.7363 |

4.11 Repeat Problem P5.68 of Chapter 5.
4.12 Repeat Problem P5.71 of Chapter 5.
4.13 Use cubic spline method to find $f^{\prime}(2.0)$ and $f^{\prime}(2.5)$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y$ | 13 | 34 | 136 | 229 |

4.14 Repeat Problem P4.7(a) using the cubic spline method.
4.15 Repeat Problem P4.8(a) using the cubic spline method.
4.16 Repeat Problem P4.9(a) using the cubic spline method.
4.17 Repeat Problem P4.9(b) using the cubic spline method.

## Finite Differences and Interpolation

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Interpolation is the technique of estimating the value of a function for any intermediate value of the independent variable. The process of computing or finding the value of a function for any value of the independent variable outside the given range is called extrapolation. Here, interpolation denotes the method of computing the value of the function $y=f(x)$ for any given value of the independent variable $x$ when a set of values of $y=f(x)$ for certain values of $x$ are known or given.

Hence, if $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ are the set of $(n+1)$ given data points of the function $y=f(x)$, then the process of finding the value of $y$ corresponding to any value of $x=x_{i}$ between $x_{0}$ and $x_{n}$, is called interpolation. There are several definitions available for the term interpolation. Hiral defines interpolation as the estimation of a most likely estimate in given conditions. It is the technique of estimating a past figure. Theile's definition of interpolation is "Interpolation is the art of reading between the lines of a table" while Harper's definition is "Interpolation consists in reading a value which lies between two extreme points".

If the function $f(x)$ is known explicitly, then the value of $y$ corresponding to any value of $x$ can easily be obtained. On the other hand, if the function $f(x)$ is not known, then it is very hard to find the exact form of $f(x)$ with the tabulated values ( $x_{i}, y_{i}$ ). In such cases, the function $f(x)$ can be replaced by a simpler, function, say, $\phi(x)$, which has the same values as $f(x)$ for $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. The function $\phi(x)$ is called the interpolating or smoothing function and any other value can be computed from $\phi(x)$.

If $\phi(x)$ is a polynomial, then $\phi(x)$ is called the interpolating polynomial and the process of computing the intermediate values of $y=f(x)$ is called the polynomial interpolation. In the study of interpolation, we make the following assumptions:
(a) there are no sudden jumps in the values of the dependent variable for the period under consideration
(b) the rate of change of figures from one period to another is uniform.

In this chapter, we present the study of interpolation based on the calculus of finite differences. The following important interpolation formulae obtained or derived based on forward, backward and central differences of a function are presented.
(a) Newton's binomial expansion formula for equal intervals
(b) Newton's forward interpolation formula for equal intervals
(c) Newton's backward interpolation formula for equal intervals
(d) Lagrange's formula for unequal intervals
(e) Lagrange's formula for inverse interpolation
(f) Gauss's forward interpolation formula
(g) Gauss's backward interpolation formula
(h) Bessel's formula
(i) Stirling's formula
(j) Laplace-Everett's formula

### 5.2 FINITE DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

Consider a function $y=f(x)$ defined on $(a, b) . x$ and $y$ are the independent and dependent variables respectively. If the points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are taken at equidistance i.e., $x_{i}=x_{0}+i h, i=0,1,2, \ldots$, $n$, then the value of $y$, when $x=x_{i}$, is denoted as $y_{i}$, where $y_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)$. Here, the values of $x$ are called arguments and the values of $y$ are known as entries. The interval $h$ is called the difference interval. The differences $y_{1}-y_{0}, y_{2}-y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}-y_{n-1}$ are called the first differences of the function $y$. They are denoted by $\Delta y_{0}, \Delta y_{1}, \ldots$, etc. That is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta y_{0}=y_{1}-y_{0} \\
\Delta y_{1}=y_{2}-y_{1} \\
\vdots  \tag{5.1}\\
\Delta y_{n}=y_{n}-y_{n-1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The symbol $\Delta$ in Eq.(5.1) is called the difference operator.

### 5.2.1 Forward Differences

The forward difference or simply difference operator is denoted by $\Delta$ and may be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or writing in terms of $y$, at $x=x_{i}$, Eq.(5.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta f\left(x_{i}\right) & =f\left(x_{i}+h\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
\text { or } \quad \Delta y_{i} & =y_{i+1}-y_{i} \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1
\end{align*}
$$

The differences of the first differences are called the second differences and they are denoted by $\Delta^{2} y_{0}, \Delta^{2} y_{1}$, $\ldots ., \Delta^{2} y_{n}$.
Hence $\quad \Delta^{2} y_{0}=\Delta y_{1}-\Delta y_{0}=\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)-\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)=y_{2}-2 y_{1}+y_{0}$ $\Delta^{2} y_{1}=\Delta y_{2}-\Delta y_{1}=\left(y_{3}-y_{2}\right)-\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)=y_{3}-2 y_{2}+y_{1}$ $\Delta^{3} y_{0}=\Delta^{2} y_{1}-\Delta^{2} y_{0}=\left(y_{3}-2 y_{2}+y_{1}\right)-\left(y_{2}-2 y_{1}+y_{0}\right)=y_{3}-3 y_{2}+3 y_{1}-y_{0}$ $\Delta^{3} y_{1}=y_{4}-3 y_{3}+3 y_{2}-y_{1}$, etc.
Generalising, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{n+1} f(x)=\Delta\left[\Delta^{n} f(x)\right] \text {, i.e., } \Delta^{n+1} y_{i}=\Delta\left[\Delta^{n} y_{i}\right], n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\Delta^{n+1} f(x)=\Delta^{n}[f(x+h)-f(x)]=\Delta^{n} f(x+h)-\Delta^{n} f(x)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{n+1} y_{i}=\Delta^{n} y_{i+1}-\Delta^{n} y_{i}, n=0,1,2, \ldots . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta^{0} \equiv$ identity operator i.e., $\Delta^{0} f(x)=f(x)$ and $\Delta^{1}=\Delta$.
Table 5.1: Forward difference table

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

The forward differences for the arguments $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots ., x_{5}$ are shown in Table 5.1. Table 5.1 is called a diagonal difference table or forward difference table. The first term in Table 5.1 is $y_{0}$ and is called the leading term. The differences $\Delta y_{0}, \Delta^{2} y_{0}, \Delta^{3} y_{0}, \ldots$, are called the leading differences. Similarly, the differences with fixed subscript are called forward differences.

### 5.2.2 Backward Differences

The backward difference operator is denoted by $\nabla$ and it is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla f(x)=f(x)-f(x-h) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.6) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla y_{i} & =y_{i}-y_{i-1}, \quad i=n, n-1, \ldots ., 1 .  \tag{5.7}\\
\nabla y_{1} & =y_{1}-y_{0}, \nabla y_{2}=y_{2}-y_{1}, \ldots, \nabla y_{n}=y_{n}-y_{n-1} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The differences in Eq.(5.8) are called first differences. The second differences are denoted by

$$
\nabla^{2} y_{2}, \nabla^{2} y_{3}, \ldots ., \nabla^{2} y_{n} .
$$

Hence $\quad \nabla^{2} y_{2}=\nabla\left(\nabla y_{2}\right)=\nabla\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)=\nabla y_{2}-\nabla y_{1}=\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)-\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)=y_{2}-2 y_{1}+y_{0}$.
Similarly, $\quad \nabla^{2} y_{3}=y_{3}-2 y_{2}+y_{1}, \nabla^{2} y_{4}=y_{4}-2 y_{3}+y_{2}$, and so on.
Generalising, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{k} y_{i}=\nabla^{k-1} y_{i}-\nabla^{k-1} y_{i-1}, \quad i=n, n-1, \ldots, k \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \nabla^{0} y_{i}=y_{i}, \nabla^{1} y_{i}=\nabla y_{i}$.
The backward differences written in a tabular form is shown in Table 5.2. In Table 5.2, the differences $\nabla^{n} y$ with a fixed subscript ' $i$ ' lie along the diagonal upward sloping.

Table 5.2: Backward difference table

| x | y | $\nabla \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\nabla \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\nabla \mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{y}_{4}$ |
|  |  | $\nabla \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\nabla \mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2 is called the backward difference or horizontal table.

### 5.2.3 Central Differences

The central difference operator is denoted by the symbol $\delta$ and is defined by

$$
\delta f(x)=f(x+h / 2)-f(x-h / 2)
$$

where $h$ is the interval of differencing.
In terms of $y$, the first central difference is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta y_{1}=y_{i+1 / 2}-y_{i-1 / 2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
y_{i+1 / 2}=f\left(x_{i}+h / 2\right) \text { and } y_{i-1 / 2}=f\left(x_{i}-h / 2\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta y_{1 / 2} & =y_{1}-y_{0}, \delta y_{3 / 2} \\
& =y_{2}-y_{1}, \ldots ., \delta y_{n-1 / 2} \\
& =y_{n}-y_{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second central differences are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{2} y_{i} & =\delta y_{i+1 / 2}-\delta y_{i-1 / 2} \\
& =\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)-\left(y_{i}-y_{i-1}\right) \\
& =y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Generalising

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{n} y_{i}=\delta^{n-1} y_{i+1 / 2}-\delta^{n-1} y_{i-1 / 2} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The central difference table for the seven arguments $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ is shown in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3: Central difference table

| x | y | $\delta$ | $\delta^{2}$ | $\delta^{3}$ | $\delta^{4}$ | $\delta^{5}$ | $\delta^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{1 / 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{3 / 2}$ | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{3 / 2}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{5 / 2}$ |  | $\delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{5 / 2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{5 / 2}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{3}$ |
|  |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{7 / 2}$ |  | $\delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{7 / 2}$ | $\delta^{4}$ | $\delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{7 / 2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  | $\delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{9 / 2}$ | $\delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{9 / 2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ |  | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{11 / 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It is noted in Table 5.3 that all odd differences have fraction suffices and all the even differences are with integral suffices.

## Example E5.1

(a) Construct the forward difference table and the horizontal table for the following data:

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 4 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 17 |

(b) Construct a forward difference table for the following data

| x | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0 | 0.174 | 0.347 | 0.518 |

(c) Construct a difference table for $y=f(x)=x^{3}+2 x+1$ for $x=1,2,3,4,5$.
(d) Obtain the backward differences for the function $f(x)=x^{3}$ from $x=1$ to 1.05 to two decimals chopped.

## Solution:

(a) The forward and the horizontal or backward difference tables are shown in Tables E5.1 (a) and E5.1 (b) respectively.

Table E5.1(a): Forward difference table

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 9 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| 4 | 12 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 17 |  |  |  |  |

Table E5.1 (b): Horizontal or backward difference table

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 9 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 5 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 |

(b) Table E5.1 (c) shows the forward difference operations.

Table E5.1(c)

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.174 |  |  |
| 10 | 0.174 |  | -0.001 |  |
|  |  | 0.173 |  | -0.001 |
| 20 | 0.347 |  | -0.002 |  |
|  |  | 0.171 |  |  |
| 30 | 0.518 |  |  |  |

(c) Table E5.1(d) shows the forward difference table.

Table E5.1(d)

| $x$ | $x=f(x)$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |  |
| 2 | 13 |  | 12 |  |
|  |  | 21 |  | 6 |
| 3 | 34 |  | 18 |  |
|  |  | 39 |  | 6 |
| 4 | 73 |  | 24 |  |
|  |  | 63 |  |  |
| 5 | 136 |  |  |  |

(d) The following table E5.1(e) shows the backward differences.

Table E5.1(e)

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\nabla \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.00 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.030 |  |  |  |
| 1.01 | 1.030 |  | 0.001 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.031 |  | -0.001 |  |
| 1.02 | 1.061 |  | 0.000 |  | 0.002 |
|  |  | 0.031 |  | 0.001 |  |
| 1.03 | 1.092 |  | 0.001 |  | -0.001 |
|  |  | 0.032 |  | 0.000 |  |
| 1.04 | 1.124 |  | 0.001 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.033 |  |  |  |
| 1.05 | 1.157 |  |  |  |  |

Note the typical oscillations in sign and growth of the entries.

### 5.2.4 Error Propagation in a Difference Table

Let $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be the true values of a function and suppose the value $y_{4}$ to be affected with an error $\in$, so that its erroneous value is $y_{4}+\epsilon$. Then the successive differences of the $y$ are as shown in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4: Error propagation in a difference table

| y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\Delta y_{0}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |
|  | $\Delta y_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |
|  | $\Delta y_{2}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ | --- |
|  | $\Delta y_{3}$ | $\ldots{ }^{-\ldots-}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{2}+\in$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | $\ldots$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{3}+\epsilon$ |  |
| ,.-.- | $\Delta y_{4}+\in$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{3}-3 \in$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{5}+\in$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{4}-2 \in$ |  |
| - $-\cdots$ | $\Delta y_{5}-\in$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{4}+3 \in$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ | $\cdots$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{5}+\epsilon$ |  |
|  | $\Delta y_{6}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{5}-\in$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{6}$ | --- |
|  | $\Delta y_{7}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{7}$ |  |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{8}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{y}_{9}$ |  |  |  |

Table 5.4 shows that the effect of an error increases with the successive differences, that the coefficients of the $\in$ 's are the binomial coefficients with alternating signs, and that the algebraic sum of the errors in any difference column is zero. The same effect is also true for the horizontal difference Table 5.2.

## Example E5.2

Table E5.2 gives the values of a polynomial of degree five. It is given that $f(4)$ is in error. Correct the value of $f(4)$.

Table E5.2

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 0.975 | -0.6083 | -3.5250 | -5.5250 | -6.3583 | 4.2250 | 36.4750 |

## Solution:

It is given that $y=f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree five. Hence $\Delta^{5} y$ must be a constant and $f(4)$ is in error. Let $-5.5250+\in$ be the true or correct value. The difference table is shown in Table E5.2(a).

Table E5.2(a)

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.975 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -1.5833 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | -0.6083 |  | -1.3333 |  |  |  |
|  |  | -2.9167 |  | $2.25+\epsilon$ |  |  |
| 3 | -3.5250 |  | $0.9167+\epsilon$ |  | $-2-4 \epsilon$ |  |
|  |  | $-2+\epsilon$ |  | $0.25-3 \epsilon$ |  | $12+10 \in$ |
| 4 | $-5.5250+\epsilon$ |  | $1.1667-2 \epsilon$ |  | $10+6 \epsilon$ |  |
|  |  | $-0.8333-\epsilon$ |  | $10.25+3 \epsilon$ |  | $-10-10 \epsilon$ |
| 5 | -6.3583 |  | $11.4667+\epsilon$ |  | $0-4 \epsilon$ |  |
|  |  | 10.5833 |  | $10.25-\epsilon$ |  |  |
| 6 | 4.2250 |  | 21.6667 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 32.2500 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 36.4750 |  |  |  |  |  |

Since the fifth differences of $y$ are constant, we have

$$
12+10 \in=-10-10 \in
$$

or

$$
20 \in=-22
$$

or $\quad \in=-1.1$
Hence $f(4)=-5.5250+\epsilon=-5.5250-1.1$
or $\quad f(4)=-6.6250$

## Example E5.3

The following is a table of values of a polynomial of degree 5 . It is given that $f(3)$ is in error. Correct the error.
Table E5.3

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 1 | 2 | 33 | 254 | 1054 | 3126 | 7777 |

## Solution:

It is given that $y=f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 5 .
Hence, $\Delta^{5} y$ must be constant; $f(3)$ is in error.
Let $254+\in$ be the true value, now we form the difference table as shown in Table E5.3 (a).
Table E5.3 (a)

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  | 30 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 31 |  | $160+\epsilon$ |  |  |
| 2 | 33 |  | $190+\epsilon$ |  | $200-4 \epsilon$ |  |
|  |  | $221+\epsilon$ |  | $360-3 \epsilon$ |  | $220+10 \epsilon$ |
| 3 | $254+\epsilon$ |  | $550-2 \epsilon$ |  | $420+6 \epsilon$ |  |
|  |  | $1771-\epsilon$ |  | $1780+3 \in$ |  | $20-10 \in$ |
| 4 | 1054 |  | $1330+\epsilon$ |  | $440-4 \epsilon$ |  |
|  |  | 2101 |  | $1220-\epsilon$ |  |  |
| 5 | 3126 |  | 12550 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4651 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 7777 |  |  |  |  |  |

Since the fifth differences of $y$ are constant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 220+10 \in & =20-10 \in \\
\Rightarrow & 20 \in & =-200 \\
\Rightarrow & \epsilon & =-10 \\
\text { Hence } & f(3) & =254+\epsilon \\
\Rightarrow & f(3) & =244
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.4

Table E5.4 below shows a difference table. Find the location of error.
Table E5.4

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}^{3}$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta^{2}$ | $\Delta^{3}$ | $\Delta^{4}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 5 | 125 | 7.651 | 0.306 | 0.006 | 0 |
| 5.1 | 132.651 | 7.957 | 0.312 | 0.006 | 0 |
| 5.2 | 140.608 | 8.269 | 0.318 | 0.006 | -0.027 |
| 5.3 | 148.877 | 8.587 | 0.324 | -0.021 | 0.081 |
| 5.4 | 157.464 | 8.911 | 0.303 | 0.060 | -0.081 |
| 5.5 | 166.375 | 9.214 | 0.363 | -0.021 | 0.027 |
| 5.6 | 175.616 | 9.577 | 0.342 | 0.006 | 0 |
| 5.7 | 185.193 | 9.919 | 0.348 | 0.006 |  |
| 5.8 | 195.112 | 10.267 | 0.354 |  |  |
| 5.9 | 205.379 | 10.621 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 216 |  |  |  |  |

## Solution:

The location of an error is obviously centered on 0.060 in the third difference. Instead of 9.214 , one has wrongly entered it as 9.241.

### 5.2.5 Properties of the Operator $\Delta$

1. If $c$ is a constant then $\Delta c=0$.

## Proof:

Let $\quad f(x)=c$
Hence $\quad f(x+h)=c$, where $h$ is the interval of differencing.
Hence $\quad \Delta f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x)=c-c=0$
or

$$
\Delta c=0
$$

2. $\Delta$ is distributive, i.e.,

$$
\Delta[f(x) \pm g(x)]=\Delta f(x) \pm \Delta g(x) .
$$

Proof: $\quad \Delta[f(x)+g(x)]=[f(x+h)+g(x+h)]-[f(x)+g(x)]=f(x+h)-f(x)+g(x+h)-g(x)=\Delta f(x)+\Delta g(x)$.
Similarly, we have

$$
\Delta[f(x)-g(x)]=\Delta f(x)-\Delta g(x)
$$

3. If $c$ is a constant then

$$
\Delta[c f(x)]=c \Delta f(x) .
$$

From properties 2 and 3 above, it is observed that $\Delta$ is a linear operator.
Proof: $\quad \Delta[c f(x)]=c f(x+h)-c f(x)=c[f(x+h)-f(x)]=c \Delta f(x)$
Hence $\Delta[c f(x)]=c \Delta f(x)$.
4. If $m$ and $n$ are positive integers then $\Delta^{m} \Delta^{n} f(x)=\Delta^{m+n} f(x)$.

Proof: $\quad \Delta^{m} \Delta^{n} f(x)=(\Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta \ldots m$ times $)(\Delta \times \Delta \ldots n$ times $) f(x)=(\Delta \Delta \Delta \ldots(m+n)$ times $) f(x)=\Delta^{m+n f(x) \text {. } . . . . . . . . ~}$ In a similar manner, we can prove the following properties:
5. $\quad \Delta\left[f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)+\cdots+f_{n}(x)\right]=\Delta f_{1}(x)+\Delta f_{2}(x)+\cdots+\Delta f_{n}(x)$.
6. $\Delta[f(x) g(x)]=f(x) \Delta g(x)+g(x) \Delta f(x)$.
7. $\Delta\left[\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right]=\frac{g(x) \Delta f(x)-f(x) \Delta g(x)}{g(x) g(x+h)}$

### 5.2.6 Difference Operators

(a) Shift operator, E:

The shift operator is defined as
or

$$
\begin{align*}
E f(x) & =f(x+h)  \tag{5.12}\\
E y_{i} & =y_{i+1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, shift operator sifts the function value $y_{i}$ to the next higher value $y_{i+1}$. The second shift operator gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{2} f(x)=E[E f(x)]=E[f(x+h)]=f(x+2 h) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E$ is linear and obeys the law of indices. Generalising,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{n} f(x)=f(x+n h) \text { or } E^{n} y_{i}=y_{i+n h} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse shift operator $E^{-1}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{-1} f(x)=f(x-h) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar manner, second and higher inverse operators are given by

$$
E^{-2} f(x)=f(x-2 h) \text { and } E^{-n} f(x)=f(x-n h)
$$

The more general form of $E$ operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{r} f(x)=f(x+r h) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is positive as well as negative rationals.

## (b) Average operator, $\mu$ :

The average operator $\mu$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu f(x) & =\frac{1}{2}[f(x+h / 2)+f(x-h / 2)] \\
\text { i.e., } \quad \mu y_{i} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[y_{i+1 / 2}+y_{i-1 / 2}\right] \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

(c) Differential operator, D:

The differential operator is usually denoted by $D$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
D f(x)=\frac{d}{d x} f(x)=f^{\prime}(x) \\
D^{2} f(x)=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} f(x)=f^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{5.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 5.2.7 Relation between the Operators

In order to develop approximations to differential equations, following summary of operators is useful.
Table 5.5

| Operator | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Forward difference operator $\Delta$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| Backward difference operator $\nabla$ | $\nabla \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{h})$ |
| Central difference operator $\delta$ | $\delta \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h} / 2)-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{h} / 2)$ |
| Shift operator E | $\mathrm{Ef}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h})$ |
| Average operator $\mu$ | $\mu \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=0.5[\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h} / 2)-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{h} / 2)]$ |
| Differential operator D | $\mathrm{Df}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})$ |

Here $h$ is the difference interval. For linking different operators with differential operator $D$ we consider Taylor's formula:

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+h f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2!} h^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)+\cdots
$$

In operator notation, we can write it as:

$$
E f(x)=\left[1+h D+\frac{1}{2!}(h D)^{2}+\cdots\right] f(x)
$$

This series in brackets is the expression for the exponential and hence we can write

$$
E=e^{h D}
$$

This relation can be used by symbolic programs such as Maple or Mathematica to analyse the accuracy of finite difference scheme.
From the definition of $\Delta$, we know that

$$
\Delta f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x)
$$

where $h$ is the interval of differencing. Using the operator $E$ we can write

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned}
\Delta f(x) & =E f(x)-f(x) \\
\Rightarrow & \Delta f(x)=(E-1) f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above relation can be expressed as an identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =E-1 \\
\text { i.e., } & E=1+\Delta \\
\text { Proof: } \quad E \Delta f(x) & =E(f(x+h)-f(x)) \\
& =E f(x+h)-E f(x) \\
& =f(x+2 h)-f(x+h) \\
& =\Delta f(x+h) \\
& =\Delta E f(x) \\
\text { Hence } \quad E \Delta & =\Delta E .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.5

Show that $\Delta \log f(x)=\log \left[1+\frac{\Delta f(x)}{f(x)}\right]$

## Solution:

Let $h$ be the interval of differencing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x+h)=E f(x)=(\Delta+1) f(x)=\Delta f(x)+f(x) \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \frac{f(x+h)}{f(x)}=\frac{\Delta f(x)}{f(x)}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking logarithms on both sides we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \left[\frac{f(x+h)}{f(x)}\right]=\log \left[1+\frac{\Delta f(x)}{f(x)}\right] \\
\Rightarrow & \log f(x+h)-\log f(x)=\log \left[1+\frac{\Delta f(x)}{f(x)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \Delta \log f(x)=\log \left[1+\frac{\Delta f(x)}{f(x)}\right]
$$

## Example E5.6

Evaluate $\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{3}$

## Solution:

Let $h$ be the interval of differencing

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{3} & =\left(\Delta^{2} E^{-1}\right) x^{3} \\
& =(E-1)^{2} E^{-1} x^{3} \\
& =\left(E^{2}-2 E+1\right) E^{-1} x^{3} \\
& =\left(E-2+E^{-1}\right) x^{3} \\
& =E x^{3}-2 x^{3}+E^{-1} x^{3} \\
& =(x+h)^{3}-2 x^{3}+(x-h)^{3} \\
& =6 x h
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: If $h=1$, then $\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{3}=6 x$

## Example E5.7

Prove that $e^{x}=\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E} e^{x} \cdot \frac{E e^{x}}{\Delta^{2} e^{x}}$, the interval of differencing being $h$.

## Solution:

We know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E f(x) & =f(x+h) \\
\text { Hence } & E e^{x} & =e^{x+h}, \\
\text { Again } & \Delta e^{x} & =e^{x+h}-e^{x}=e^{x}\left(e^{h}-1\right) \\
\Rightarrow & \Delta^{2} e^{x} & =e^{x} \cdot\left(e^{h}-1\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) e^{x}=\left(\Delta^{2} E^{-1}\right) e^{x}=\Delta^{2} e^{x-h}=e^{-h}\left(\Delta^{2} e^{x}\right)=e^{-h} e^{x}\left(e^{h}-1\right)^{2}$
Therefore, the right hand side $=e^{-h} e^{x}\left(e^{h}-1\right) \frac{e^{x+h}}{e^{x}\left(e^{h}-1\right)}=e^{x}$
Relation between $E$ and $\nabla$ :

$$
\nabla f(x)=f(x)-f(x-h)=f(x)-E^{-1} f(x)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \quad \nabla & =1-E^{-1} \\
\nabla & =\frac{E-1}{E} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.8

Prove the following $(a)(1+\Delta)(1-\Delta)=1$ (b) $\Delta \nabla=\Delta-\nabla$

## Solution:

(a) $(1+\Delta)(1-\nabla) f(x)=E E^{-1} f(x)=E f(x-h)=f(x)=1 \cdot f(x)$.

$$
\therefore \quad(1+\Delta)(1-\nabla)=1
$$

(b) $\nabla \Delta f(x)=(E-1)\left(1-E^{-1}\right) f(x)=(E-1)[f(x)-f(x-h)]$

## Proofs for the Relations among the Operators:

1. 

$$
\Delta=E-1
$$

Since $\quad \Delta f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x)$
or $\quad \Delta f(x)=E[f(x)]-f(x)=(E-1) f(x)$
Since $f(x)$ is arbitrary, so ignoring it, we have
$\Delta=E-1$ or $E=1+\Delta$
2.

We have

$$
\nabla=1-E^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla f(x) & =f(x)-f(x-h) \\
& =f(x)-E^{-1}[f(x)] \\
& =\left(1-E^{-1}\right) f(x) \\
\nabla & =1-E^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
3.

We have

$$
\delta[f(x)]=f(x+h / 2)-f(x-h / 2)
$$

$$
=E^{1 / 2} \cdot[f(x)]-E^{-1 / 2} \cdot[f(x)]
$$

$$
=\left(E^{1 / 2}-E^{-1 / 2}\right) f(x)
$$

Hence

$$
\delta=E^{1 / 2}-E^{-1 / 2}
$$

4. 

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Delta=E \nabla & =\nabla E=\delta E^{1 / 2} \\
\text { We have } & E \nabla[f(x)] & =E[f(x)-f(x-h)] \\
& =E[f(x)]-E[f(x-h)] \\
& =f(x+h)-f(x)=\Delta f(x) \\
& & \\
\text { Hence } & E \nabla & =\Delta \\
\text { Again, } & \nabla E[f(x)] & =\nabla f(x+h)=f(x+h)-f(x)=\Delta f(x) \\
\text { Hence } & \nabla E & =\Delta \\
\text { Also, } & \delta E^{1 / 2} \cdot[f(x)] & =\delta[f(x+h / 2)] \\
& & =f(x+h)-f(x)=\Delta f(x) \\
\text { Hence } & \delta E^{1 / 2} & =\Delta
\end{array}
$$

5. 

$$
E=e^{h D}
$$

where

$$
D=\frac{d}{d x}
$$

We know $E[f(x)]=f(x+h)=f(x)+h f^{\prime}(x)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(x)+\cdots$, by Taylor's series

$$
=f(x)+h D f(x)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} D^{2} f(x)+\cdots=\left(1+h D+\frac{h^{2} D^{2}}{2!}+\cdots\right) f(x)=e^{h D} \cdot f(x)
$$

Hence

$$
E=e^{h D} .
$$

6. 

$$
u=\frac{1}{2}\left(E^{1 / 2}+E^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

Since

$$
u[f(x)]=\frac{1}{2}\left[f(x+h / 2)+f(x-h / 2)=\frac{1}{2}\left[E^{1 / 2} f(x)+E^{-1 / 2} f(x)\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[E^{1 / 2}+E^{-1 / 2}\right] f(x)\right.
$$

Hence $\quad u=\frac{1}{2}\left(E^{1 / 2}+E^{-1 / 2}\right)$
7. $\Delta \nabla=\Delta \nabla=\delta^{2}$

Since $\quad \Delta \nabla f(x)=\Delta(f(x)-f(x-h))$

$$
=\Delta(f(x)-\Delta f(x-h)
$$

$$
=[f(x+h)-f(x)]-[f(x)-f(x-h)]
$$

$$
=\delta \cdot f(x+h / 2)-\delta f(x-h / 2)=\delta^{2} f(x)
$$

Hence

$$
\Delta \nabla=\delta^{2}
$$

Also $\quad \nabla \Delta f(x)=\nabla(f(x+h)-f(x))$

$$
=\nabla f(x+h)-\nabla f(x)
$$

$$
=[f(x+h)-f(x)]-[f(x)-f(x-h)]
$$

$$
=\delta \cdot f(x+h / 2)-\delta f(x-h / 2)=\delta^{2} f(x)
$$

Hence $\quad \nabla \Delta=\delta^{2}$
8.

$$
(1+\Delta)(1-\Delta)=1
$$

L.H.S. $=\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{E}^{-1}=\mathrm{E}^{1-1}=\mathrm{E}^{0}=1=$ R.H.S.

Hence the result. The relationships among the various operators are shown in Table 5.6.
Table 5.6: Relationship among the operators

|  | E | $\Delta$ | $\nabla$ | $\delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | E | $\Delta+1$ | $(1-\nabla)^{-1}$ | $1+\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}+\delta \sqrt{\left(1+\frac{1}{4} \delta^{2}\right)}$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\mathrm{E}-1$ | $\Delta$ | $(1-\nabla)^{-1}-1$ | $\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}+\delta \sqrt{\left(1+\frac{1}{4} \delta^{2}\right)}$ |
| $\nabla$ | $1-\mathrm{E}^{-1}$ | $1-(1+\Delta)^{-1}$ | $\nabla$ | $-\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}+\delta \sqrt{\left(1+\frac{1}{4} \delta^{2}\right)}$ |
| $\delta$ | $\mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}-\mathrm{E}^{-1 / 2}$ | $\Delta(1+\Delta)^{-1 / 2}$ | $\nabla(1-\nabla)^{-1 / 2}$ | $\delta$ |
| u | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}+\mathrm{E}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ | $\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \Delta\right)(1+\Delta)^{1 / 2}$ | $\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \Delta\right)(1-\Delta)^{-1 / 2}$ | $\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{1}{4} \delta^{2}\right)}$ |

### 5.2.8 Representation of a Polynomial using Factorial Notation

A polynomial of degree $n$ can be expressed as a fractional polynomial of the same degree. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of degree which is to be expressed in factorial notation and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x^{1}+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are constants and $a_{0} \neq 0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta f(x)=\Delta\left[a_{0}+a_{1} x^{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}\right] \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \Delta f(x)=a_{1}+2 a_{2} x^{1}+\cdots+r a_{n} x^{(n-1)} \\
& \text { Hence } \quad \Delta^{2} f(x)=\Delta\left[a_{1}+2 a_{2} x^{1}+\cdots+r a_{n} x^{(n-1)}\right] \\
& \text { or } \\
& \Delta^{2} f(x)=2 a_{2}+2 \times 3 a_{3} x^{1}+\cdots+n(n-1) x^{(n-2)} \\
& \Delta^{r} f(x)=a_{n}^{r}(n-1) \cdots 2 \times 1 x^{(0)}=a_{n}^{r!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $x=0$ in the above, we obtain

$$
f(0)=a_{0}, \frac{\Delta f(0)}{1!}=a_{1}, \frac{\Delta^{2} f(0)}{2!}=a_{2}, \ldots ., \frac{\Delta^{n} f(0)}{n!}=a_{n}
$$

Putting the values of $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{\mathrm{n}}$ in Eq.(5.20), we get

$$
f(x)=f(0)+\frac{\Delta f(0)}{1!} x^{1}+\frac{\Delta^{2} f(0)}{2!} x^{2}+\ldots .+\frac{\Delta^{n} f(0)}{n!} x^{n}
$$

## Example E5.9

Evaluate (a) $\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{2} \quad$ (b) $\Delta \sin x$
(c) $\Delta \log x \quad$ (d) $\tan ^{-1} x$.

## Solution:

(a) $\quad\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{2}=\left[\frac{(E-1)^{2}}{E}\right] x^{2}=\left(\frac{E^{2}-2 E+1}{E}\right) x^{2}$
$=\left(E-2+E^{-1}\right) x^{2}=E x^{2}-2 x^{2}+E^{-1} x^{2}=(x+1)^{2}-2 x^{2}+(x+1)^{2}=2$.
(b)

$$
\Delta \sin x=\sin (x+h)-\sin x=2 \cos \left(\frac{x+h+x}{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{x+h-x}{2}\right)=2 \cos \left(x+\frac{h}{2}\right) \sin \frac{h}{2}
$$

Hence $\quad \Delta \sin x=2 \cos \left(x+\frac{h}{2}\right) \sin \frac{h}{2}$
(c)

$$
\Delta \log x=\log (x+h)-\log x=\log \frac{x+h}{x}=\log \left[1+\frac{h}{x}\right]
$$

Hence $\quad \Delta \log x=\log \left[1+\frac{h}{x}\right]$

$$
\Delta \tan ^{-1}=\tan ^{-1}(x+h)-\tan ^{-1}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{x+h-x}{1+(x+h) x}\right]=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{h}{1+h x+x^{2}}\right]
$$

## Example E5.10

Find (a) $\quad \Delta^{2} e^{x}$
(b) $\Delta \log x$

## Solution:

(a)
$\Delta^{2} e^{x}=\Delta\left(\Delta e^{x}\right)=\Delta\left[e^{x+h}-e^{x}\right]=\Delta\left[e^{x}\left(e^{h}-1\right)\right]=\left(e^{h}-1\right) \Delta e^{x}=\left(e^{h}-1\right)\left(e^{x+h}-e^{x}\right)=\left(e^{h}-1\right) e^{x}$
Hence $\quad \Delta^{2} e^{x}=\left(e^{h}-1\right)^{2} e^{x}$
(b) See sol. E5.9 (c).

## Example E5.11

Evaluate $\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{3}$

## Solution:

Let $h=$ interval of differencing.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{3} & =\left(\Delta^{2} E^{-1}\right) x^{3}=(E-1)^{2} E^{-1} x^{3}=\left(E^{2}-2 E+1\right) E^{-1} x^{3}=\left(E-2+E^{-1}\right) x^{3}=E x^{3}-2 x^{3}+E^{-1} x^{3} \\
& =(x+h)^{3}-2 x^{3}+(x-h)^{3}=6 x h
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.12

Given $\quad u_{0}=1, u_{1}=11, u_{2}=21, u_{3}=28, u_{4}=30$, find $\Delta^{4} u_{0}$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{4} u_{0} & =(E-1)^{4} u_{0}=\left(E^{4}-4 c_{1} E^{3}+4 c_{2} E^{2}-4 c_{3} E+1\right) u_{0}=E^{4} y_{0}-4 E^{3} u_{0}+6 E^{2} u_{0}-4 E u_{0}+u_{0} \\
& =u_{4}-4 u_{3}+6 u_{2}-4 u_{1}+u_{0}=30-112+126-44+1=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.13

Estimate the missing term in the following table.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 4 | 3 | 4 | $?$ | 12 |

## Solution:

We are given four values, so the third differences are constant and the fourth differences are zero.
Hence $\quad \Delta^{4} f(x)=0$ for all values of $x$.
That is $\quad(E-1)^{4} f(x)=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(E^{4}-4 E^{3}+6 E^{2}-4 E+1\right) f(x)=0 \\
& E^{4} f(x)-4 E^{3} f(x)+6 E^{2} f(x)-4 E f(x)+f(x)=0 \\
& f(x+4)-4 f(x+3)+6 f(x+2)-4 f(x+1)+f(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the interval of differencing is 1 .
Now substituting $x=0$, we obtain

$$
f(4)+4 f(3)+6 f(2)-4 f(1)+f(0)=0
$$

$$
12+4 f(3)+6(4)-4(3)+4=0
$$

or
$f(3)=7$.

## Example E5.14

Find

$$
\Delta^{3}(1-3 x)(1-2 x)(1-x)
$$

## Solution:

Let

$$
f(x)=(1-3 x)(1-2 x)(1-x)=-6 x^{3}+11 x^{2}-6 x+1
$$

Here, $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree three and the coefficient of $x^{3}$ is (-6).
Hence $\quad \Delta^{3} f(x)=(-6) 3!=-36$.

## Example E5.15

Evaluate $\Delta\left(e^{a x} \log b x\right)$.

## Solution:

Let

$$
f(x)=e^{a x} \text { and } g(x)=\log b x
$$

Hence

$$
\Delta f(x)=e^{a(x+h)}-e^{a} x_{X}=e^{a x}\left(e^{a h}-1\right)
$$

$$
\Delta g(x)=\log b(x+h)-\log b x=\log \left(1+\frac{h}{x}\right)
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(f(x) \cdot g(x)) & =f(x+h) \Delta g(x)+g(x) \cdot \Delta f(x) \\
& =e^{a(x+h)} \log (1+h / x)+\log b x \cdot e^{a x}\left(e^{a h}-1\right) \\
& =e^{a x} \cdot\left[e^{a h} \log (1+h / x)+\left(e^{a h}-1\right) \log b x\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.16

If $m$ is a positive integer and the interval of differencing is 1 , show that

$$
\Delta^{2} X^{(-m)}=m(m+1) X^{(-m-2)}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{(-m)} & =\frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2) \cdots(x+m)} \\
\Delta\left[x^{(-m)}\right] & =\frac{1}{(x+2)(x+1) \cdots(x+m+1)}-\frac{1}{(x+1) \cdots(x+m)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(x+2) \cdots(x+m)}\left[\frac{1}{(x+m+1)}-\frac{1}{(x+1)}\right] \\
& =m \frac{(-1)}{(x+1)(x+2) \cdots(x+m+1)}=(-m) x^{(-m-1)} \\
\Delta^{2}\left(x^{(-m)}\right) & =(-m)(-m-1) x^{(-m-2)}=m(m+1) x^{(-m-2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.17

Express $f(x)=3 x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$, in the factorial notation, interval of differencing being unity.

## Solution:

Here $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 3.
$\therefore \quad$ We can write

$$
f(x)=f(0)+\frac{\Delta f(0)}{1!} x^{1}+\frac{\Delta^{2} f(0)}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{\Delta^{3} f(0)}{3!} x^{3} .
$$

The interval of differencing is unit and finding the values of the function at $x=0,1,2$, 3, we get

$$
f(0)=1, f(1)=6, f(2)=31, f(3)=94 .
$$

The difference table (Table E5.17) for the above values is given below:
Table E5.17

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |
| 1 | 6 |  | 20 |  |
|  |  | 25 |  | 16 |
| 2 | 31 |  | 38 |  |
|  |  | 63 |  |  |
| 3 | 94 |  |  |  |

From the table we have $f(0)=1, \Delta f(0)=5, \Delta^{2} f(0)=20, \Delta^{3} f(0)=18$.
Substituting the above values in $f(x)$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& f(x)=1+5 x^{1}+\frac{20}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{18}{3!} x^{3}, \\
\text { Hence } & f(x)=3 x^{3}+10 x^{2}+5 x+1 .
\end{array}
$$

### 5.3 INTERPOLATION WITH EQUAL INTERVALS

Here, we assume that for function $y=f(x)$, the set of $(n+1)$ functional values $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are given corresponding to the set of $(n+1)$ equally spaced values of the independent variable, $x_{i}=x_{0}+i h, i=0,1$, $\ldots, n$, where $h$ is the spacing.

### 5.3.1 Missing Values

Let a function $y=f(x)$ is given for equally spaced values $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of the argument and $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots$, $y_{n}$ denote the corresponding values of the function. If one or more values of $y=f(x)$ are missing, we can determine the missing values by employing the relationship between the operators $E$ and $\Delta$.

### 5.3.2 Newton's Binomial Expansion Formula

Suppose $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ denote the values of the function $y=f(x)$ corresponding to the values $x_{0}, x_{0}+h$, $x_{0}+2 h, \ldots, x_{0}+n h$ of $x$. Let one of the values of $y$ is missing since $n$ values of the functions are known. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{n} y_{0} & =0 \\
\text { or } & (E-1)^{n} y_{0} & =0 \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding Eq.(5.21), we have
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E^{n}-{ }^{n} C_{1} E^{n-1}+{ }^{n} C_{2} E^{n-2}+\cdots+(-1)^{n}\right] y_{0}=0 \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
E^{n} y_{0}-n E^{n-1} y_{0}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} E^{n-2} y_{0}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} y_{0}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}-n y_{n-1}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} y_{n-2}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} y_{0}=0 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.23) is quite useful in determining the missing values without actually constructing the difference table.

## Example E5.18

Determine the missing entry in the following table.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 4 | 17 | - | 97 |

## Solution:

Let $y_{0}=1, y_{1}=4, y_{2}=17$ and $y_{4}=97$. We are given four values of $y$. Let $y$ be a polynomial of degree 3 .
Hence $\quad \Delta^{4} y_{0}=0$
or

$$
(E-1)^{4} y_{0}=0
$$

$$
\left(E^{4}-4 E^{3}+6 E^{2}-4 E+1\right) y_{0}=0
$$

$$
E^{4} y_{0}-4 E^{3} y_{0}+6 E^{2} y_{0}-4 E y_{0}+y_{0}=0
$$

or

$$
y_{4}-4 y_{3}+6 y_{2}-4 y_{1}+y_{0}=0
$$

That is $\quad 97-\left(4 y_{3}\right)+6(17)-4(4)+1=0$
or

$$
y_{3}=46 .
$$

## Example E5.19

Find the missing entry in the following table.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 3 | 11 | - | 189 | 491 |

## Solution:

Here, we are given $y_{0}=1, y_{1}=3, y_{2}=11, y_{4}=189$ and $y_{5}=491$. Since five values are given, we assume that $y$ is a polynomial of degree 4 .
Hence

$$
\Delta^{5} y_{0}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(E-1)^{5} y_{0}=0 \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(E^{5}-5 E^{4}+10 E^{3}-10 E^{2}+5 E-1\right) y_{0}=0 \\
y_{5}-5 y_{4}+10 y_{3}-10 y_{2}+5 y_{1}-y_{0}=0 \tag{E.2}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting the given values for $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{5}$ in Eq.(E.2), we get
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
491-5(189)+10 y_{3}-10(11)+5(3)-1 & =0 \\
10 y_{3} & =550 \\
y_{3} & =55 .
\end{aligned}
$$

or

## Example E5.20

Find the missing entries in the following table.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | - | 11 | 28 | - | 116 |

## Solution:

Here, we are given $y_{0}=1, y_{2}=11, y_{3}=28$, and $y_{5}=116$. Since three values are known, we assume $y=f(x)$ as a polynomial of degree three.

| Hence | $\Delta^{4} y_{0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |$=0$

Solving Eqs.(E.1) and (E.2), we obtain

$$
y_{1}=4 \text { and } y_{4}=61
$$

### 5.3.3 Newton's Forward Interpolation Formula

Let $y=f(x)$, which takes the values $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$, that is the set of $(n+1)$ functional values $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots$, $y_{n}$ are given corresponding to the set of $(n+1)$ equally spaced values of the independent variable, $x_{i}=x_{0}+i h, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ where $h$ is the spacing. Let $\phi(x)$ be a polynomial of the $n^{\text {th }}$ degree in $x$ taking the same values as $y$ corresponding to $x=x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then, $\phi(x)$ represents the continuous function $y=f(x)$ such that $f\left(x_{i}\right)=\phi\left(x_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ and at all other points $f(x)=\phi(x)+R(x)$ where $R(x)$ is called the error term (remainder term) of the interpolation formula.

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(x)= & a_{0}+a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+a_{2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)+a_{3}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)+\cdots \\
& +a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n-1}\right) \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i} ; i=0,1,2, \ldots ., n \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ can be determined as follows:
Substituting $x=x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ successively in Eq.(5.24), we get
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=y_{0}  \tag{5.26}\\
& y_{1}=a_{0}+a_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
y_{1}=y_{0}+a_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \quad[\text { using Eq.(5.26) }]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\frac{y_{1}-y_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}=\frac{\Delta y_{0}}{h} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
y_{2}=a_{0}+a_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)+a_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)
$$

or

$$
y_{2}-y_{0}-a_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)=a_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)
$$

or $\quad\left(y_{2}-y_{0}\right)-\frac{\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)=a_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)$
or

$$
\left(y_{2}-y_{0}\right)-\frac{\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right) 2 h}{h}=a_{2} 2 h h
$$

or $\quad a_{2}=\frac{y_{2}-2 y_{1}+y_{0}}{2 h^{2}}=\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{0}}{2!h^{2}}$
Similarly, we obtain

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{0}}{3!h^{3}}, \ldots ., a_{n}=\frac{\Delta^{n} y_{0}}{n!h^{n}}
$$

Hence, from Eq.(5.24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=y_{0}+\frac{\Delta y_{0}}{h}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{0}}{2!h^{2}}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)+\frac{\Delta^{n} y_{0}}{n!h^{n}}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n-1}\right) \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
x=x_{0}+u h
$$

or

$$
x-x_{0}=u h
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& x-x_{1}=\left(x-x_{0}\right)-\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)=u h-h=(u-1) h  \tag{5.30}\\
& x-x_{2}=\left(x-x_{1}\right)-\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)=(u-1) h-h=(u-2) h, \text { etc. }
\end{align*}
$$

Using the values from Eq.(5.30), Eq.(5.29) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots+\frac{u(u-1) \cdots(u-(n-1))}{n!} \Delta^{n} y_{0} \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula given in Eq.(5.31) is called the Newton's forward interpolation formula. This formula is used to interpolate the values of $y$ near the beginning of a set of equally spaced tabular values. This formula can also be used for extrapolating the values of $y$ a little backward of $y_{0}$.

## Example E5.21

Given that $\sqrt{15500}=124.4990, \sqrt{15510}=124.5392, \sqrt{15520}=124.5793$ and $\sqrt{15530}=124.6194$, find the value of $\sqrt{15516}$.

## Solution:

The difference table is given below:

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\sqrt{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15500 \mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $124.4990 \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0402 |  |
| 15510 | 124.5392 |  | $0 \Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
|  |  | 0.0401 |  |
| 15520 | 124.5793 |  | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0401 |  |
| 15530 | 124.6194 |  |  |

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=15500, h=10 \text { and } x=15516 \\
& \qquad u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{15516-15500}{10}=1.6
\end{aligned}
$$

Newton's forward difference formula is

$$
f(x)=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\cdots
$$

or $\quad f(15516)=124.4990+1.6(0.0402)+0=124.56323$

## Example E5.22

A second degree polynomial passes through the points $(1,-1),(2,-2),(3,-1)$ and $(4,2)$. Find the polynomial.

## Solution:

The difference table is constructed with the given values of $x$ and $y$ as shown below:

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | -1 |  |  |
| 2 | -2 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1 |  | 0 |
| 3 | -1 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 4 | 2 |  |  |  |

Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{0}=1, h=1, y_{0}=-1, \Delta y_{0}=-1 \text { and } \Delta^{2} y_{0}=2 \\
u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=(x-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

From the Newton's forward interpolation formula, we have

$$
y=f(x)=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\cdots
$$

or $\quad f(x)=-1+(x-1)(-1)+\frac{(x-1)(x-1-1)}{2} \times 2=x^{2}-4 x+2$

## Example E5.23

Find $y=e^{3 x}$ for $x=0.05$ using the following table.

| x | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{x}}$ | 1 | 1.3499 | 1.8221 | 2.4596 | 3.3201 |

## Solution:

The difference table is shown in below:

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{e}^{3 \mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.3499 |  |  |  |
| 0.10 | 1.3409 |  | 0.1224 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.4723 |  | 0.0428 |  |
| 0.20 | 1.8221 |  | 0.1652 |  | 0.0150 |
|  |  | 0.6375 |  | 0.0578 |  |
| 0.30 | 2.4596 |  | 0.2230 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.8605 |  |  |  |
| 0.40 | 3.3201 |  |  |  |  |

We have $x_{0}=0.00, x=0.05, h=0.1$
Hence $\quad u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{0.05-0.00}{0.1}=0.5$
Using Newton's forward formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\cdots \\
f(0.05)= & 1.0+0.5(0.3499)+\frac{0.5(0.5-1)}{2}(0.1224)+\frac{(0.5)(0.5-1)(0.5-2)}{6}(0.0428) \\
& +\frac{0.5(0.5-1)(0.5-2)(0.5-3)}{24}(0.0150) \\
f(0.05)= & 1.16172
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5. 24

The values of $\sin x$ are given below for different values of $x$. Find the value of $\sin 42^{\circ}$.

| $x$ | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x) \sin x$ | 0.6428 | 0.7071 | 0.7660 | 0.8192 | 0.8660 |

## Solution:

$x=42^{\circ}$ is near the starting value $x_{0}=40^{\circ}$. Hence, we use Newton's forward interpolation formula.

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\sin \mathrm{x}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 0.6428 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0643 |  |  |  |
| $45^{\circ}$ | 0.7071 |  | -0.0054 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0589 |  | -0.0004 |  |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 0.7660 |  | -0.0058 |  | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0531 |  | -0.0004 |  |
| $55^{\circ}$ | 0.8192 |  | -0.0062 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0469 |  |  |  |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 0.8660 |  |  |  |  |

$$
u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{42^{\circ}-40^{\circ}}{5}=0.4
$$

We have $y_{0}=0.6428, \Delta y_{0}=0.0643, \Delta^{2} y_{0}=-0.0054, \Delta^{3} y_{0}=-0.0004$
Putting these values in Newton's forward interpolation formula we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\ldots \\
f\left(42^{\circ}\right)=0.6428+0.4(0.0643)+\frac{0.4(0.4-1)}{2}(-0.0054)+\frac{0.4-(0.4-1)(0.4-2)}{6}(-0.0004)=0.66913
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example E5. 25

The profits of a company (in thousands of rupees) are given below:

| Year (x) | 1990 | 1993 | 1996 | 1999 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit $y=f(x)$ | 120 | 100 | 111 | 108 | 99 |

Calculate the total profits between 1990-2002.

## Solution:

The forward difference table is constructed as shown further:

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 120 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -20 |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 100 |  | 31 |  |  |
|  |  | 11 |  | -45 |  |
| 1996 | 111 |  | -14 |  | 53 |
|  |  | -3 |  | 8 |  |
| 1999 | 108 |  | -6 |  |  |
|  |  | -9 |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 99 |  |  |  |  |

To calculate profits at 1991:
Let $\quad x_{0}=1990, x=1991, h=3, p=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=0.33$
Using Newton's forward interpolation formula we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(1991)= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{0} \\
= & 120+0.33(-20)+\frac{0.33(0.33-1)}{2}(31)+\frac{0.33(0.33-1)(0.33-2)}{6}(-45) \\
& +\frac{0.33(0.33-1)(0.33-2)(0.33-3)}{24}(53)=104.93
\end{aligned}
$$

or $\quad 104.93$ thousand rupees.
As an example, consider the difference table (Table E5.25) of $f(x)=\sin x$ for $x=0^{\circ}$ to $50^{\circ}$ :
Table E5.25

| $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{deg})$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\sin \mathrm{x}$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta^{2}$ | $\Delta^{3}$ | $\Delta^{4}$ | $\Delta^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.1736 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0.1736 | 0.1684 | -0.0052 | -0.0052 |  |  |
| 20 | 0.3420 | 0.1580 | -0.0104 | -0.0048 | 0.0004 | 0 |
| 30 | 0.5000 | 0.1428 | -0.0152 | -0.0044 | 0.0004 |  |
| 40 | 0.6425 | 0.1232 | -0.0196 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 0.766 |  |  |  |  |  |

Since the fourth order differences are constant, we conclude that a quartic approximation is appropriate. In order to determine sin $5^{\circ}$ from the table, we use Newton's forward difference formula (to fourth order); thus,
taking $x_{j}=0$, we find $a=\frac{5-0}{10}=\frac{1}{2}$.
Hence $\sin 5^{0}=\sin 0^{\circ}+1 / 2(0.1736)+(1 / 2)(1 / 2)(-1 / 2)(-0.0052)+(1 / 6)(1 / 2)(-1 / 2)(-3 / 2)(-0.0052)$

$$
+(1 / 24)(1 / 2)(-1 / 2)(-3 / 2)(-5 / 2)(0.0004)=0+0.0868+0.0006(5)-0.0003(3)-0.0000(2)=0.0871
$$

In order to determine sin $45^{\circ}$ from the table, we use Newton's backward difference formula (to fourth order); thus, taking $x_{j}=40$, we find $b=\frac{45-40}{10}=\frac{1}{2}$
and $\sin 45^{\circ}=\sin 40^{\circ}+\frac{1}{2}(0.1428)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2}(-0.0152)+\frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2}(-0.0048)+\frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{5}{2} \frac{7}{2}(0.0004)$
$=0.6428+0.0714-0.0057-0.0015+0.00001=0.7071$

## Example E5.26

If $f(x)$ is known at the following data points

| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | 7 | 23 | 55 | 109 |

Find $f(0.5)$ using Newton's forward difference formula.

## Solution:

Forward difference table is prepared as shown in Table E5.26.

## Table E5.26

| x | f | $\Delta \mathrm{f}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{f}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{f}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 |  | 10 |  |  |
|  |  | 16 |  | 6 |  |
| 2 | 23 |  | 16 |  | 0 |
|  |  | 32 |  | 6 |  |
| 3 | 55 |  | 22 |  |  |
|  |  | 54 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 109 |  |  |  |  |

By Newton's forward difference formula

$$
f\left(x_{0}+a h\right)=\left[f_{0}+a \Delta f_{0}+\frac{a(a-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} f_{0}+\frac{a(a-1)(a-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} f_{0}\right]
$$

To find $f(0.5)$ :
At

$$
x=0.5, a=\left(x-x_{0}\right) / h=(0.5-0) / 1=0.5
$$

Hence $\quad f(0.5)=\left[1+0.5 \times 6+\frac{0.5(0.5-1)}{2!} 10+\frac{0.5(0.5-1)(0.5-2)}{3!} 6\right]$

$$
=1+3+2.5 \times(-0.5)+(-0.25)(-1.5)=3.125
$$

## Example E5.27

Find $f(0.15)$ using Newton backward difference formula from Table E5.27.

Table E5.27

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\nabla \mathrm{f}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{f}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{f}$ | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.09983 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.09884 |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 0.19867 |  | -0.00199 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.09685 |  | -0.00156 |  |
| 0.3 | 0.29552 |  | -0.00355 |  | 0.00121 |
|  |  | 0.0939 |  | -0.00035 |  |
| 0.4 | 0.38942 |  | -0.0039 |  |  |
|  |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.97943 |  |  |  |  |

## Solution:

Using Newton-Gregory’s formula:

$$
f(x)=\left[f_{n}+n \nabla f_{n}+\frac{b(b+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2} f_{n}+\frac{b(b+1)(b+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3} f_{n}+\frac{b(b+1)(b+2)(b+3)}{4!} \nabla^{4} f_{n}\right]
$$

where in present case: $h=0.1, n=5$

$$
b=\left(x-x_{n}\right) / h=(0.15-0.5) / 0.1=-3.5
$$

Hence $\quad f(0.15)=0.97943+-3.5 \times 0.09+\frac{-3.5(-3.5+1)}{2!}(-0.0039)+\frac{-3.5(-3.5+1)(-3.5+2)}{3!}(-0.00035)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{-3.5(-3.5+1)(-3.5+2)(-3.5+3)}{4!}(0.00121) \\
= & 0.97943-0.315-0.01706+0.000765625+0.00033086=0.14847
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.3.4 Newton's Backward Interpolation Formula

Newton's forward interpolation formula is not suitable for interpolation values of $y$ near the end of a table of values.

Let $y=f(x)$ be a function which takes the values $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ corresponding to the values $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$, $\ldots ., x_{n}$ of the independent variable $x$. Let the values of $x$ be equally spaced with $h$ as the interval of differencing.

$$
\text { That is } \quad x_{i}=x_{0}+i h, \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Let $\phi(x)$ be a polynomial of the $n^{\text {th }}$ degree in $x$ taking the same values of $y$ corresponding to $x=x_{0}, x_{1}$, $\ldots ., x_{n}$. That is, $\phi(x)$ represents $y=f(x)$ such that $f\left(x_{i}\right)=\phi\left(x_{i}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots$. Hence we can write $\phi(x)$ as
and

$$
\phi\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}, \quad i=n, n-1, \ldots, 1,0
$$

Let $\quad \phi \prime(x)=a_{0}+a_{1}\left(x-x_{n}\right)+a_{2}\left(x-x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)+\cdots+a_{n}\left(x-x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n-1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{0}\right)$

Substituting $x=x_{n}, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{0}$ successively, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0} & =y_{n}  \tag{5.33}\\
y_{n-1} & =a_{0}+a_{1}\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right) \\
a_{1} & =\frac{y_{n-1}-y_{n}}{x_{n-1}-x_{n}}=\frac{\nabla y_{n}}{h} \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{\nabla^{2} y_{n}}{2!h^{2}}, \ldots ., a_{n}=\frac{\nabla^{n} y_{n}}{n!h^{n}} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the values from Eqs.(5.33), (5.34) and (5.35) in Eq.(5.32), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=y_{n}+\frac{\nabla y_{n}}{h}\left(x-x_{n}\right)+\frac{\nabla^{2} y_{n}}{2!h^{2}}\left(x-x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)+\cdots+\frac{\nabla^{n} y_{n}}{n!h^{n}}\left(x-x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n-1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, setting $x=x_{n}+v h$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x-x_{n} & =v h \\
x-x_{n-1} & =(v+1) h \\
& \cdots \cdots \\
x-x_{0} & =(v+n-1) h
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Eq.(5.36) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\cdots+v(v+1) \cdots \frac{(v+n-1)}{n!} \nabla^{n} y_{n} \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}
$$

The formula given in Eq.(5.37) is called the Newton's backward interpolation formula. This formula is used for interpolating values of $y$ near the end of the tabulated values and also used for extrapolating values of $y$ a little backward of $y_{n}$.

## Example E5.28

Calculate the value of $f(84)$ for the data given in the table below:

| $x$ | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 204 | 224 | 246 | 270 | 296 | 324 |

## Solution:

The value of 84 is near the end of Table E5.28. Hence, we use the Newton's backward interpolation formula. The difference table is shown below.

Table E5.28

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\nabla$ | $\nabla^{2}$ | $\nabla^{3}$ | $\nabla^{4}$ | $\nabla^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 204 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 224 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 22 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 60 | 246 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 24 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 70 | 270 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 26 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 80 | 296 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 324 |  |  |  |  |  |

We have $x_{n}=90, x=84, h=10, t_{n}=y_{n}=324, \nabla t_{n}=\nabla y_{n}=28, \nabla^{2} y_{n}=2$ and $f h=f h$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{3} y_{n} & =\nabla^{4} y_{n}=\nabla^{5} y_{n}=0, \\
u & =\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}=\frac{84-90}{10}=-0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

From Newton's backward formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(84)=t_{n}+u \nabla t_{n}+\frac{u(u+1)}{2} \nabla^{2} t_{n}+\cdots \\
& f(84)=324-0.6 \times 28+\frac{(-0.6)(-0.6+1)}{2} 2=324-16.8-0.24=306.96
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.29

Use Gauss forward formula to find $y$ at $x=30$ given the following table of values:

| x | 21 | 25 | 29 | 33 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 18.4708 | 17.8144 | 17.1070 | 16.3432 | 15.5154 |

## Solution:

We construct the following difference Table E5.29:
Table E5.29

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-2 \mathrm{~h}=21$ | 18.4708 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.6564 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-\mathrm{h}=25$ | 17.8144 |  | -0.0510 |  |  |
|  |  | -0.7074 |  | -0.0054 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}=29$ | 17.1070 |  | -0.0564 |  | -0.002 |
|  |  | -0.7638 |  | -0.0076 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h}+33$ | 16.3432 |  | -0.0640 |  |  |
|  |  | -0.8278 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}+2 \mathrm{~h}=37$ | 15.5154 |  |  |  |  |

Here

$$
h=4, u=\frac{30-29}{4}=\frac{1}{4}=0.25
$$

$$
u=0.25 \text { lies between } 0 \text { and } 1 .
$$

Hence, the Gauss's forward formula is suitable. Substituting in the Gauss's interpolation formula

$$
y=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{0.25}= & f(0.25)=17.1070+(0.25)(-0.7638)+ \\
& \frac{(0.25)(-0.75)}{2} \times(-0.0564)+\frac{(1.25)(0.25)(-0.75)}{6} \\
& \times(-0.0076)+\frac{(1.25)(0.25)(-0.75)(-1.75)}{24}(-0.0022)=16.9216
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.30

From the following table estimate the number of students who obtained marks in computer programming between 75 and 80 .

| Marks | $35-45$ | $45-55$ | $55-65$ | $65-75$ | $75-85$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of students | 20 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 20 |

## Solution:

The cumulative frequency table is shown in Table E5.30.
Table E5.30

| Marks less than <br> $(\mathrm{x})$ | No. of students <br> $(\mathrm{y})$ | $\nabla \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\nabla^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 60 | 40 |  |  |  |
| 65 | 120 | 60 | 20 |  |  |
| 75 | 180 | 60 | 0 | -20 |  |
| 85 | 200 | 20 | -40 | -40 | -20 |

To find the number of students with marks less than 80
Let $\quad x_{n}=85, x=80, h=10, p=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}=-0.5$
Then using Newton's backward interpolation formula we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & y_{n}+p \nabla y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)}{2!} \nabla^{2} y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)}{3!} \nabla^{3} y_{n}+\frac{v(v+1)(v+2)(v+3)}{4!} \nabla^{4} y_{n} \\
= & 200+(-0.5)(20)+\frac{-0.5(-0.5+1)}{2}(-40)+\frac{-0.5(-0.5+1)(-0.5+2)}{6}(-40) \\
& +\frac{-0.5(-0.5+1)(-0.5+2)(-0.5+3)}{24}(-20)=198.2813
\end{aligned}
$$

So number of students getting marks in computer programming between 75 and 80

$$
=198-180=18 .
$$

### 5.3.5 Error in the Interpolation Formula

Let $\phi(x)$ denote the interpolating polynomial. Also, let the function $f(x)$ be continuous and possess continuous derivatives within the interval $\left(x_{0}, x_{n}\right)$. Now, defining the auxiliary function $F(t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=f(t)-\phi(t)-\{f(x)-\phi(x)\} \frac{\left(t-x_{0}\right)\left(t-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(t-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression $\left(t-x_{0}\right)\left(t-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(t-x_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial of degree $(n+1)$ in $t$ and the coefficient of $t=1$. Hence, the $(n+1)^{\text {th }}$ derivative $f$ polynomial is $(n+1)$ !. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{n+1}(\xi)=f^{n+1}(\xi)-\{f(x)-\phi(x)\} \frac{(n+1)!}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)}=0 \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $\quad f(x)-\phi(x)=\frac{f^{n+1}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)$
Let $R(x)$ denote the error in the formula. Then

Hence

$$
R(x)=f(x)-\phi(x)
$$

$$
R(x)=\frac{f^{n+1}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)
$$

Since $x-x_{0}=u h$ or $x-x_{1}=(u-1) h, \ldots\left(x-x_{h}\right)=(u-n) h$ where $h$ is the interval of differencing, we have

Error

$$
R(x)=\frac{h^{n+1} f^{n+1}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} u(u-1)(u-2) \cdots(u-n)
$$

Now, employing the relation

$$
D=\frac{1}{h} \Delta
$$

we have

$$
D^{n+1}=\frac{1}{h^{n+1}} \Delta^{n+1}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n+1}(\xi)=\frac{\Delta^{n+1} f\left(x_{0}\right)}{n+1} \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error in the forward interpolation formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\frac{\Delta^{n+1} y_{0}}{(n+1)!} u(u-1)(u-2) \cdots(u-n) \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar manner, by taking the auxiliary function $F(t)$ in the form

$$
F(t)=f(t)-\phi(t)-\{f(x)-\phi(x)\} \frac{\left(t-x_{n}\right)\left(t-x_{n-1}\right) \cdots\left(t-x_{0}\right)}{\left(x-x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n-1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{0}\right)}
$$

and proceeding as above, we obtain the error in the Newton's backward interpolation formula as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\frac{\nabla^{n+1} y_{n}}{(n+1)!} u(u+1) \cdots(u+n) \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
u=\frac{x-x_{n}}{h}
$$

## Example E5.31

Using Newton's forward interpolation formula find the value of $\cos 52^{\circ}$ from the following data and estimate the error.

| $x$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $50^{\circ}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=\cos x$ | 0.7071 | 0.6428 | 0.5736 | 0.5 |

## Solution:

The difference table is given below:

| x | $\mathrm{y}=\cos \mathrm{x}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $45^{\circ}$ | 0.7071 |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.0643 |  |  |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 0.6428 |  | -0.0049 |  |
|  |  | -0.0692 |  | 0.0005 |
| $55^{\circ}$ | 0.5736 |  | -0.0044 |  |
|  |  | -0.0736 |  |  |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 0.5 |  |  |  |

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=45^{\circ}, x_{1}=52^{\circ}, y_{0}=0.7071, \Delta y_{0}=-0.0643, \Delta^{2} y_{0}=-0.0049 \text { and } \Delta^{3} y_{0}=0.0005 . \\
& u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{52^{\circ}-45^{\circ}}{5^{\circ}}=1.4
\end{aligned}
$$

From Newton's forward interpolation formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
y & =u_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots \\
\text { Hence } \quad y & =f(52)=0.7071+1.4(-0.0643)+\frac{1.4(1.4-1)}{2}(-0.0049)+\frac{(1.4)(1.4-1)(1.4-2)}{6}(0.0005) \\
& =0.615680 \\
\text { Error } & =\frac{u(u-1)(u-2) \cdots(u-n)}{n+1} \Delta^{n+1} y_{0} \text { where } n=2 . \\
& =\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}=\frac{1.4(1.4-1)(1.4-2)}{6}(0.0005)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Error $=-0.000028$

### 5.4 INTERPOLATION WITH UNEQUAL INTERVALS

The Newton's forward and backward interpolation formulae are applicable only when the values of $n$ are given at equal intervals. In this section, we present Lagrange's formula for unequal intervals.

### 5.4.1 Lagrange's Formula for Unequal Intervals

Let $y=f(x)$ be a real valued continuous function defined in an interval $[a, b]$. Let $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $(n+1)$ distinct points which are not necessarily equally spaced and the corresponding values of the function are $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$. Since $(n+1)$ values of the function are given corresponding to the $(n+1)$ values of the independent variable $x$, we can represent the function $y=f(x)$ is a polynomial in $x$ of degree $n$.
Let the polynomial is represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & a_{0}\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)+a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right) \\
& +a_{2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)+\cdots+a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n-1}\right) \tag{5.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Each term in Eq.(5.44) being a product of $n$ factors in $x$ of degree $n$, putting $x=x_{0}$ in Eq.(5.44) we obtain

$$
f(x)=a_{0}\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{0}-x_{n}\right)
$$

or

$$
a_{0}=\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{0}-x_{n}\right)}
$$

Putting $x=x_{2}$ in Eq.(5.44) we obtain
or

$$
f\left(x_{1}\right)=a_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-x_{n}\right)
$$

$$
a_{1}=\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-x_{n}\right)}
$$

Similarly putting $\quad x=x_{2}, x=x_{3}, x=x_{n}$ in Eq.(5.44) we obtain

$$
a_{2}=\frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{2}-x_{n}\right)}
$$

and

$$
a_{n}=\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)}
$$

Substituting the values of $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ in Eq.(5.44) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y=f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{0}-x_{n}\right)} f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-x_{n}\right)} f\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)} f\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{5.45}
\end{align*}
$$

The formula given by Eq.(5.45) is known as the Lagrange's interpolation formula.

## Example E5.32

Apply Lagrange's interpolation formula to find a polynomial which passes through the points $(0,-20)$, $(1,-12),(3,-20)$ and $(4,-24)$.

## Solution:

We have $x_{0}=0, x_{1}=1, x_{2}=3, x_{3}=4, y_{0}=f\left(x_{0}\right)=-20, y_{1}=f\left(x_{1}\right)=-12, y_{2}=f\left(x_{2}\right)=-20$ and $y_{3}=f\left(x_{3}\right)=-24$.
The Lagrange's interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{3}\right)} f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)} f\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)} f\left(x_{2}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)} f\left(x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \frac{(x-1)(x-3)(x-4)}{(0-1)(0-3)(0-4)}(-20)+\frac{(x-0)(x-3)(x-4)}{(1-0)(1-3)(1-4)}(-12) \\
& +\frac{(x-0)(x-1)(x-4)}{(3-0)(3-1)(3-4)}(-20)+\frac{(x-0)(x-1)(x-3)}{(4-0)(4-1)(4-3)}(-24)
\end{aligned}
$$

or $\quad f(x)=x^{3}-8 x^{2}+15 x+20$ is the required polynomial.

## Example E5.33

Using Lagrange's interpolation formula find a polynomial which passes the points $(0,-12),(1,0),(3,6)$, $(4,12)$.

## Solution:

We have $x_{0}=0, x_{1}=1, x_{2}=3, x_{3}=4, y_{0}=f\left(x_{0}\right)=-12, y_{1}=f\left(x_{1}\right)=0, y_{2}=f\left(x_{2}\right)=6, y_{3}=f\left(x_{3}\right)=12$.
Using Lagrange's interpolation formula we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{3}\right)} f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)} f\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)} f\left(x_{2}\right)+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)} f\left(x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the values, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & -\frac{(x-1)(x-3)(x-4)}{12} \times 12-\frac{(x-0)(x-3)(x-4)}{6} \times 0+\frac{(x-0)(x-1)(x-4)}{-6} \times 6 \\
& +\frac{(x-0)(x-1)(x-3)}{12} \times 12=-(x-1)(x-3)(x-4)+-(x-0)(x-1)(x-4)+(x-0)(x-1)(x-3)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.34

Using Lagrange's interpolation formula, find the value of $y$ corresponding to $x=10$ from the following data.

| $x$ | 5 | 6 | 9 | 11 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 380 | -2 | 196 | 508 |

## Solution:

The Lagrange’s interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{align*}
y=f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{3}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)} y_{1} \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)} y_{2}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)} y_{3} \tag{E.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have $x_{0}=5, x_{1}=6, x_{2}=9, x_{3}=11, y_{0}=380, y_{1}=-2, y_{2}=196$ and $y_{3}=508$. Substituting these values in Eq.(E.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(10)= & \frac{(10-6)(10-9)(10-11)}{(5-6)(5-9)(5-11)} \times(380)+\frac{(10-5)(10-9)(10-11)}{(6-5)(6-9)(6-11)} \times(-2) \\
& +\frac{(10-5)(10-6)(10-11)}{(9-5)(9-6)(9-11)} \times(196)+\frac{(10-5)(10-6)(10-9)}{(11-5)(11-6)(11-9)} \times(508)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
f(10)=330 .
$$

### 5.4.2 Hermite's Interpolation Formula

Hermite's interpolation formula provides an expression for a polynomial passing through given points with given slopes. The Hermite interpolation accounts for the derivatives of a given function. Let $x_{i}, f_{i}, f_{i}^{\prime}$, (for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ ) be given.

The polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $(2 n+1)$ for which $f\left(x_{i}\right)=f_{i}$ and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=f_{i}^{\prime}$ is given by:

$$
f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} h_{j}(x) f_{i}+\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{h}_{j}(x) f_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{j}(x)=1-\frac{q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)}{q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)}\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left[L_{j}(x)\right]^{2} \\
& \bar{h}_{j}(x)=\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left[L_{j}(x)\right]^{2} \\
& q_{n}(x)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right) \\
& L_{j}(x)=\frac{q_{n}(x)}{\left(x-x_{j}\right) q_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is used to write the interpolation formulae in finite element analysis. Famous cubic polynomials are derived from two points with their slopes. It is used to represent bending motion of a beam. For example, in the case of a beam finite element, suppose we need to obtain cubic polynomials that satisfy the following cases:
(1) Consider: $y=a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+d$ in [0, 1].
(2) Apply conditions

$$
@ x=0 \quad @ x=1
$$

Case 1: $y=1, y^{\prime}=0 \quad y=y^{\prime}=0$
Case 2: $y=0, y^{\prime}=1 \quad y=y^{\prime}=0$
Case 3: $y=0, y^{\prime}=0 \quad y=1, y^{\prime}=0$
Case 4: $y=0, y^{\prime}=0 \quad y=0, y^{\prime}=1$
(3) Solve each case for $a, b, c, d$.

Then we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(x)=1+0 x-1 x^{2}+2 x^{2}(x-1)=2 x^{3}-3 x^{2}+1 \\
& y(x)=0+1 x-1 x^{2}+1 x^{2}(x-1)=x^{3}-2 x^{2}+x \\
& y(x)=0+0 x+1 x^{2}-2 x^{2}(x-1)=-2 x^{3}+3 x^{2} \\
& y(x)=0+0 x+0 x^{2}+1 x^{2}(x-1)=x^{3}-x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

These polynomials are plotted in Figure 5.1.
For cases involved with higher order derivatives, the principle is same. When $y^{(n)}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is used, all lower derivatives and $y\left(x_{i}\right)$ itself must be included in the constraints. For example, you can not have $y^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ as a constraint but not $y\left(x_{i}\right)$, nor $y^{(2)}\left(x_{i}\right)$ but not $y^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $y\left(x_{i}\right)$.


Fig. 5.1: Hermite interpolation

## Example E5.35

Construct displacements in a beam element from Hermite polynomials.

## Solution:

Consider the beam of length $L$. The Hermite polynomials are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}(x)=2\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{3}-3\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{2}+1 \\
& N_{2}(x)=\frac{x^{3}}{L^{2}}-2 \frac{x^{2}}{L}+x \\
& N_{3}(x)=-2\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{3}+3\left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{2} \\
& N_{4}(x)=\frac{x^{3}}{L^{2}}-\frac{x^{2}}{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

These polynomial interpolation functions may be thought of as the fundamental modes of deflection. The deflection $w(x)$ of any statically loaded beam can be written in terms of these modes as

$$
w(x)=N_{1} W_{1}+N_{2} \theta_{1}+N_{3} W_{2}+N_{4} \theta_{2}
$$

where the subscripts associate quantities with positions (or nodes) 1 and 2 on the beam and $W_{i}, \theta_{i}, i=1,2$, are the deflection and slope, respectively, at each node.

### 5.4.3 Inverse Interpolation

In interpolation, we estimate the missing value of the function $y=f(x)$ corresponding to a value $x$ intermediate between two given values. In inverse interpolation, we interpolate the argument $x$ corresponding to an intermediate value $y$ of the entry.

### 5.4.4 Lagrange's Formula for Inverse Interpolation

In Lagrange interpolation formula $y$ is expressed as a function of $x$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
y=f(x)= & \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{0}-x_{n}\right)} y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{1}-x_{n}\right)} y_{1}+\cdots \\
& +\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-x_{n-1}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)} y_{n} \tag{5.46}
\end{align*}
$$

By interchanging $x$ and $y$ in Eq.(5.46) we can express $x$ as a function of $y$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
x= & \frac{\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right) \cdots\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{2}\right) \cdots\left(y_{0}-y_{n}\right)} x_{0}+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right) \cdots\left(y-y_{n}\right)}{\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) \cdots\left(y_{1}-y_{n}\right)} x_{1}+\cdots \\
& +\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y-y_{n-1}\right)}{\left(y_{n}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{n}-y_{1}\right) \cdots\left(y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right)} x_{n} \tag{5.47}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.47) can be used for inverse interpolation.

## Example E5.36

The following table gives the values of $y$ corresponding to certain values of $x$. Find the value of $x$ when $y=167.59789$ by applying Lagrange’s inverse interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 12 | 117 | 317 |

## Solution:

Here $\quad x_{0}=1, x_{1}=2, x_{2}=5, x_{3}=7, y_{0}=1, y_{1}=12, y_{2}=117, y_{3}=317$ and $y=167.59789$.
The Lagrange's inverse interpolation formula is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x= & \frac{\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right)}{\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{0}-y_{3}\right)} x_{0}+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right)}{\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}-y_{3}\right)} x_{1} \\
& +\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{3}\right)}{\left(y_{2}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{3}\right)} x_{2}+\frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)\left(y-y_{1}\right)\left(y-y_{2}\right)}{\left(y_{3}-y_{0}\right)\left(y_{3}-y_{1}\right)\left(y_{3}-y_{2}\right)} x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
x=\frac{(167.59789-12)(167.59789-117)(167.59789-317)}{(1-12)(1-117)(1-317)}(1)
$$

$$
+\frac{(167.59789-1)(167.59789-117)(167.59789-317)}{(12-1)(12-117)(12-317)}(12)
$$

$$
+\frac{(167.59789-1)(167.59789-12)(167.59789-317)}{(117-1)(117-117)(117-317)}(117)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{(167.59789-1)(167.59789-12)(167.59789-117)}{(317-1)(317-12)(317-117)} \tag{317}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
x=5.65238 .
$$

### 5.5 CENTRAL DIFFERENCE INTERPOLATION FORMULAE

In this section, we derive some important interpolation formulae by means of central differences of a function, which are quite frequently employed in engineering and scientific computations.

In particular, we develop central difference formulae which are best suited for interpolation near the middle of a tabulated data set. The following central difference formulae are presented:

1. Gauss's forward interpolation formula
2. Gauss's backward interpolation formula
3. Bessel's formula
4. Stirling's formula
5. Laplace-Everett formula

Let the function $y=y_{x}=f(x)$ be given for $(2 n+1)$ equispaced values of argument $x_{0}, x_{0} \pm h, x_{0} \pm 2 h, \ldots, x_{0}$, $x_{h}$. The corresponding values of $y$ be $y_{i}(i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n)$. Also, let $y=y_{0}$ denote the central ordinate corresponding to $x=x_{0}$. We can then form the difference table as shown in Table 5.7. Table 5.8 shows the same Table 5.7 written using the Sheppard's operator $\delta$, in which the relation $=\Delta E^{-1 / 2}$ was used. Tables 5.6 and 5.8 are known as central difference tables.

Table 5.7: Central difference table

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}^{4}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}^{6}$ | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}^{6}$ | $\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-\mathrm{h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}+\mathrm{h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}+2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}+3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.8: Central differences written in terms of Sheppard's operator $\delta$

| x | y | $\delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\delta^{3} \mathrm{y}^{4}$ | $\delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ | $\delta^{5} \mathrm{y}$ | $\delta^{6} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{-5 / 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{-3 / 2}$ | $\delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-3 / 2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}-\mathrm{h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{-1 / 2}$ | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-1 / 2}$ | $\delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-1 / 2}$ |  |
|  |  | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{1 / 2}$ |  | $\delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{0} \mathrm{y}_{1 / 2}$ |  | $\delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{1 / 2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}+\mathrm{h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}+2 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{3 / 2}$ | $\delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\delta_{3 / 2}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}+3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | $\delta \mathrm{y}_{5 / 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.5.1 Gauss's Forward Interpolation Formula

The Newton's forward interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=f(x)=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots, \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}$ and $x=x_{0}$ is the origin.

In deriving the Gauss's forward interpolation formula, we assume the differences lie on the bottom solid lines in Table 5.9 and they are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=y_{0}+G_{1} \Delta y_{0}+G_{2} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+G_{3} \Delta^{3} y_{-1}+G_{4} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots \tag{5.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 5.9: Gauss's forward and backward interpolation formulae

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{-4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta y_{-4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| X-3 | y-3 |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-4}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta y_{-3}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} y_{-4}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{-2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-4}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta y_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-4}$ |  |
| X-1 | $\mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} y_{-3}$ |  | $\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{-4}$ |
|  |  | $\Delta y_{-1}$, |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\lambda^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  | $\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{-3}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  | $\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{-2}$ |
|  |  | $\Delta y_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{1}$ |  | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{0}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta y_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ |  | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Delta y_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

where $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are coefficients to be determined. From the Newton's forward interpolation formula [Eq.(5.48)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=E^{p} y_{0}=(1+\Delta)^{p} y_{0}=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{2}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\ldots \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\Delta^{2} y_{-1}=\Delta^{2} E^{-1} y_{0}=\Delta^{2}(1+\Delta)^{-1} y_{0}=\Delta^{2}\left(1-\Delta+\Delta^{2}-\Delta^{3}+\cdots\right) y_{0}=\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{3} y_{0}+\Delta^{4} y_{0}-\Delta^{5} y_{0}+\cdots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{3} y_{-1} & =\Delta^{3} y_{0}-\Delta^{4} y_{0}+\Delta^{5} y_{0}-\Delta^{6} y_{0}+\cdots \\
\Delta^{4} y_{-2} & =\Delta^{4} E^{-2} y_{0}=\Delta^{4}(1-\Delta)^{-2} y_{0}=\Delta^{4}\left(1-2 \Delta+3 \Delta^{2}-4 \Delta^{3}+\cdots\right) y_{0} \\
& =\Delta^{4} y_{0}-2 \Delta^{5} y_{0}+3 \Delta^{6} y_{0}-4 \Delta^{7} y_{0}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on.
Hence Eq. (5.49) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{p}= & y_{0}+G_{1} \Delta y_{0}+G_{2}\left(\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{3} y_{0}+\Delta^{4} y_{0}-\Delta^{5} y_{0}+\cdots\right)+G_{3}\left(\Delta^{3} y_{0}-\Delta^{4} y_{0}+\Delta^{5} y_{0}-\Delta^{6} y_{0}+\cdots\right) \\
& +G_{4}\left(\Delta^{4} y_{0}-2 \Delta^{5} y_{0}+3 \Delta^{6} y_{0}-4 \Delta^{7} y_{0}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing Eqs. (5.50) and (5.51), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1} & =u \\
G_{2} & =\frac{u(u-1)}{2!}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{3}=\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \\
& G_{4}=\frac{(u+1)(u)(u-1)(u-2)}{4!}, \text { etc. } \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the Gauss's forward interpolation formula can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\cdots \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula given by Eq.(5.53) can be used to interpolate the values of $y$ for $u(0<u<1)$ measured forwardly from the origin.
Equation (5.53) can also be written as
or

$$
\begin{align*}
y=f(x)= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!}\left(\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\Delta^{3} y_{-1}\right)+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!}\left(\Delta^{3} y_{-1}+\Delta^{4} y_{-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)}{4!}\left(\Delta^{4} y_{-1}+\Delta^{5} y_{-1}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.54}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{4}=f(x)= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{-1} \\
& +\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots \tag{5.55}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.55) is known as the Gauss's forward interpolation formula. The Gauss's forward interpolation formula employs odd differences above the central line through $y_{0}$ and even differences on the central line. Gauss's forward formula is used to interpolate the values of the function for the value of $u$ such that $0<u<1$.

### 5.5.2 Gauss's Backward Interpolation Formula

The Gauss's backward interpolation formula uses the differences which lie on the upper dashed line in Table 5.8 and can be assumed of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=y_{0}+G_{1}^{\prime} \Delta y_{-1}+G_{2}^{\prime} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+G_{3}^{\prime} \Delta^{3} y_{-2}+G_{4}^{\prime} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{1}^{\prime}, G_{2}^{\prime}, G_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots ., G_{n}^{\prime}$ are coefficients to be determined.
Now following the procedure described in Sec.5.5.1 and comparing with the Newton's backward interpolation formula, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{1}^{\prime}=u \\
& G_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{u(u+1)}{2!} \\
& G_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{(u+2)(u+1)(u-1) u}{3!}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
G_{4}^{\prime}=\frac{(u+1)(u)(u-1)(u-2)}{4!}, \text { etc. }
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=f(x)=y_{0}+\frac{u}{1!}\left(\Delta y_{-1}+\Delta^{2} y_{-1}\right)+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!}\left(\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\Delta^{3} y_{-1}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=y_{0}+\frac{u}{1!} \Delta y_{-1}+\frac{u(u+1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{-2}+\frac{(u+2)(u+1) u(u-1)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\ldots \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.58) is called the Gauss's backward interpolation formula. Gauss's backward interpolation formula employs odd differences below the central line through $y_{0}$ and even differences on the central line as shown in Table 5.8. Gauss's backward interpolation formula is used to interpolate line value of the function for a negative value of $u$ which lies between -1 and $0(-1<u<0)$.

## Example E5.37

Use Gauss's forward interpolation formula to find $y$ for $x=20$ given that

| x | 11 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 27 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 19.5673 | 18.8243 | 18.2173 | 17.1236 | 16.6162 |

## Solution:

The difference table constructed is shown below:

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 19.5673 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | -0.743 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 18.8243 |  | 0.1360 |  |  |
|  |  | -0.607 |  | -0.6227 |  |
| 19 | 18.2173 |  | -0.4867 |  | 1.69570 |
|  |  | -1.0937 |  | 1.0730 |  |
| 23 | 17.1236 |  | 0.5863 |  |  |
|  |  | -0.5074 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 16.6162 |  |  |  |  |

Here

$$
h=4, u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{20-19}{4}=0.25
$$

The Gauss's forward interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1)(u)(u-1)(u-2)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2} \\
= & 18.21730+0.25(-1.09370)+\frac{0.25(0.25-1)}{2}(-0.48670)+\frac{(0.25+1)(0.25)(0.25-1)}{6}(1.07300) \\
& +\frac{(0.25+1)(0.25)(0.25-1)(0.25-2)}{24}(1.69570) \\
y_{20}= & 17.97657
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.38

Use Gauss's backward interpolation formula to find the sales for the year 1986 from the following data:

| Year | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales (in thousands) | 13 | 17 | 22 | 28 | 41 | 53 |

## Solution:

Here

$$
h=10, x=1986 \text { and } x_{0}=1991 .
$$

$$
u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{1986-1991}{10}=-0.5
$$

| x | y | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -4 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| -3 | 17 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5 |  | 0 |  |  |
| -2 | 22 |  | 1 |  | 6 |  |
|  |  | 6 |  | 6 |  | -20 |
| -1 | 28 |  | 7 |  | -14 |  |
|  |  | 13 |  | -8 |  |  |
| 0 | 41 |  | -1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |

Gauss's backward interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{-1}+\frac{u(u+1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1)(u)(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{-2}+\frac{(u-1)(u)(u+1)(u+2)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\ldots \\
\text { or } \quad y= & 41+(-0.5)(13)+\frac{(-0.5)(-0.5+1)}{2}(-1.0)+\frac{(-0.5+1)(-0.5)(-0.5-1)}{6}(-8) \\
& \frac{(-0.5-1)(-0.5)(-0.5+1)(-0.5+2)}{24}(-14)=33.79688
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.5.3 Bessel's Formula

Bessel's formula uses the differences as shown in Table 5.10 in which brackets mean that the average has to be taken.

Table 5.10

| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{-1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{y}_{0} \\ \mathrm{x}_{1} \\ \mathrm{y}_{1}\end{array}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{0}\binom{\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{-1}}{\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{0}}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{-1}\binom{\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-2}}{\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{-1}}$ | $\Delta^{5} \mathrm{y}_{-2}\binom{\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{-3}}{\Delta^{6} \mathrm{y}_{-2}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |

Hence, the Bessel's formula assumes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{p} & =\frac{y_{0}+y_{1}}{2}+A_{1} \Delta y_{0}+A_{2} \Delta y_{0}\left[\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\Delta^{2} y_{0}}{2}\right]+A_{3} \Delta^{3} y_{-1}+A_{4}\left[\frac{\Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\Delta^{4} y_{-1}}{2}\right]+\cdots \\
& =y_{0}+\left(A_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta y_{0}+A_{2}\left[\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\Delta^{2} y_{0}}{2}\right]+A_{3} \Delta^{3} y_{-1}+A_{4}\left[\frac{\Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\Delta^{4} y_{-1}}{2}\right]+\cdots \tag{5.59}
\end{align*}
$$

The Newton's forward difference interpolation formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\cdots \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, comparing Eqs.(5.59) and (5.60) and after simplifying the differences, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\right) & =u \\
A_{2} & =\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \\
A_{3} & =\frac{u(u-1)\left(u-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{3!} \\
A_{4} & =\frac{(u+1)(u)(u-1)(u-2)}{4!}, \text { etc. } \tag{5.61}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the Bessel's formula (5.59) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{p}= & y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!}\left[\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\Delta^{2} y_{0}}{2}\right]+\frac{u(u-1)\left(u-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{-1} \\
& +\frac{(u+1)(u)(u-1)(u-2)}{4!}\left[\frac{\Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\Delta^{4} y_{-1}}{2}\right]+\cdots \tag{5.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the central differences notation, Eq.(5.62) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=y_{0}+u \delta y_{1 / 2}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \mu \delta^{2} y_{1 / 2}+\frac{\left(u-\frac{1}{2}\right) u(u-1)}{3!} \delta^{3} y_{1 / 2}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{4!} \mu \delta^{4} y_{1 / 2}+\ldots \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu \delta^{2} y_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\Delta^{2} y_{0}\right] \\
& \mu \delta^{4} y_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\Delta^{4} y_{-1}\right], \text { etc. } \tag{5.64}
\end{align*}
$$

## Example E5.39

Apply Bessel's interpolation formula to obtain $y_{25}$, given that $y_{20}=2860, y_{24}=3167, y_{28}=3555$ and $y_{32}=4112$.

## Solution:

The difference table is shown below:

|  | x | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{-1}$ | 20 | 2860 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 307 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | 24 | 3167 |  | 81 |  |
|  |  |  | 388 |  | 88 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 28 | 3555 |  | 169 |  |
|  |  |  | 557 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 32 | 4112 |  |  |  |

Here $x_{0}=24, h=4$ and $u=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{25-24}{4}=0.25$
The Bessel's formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =y_{0}+u \Delta y_{0}+\frac{u(u-1)}{2}\left[\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\Delta^{2} y_{0}}{2}\right]+\frac{u(u-1)(u-0.5)}{6} \Delta^{3} y_{-1} \\
& =3167+0.25(388)+\frac{0.25(0.25-1)}{2}\left[\frac{81+169}{2}\right]+\frac{0.25(0.25-1)(0.25-0.5)}{6}(88)=3252.96875
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.5.4 Stirling's Formula

Consider the mean of the Gauss's forward and backward interpolation formula given by Eqs.(5.54) and (5.57), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{p}= & y_{0}+u\left[\frac{\Delta y_{-1}+\Delta y_{0}}{2}\right]+\frac{u^{2}}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1\right)}{3!}\left[\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-1}+\Delta^{3} y_{-2}}{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{u^{2}\left(u^{2}-1\right)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1\right)\left(u^{2}-4\right)}{5!}\left[\Delta^{5} y_{-2}+\Delta^{5} y_{-3}\right] \tag{5.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.65) is known as the Stirling's formula. In the central differences notation, Stirling's formula given by Eq. (5.65) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=y_{0}+u \mu \delta y_{0}+\frac{u^{2}}{2!} \delta^{2} y_{0}\left[\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1\right)}{3!}\right] \mu \delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{u^{2}\left(u^{2}-1^{2}\right)}{4!} \delta^{4} y_{0}+\cdots \tag{5.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu \delta y_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta y_{0}+\Delta y_{-1}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\delta y_{1 / 2}+\delta y_{-1 / 2}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \delta^{3} y_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta^{3} y_{-1}+\Delta^{3} y_{-2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\delta^{3} y_{1 / 2}+\delta^{3} y_{-1 / 2}\right] \tag{5.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stirling formula gives the most accurate result for $-0.25 \leq u \leq 0.25$. Hence, $x_{0}$ should be selected such that $u$ satisfies this inequality.

## Example E5.40

Use Stirling's interpolation formula to find $y_{28}$, given that $y_{20}=48234, y_{25}=47354, y_{30}=46267, y_{35}=44978$ and $y_{40}=43389$.

## Solution:

Here $x=30$ as origin and $h=5$. Therefore $u=\frac{28-30}{5}=-0.4$. The difference table is shown below:

| x | $\mathrm{u}=\frac{\mathrm{x}-30}{5}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | -2 | 48234 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | -880 |  |  |  |
| 25 | -1 | 47354 |  | -207 |  |  |
|  |  |  | -1087 |  | 5 |  |
| 30 | 0 | 46267 |  | -202 |  | -103 |
|  |  |  | -1289 |  | -98 |  |
| 35 | 1 | 44978 |  | -300 |  |  |
| 40 |  |  | -1589 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 43389 |  |  |  |  |  |

The Stirling's interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{u}= & y_{0}+u\left[\frac{\Delta^{2} y_{0}+\Delta^{2} y_{-1}}{2}\right]+\frac{u^{2} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}}{2}+\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1\right)}{6}\left[\frac{\Delta^{3} y_{-1}+\Delta^{3} y_{-2}}{2}\right]+\frac{u^{2}\left(u^{2}-1\right)}{24} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots \\
= & 46267+(-0.4)\left[\frac{-1087-1289}{2}\right]+\frac{(-0.4)^{2}}{2}(202)+\frac{(-0.4)\left(-0.4^{2}-1\right)}{6}\left[\frac{5-98}{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{(-0.4)^{2}\left(-0.4^{2}-1\right)}{24}(-103)=46724.0128
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.5.5 Laplace-Everett's Formula

Eliminating odd differences in Gauss's forward formula [Eq.(5.54)] by using the relation

$$
\Delta y_{0}=y_{1}-y_{0}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^{3} y_{-1}=\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{2} y_{-1} \\
& \Delta^{5} y_{-2}=\Delta^{4} y_{-1}-\Delta^{4} y_{-2} \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & f(x) y_{0}+\frac{u}{1!}\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)+\frac{u(u-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!}\left(\Delta^{2} y_{0}-\Delta^{2} y_{-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{4!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\frac{(u+2)(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{5!}\left(\Delta^{4} y_{-1}-\Delta^{4} y_{-2}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & (1-u) y_{0}+u y_{1}+u(u-1)\left[\frac{1}{1 \times 2}-\frac{u+1}{1 \times 2 \times 3}\right] \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{0} \\
& +(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)\left[\frac{1}{1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4}-\frac{u+2}{5}\right] \Delta^{2} y_{-2}+\frac{(u+2)(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{5!} \Delta^{4} y_{-1}+\cdots \\
= & (1-u) y_{0}+\frac{u y_{1}}{1!}-\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{0} \\
& -\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)}{3!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\frac{(u+2)(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{5!} \Delta^{4} y_{-1}+\cdots \tag{5.68}
\end{align*}
$$

Writing $v=1-u$, i.e., $u=1-v$ and changing the terms (5.68) with a negative sign, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y= & v y_{0}+\frac{u}{1!} y_{1}+\frac{(v+1) v(v-1)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{(u+1) u(u-1)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{(v+2)(v+1) v(v-1)(v-2)}{5!} \Delta^{2} y_{-2} \\
& +\frac{(u+2)(u+1) u(u-1)(u-2)}{5!} \Delta^{4} y_{-1}+\ldots \tag{5.69}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.69) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{4}= & f(x)=v y_{0}+\frac{v\left(v^{2}-1^{2}\right)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{v\left(v^{2}-1^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}-2^{2}\right)}{5!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}+\cdots+u y_{1} \\
& +\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1^{2}\right)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}-2^{2}\right)}{5!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\cdots \tag{5.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (5.70) is known as Laplace-Everett's formula. Equation (5.71) uses only even differences of the function.

## Example E5.41

Use Everett's interpolation formula to find the value of $y$ when $x=1.60$ from the following table.

| $x$ | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.0 | 2.25 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1.0543 | 1.1281 | 1.2247 | 1.3219 | 1.4243 | 1.4987 |

## Solution:

The difference table is shown below:

| i | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta^{2} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta^{3} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\Delta^{4} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2 | 1.00 | 1.0543 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.0738 |  |  |  |
| -1 | 1.25 | 1.1281 |  | 0.0228 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.0966 |  | -0.0222 |  |
| 0 | 1.50 | 1.2247 |  | 0.006 |  | 0.0268 |
|  |  |  | 0.0972 |  | 0.0046 |  |
| 1 | 1.75 | 1.3219 |  | 0.00520 |  | -0.0378 |
|  |  |  | 0.1024 |  | -0.0332 |  |
| 2 | 2.0 | 1.4243 |  | -0.0280 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.0744 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2.25 | 1.4987 |  |  |  |  |

Here

$$
x_{0}=1.50 \text { and } h=0.25
$$

Therefore $\quad v=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h}=\frac{1.60-1.50}{0.25}=0.4$
and $\quad u=1-v=1-0.4=0.6$
The Everett's interpolation formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & {\left[v y_{1}+\frac{v\left(v^{2}-1^{2}\right)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{v\left(v^{2}-1^{2}\right)\left(v^{2}-2^{2}\right)}{5!} \Delta^{4} y_{-1}\right] } \\
& +\left[u y_{0}+\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1^{2}\right)}{3!} \Delta^{2} y_{-1}+\frac{u\left(u^{2}-1^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}-2^{2}\right)}{5!} \Delta^{4} y_{-2}\right] \\
= & {\left[0.4(1.3219)+\frac{0.4(0.16-1)}{6}(0.00520)+\frac{0.4(0.16-1)(0.16-4)}{120}(-0.03780)\right] } \\
& +\left[0.6(1.2247)+\frac{0.6(0.36-1)}{6}(0.0006)+\frac{0.6(0.36-1)(0.36-4)}{120}(0.02680)\right]=1.26316
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.5.6 Selection of an Interpolation Formula

In general, the selection of an interpolation formula depends to a great extent on the position of the interpolated value in the given data.
(a) Use Newton's forward interpolation formula to find a tabulated value near the beginning of the table.
(b) Use Newton's backward interpolation formula to find a value near the end of the table.
(c) Use either Stirling or Bessel's or Laplace-Everett's formula to find an interpolated value near the centre of the table.
The coefficients in the central difference formulae are smaller and converge faster than those in Newton's forward or Newton's backward interpolation formulae. Also, after a few terms, the coefficients in the Stirling's formula decrease more rapidly than those of the Bessel's formula. Similarly, the coefficients of Bessel's formula decrease more rapidly than those of Newton's forward or backward formula. Hence, wherever possible, central difference formula are preferred than the Newton's formulae. However, as described in (a), (b) and (c) above, the right selection of an interpolation formula greatly depends on the position of the interpolated value in the given tabular data set.

### 5.6 DIVIDED DIFFERENCES

Let the function $y=f(x)$ be given at the point $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (which need not be equally spaced) $f\left(x_{0}\right), f\left(x_{1}\right)$, $f\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right)$, denote the $(n+1)$ values the function at the points $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Then the first divided differences of $f(x)$ for the arguments $x_{0}, x_{1}$ is defined as

$$
\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{1}}
$$

It is denoted by $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ or by $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$
Likewise $\quad f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{2}}$

$$
f\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{3}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{3}}, \text { etc. }
$$

The second divided difference for the arguments $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$ is defined as

$$
f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{2}}
$$

similarly the third differences for the arguments $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ is defined as

$$
f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{3}}
$$

The first divided differences are called the divided differences of order one, the second divided differences are called the divided differences of order two and so on.
The divided difference table (Table 5.11) is given below:
Table 5.11

| Argument, x | Entry | $\forall \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall^{2} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall^{3} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ |  | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ |  |  |  |

## Example E5.42

If $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}$, then find the divided differences $f(a, b)$ and $f(a, b, c)$

## Solution:

Given

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{x},
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad f(a, b)=\frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b}=\frac{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b}}{(a-b)}=\frac{b-a}{a b(a-b)}=-\frac{1}{a b}
$$

and

$$
f(a, b, c)=\frac{f(a, b)-f(b, c)}{a-c}=\frac{\frac{-1}{a b}-\left(\frac{-1}{b c}\right)}{a-c}=\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{-c+a}{a c}\right) \frac{1}{a-c}=\frac{1}{a b c}
$$

Hence $\quad f(a, b, c)=\frac{1}{a b c}$

## Example E5.43

Prepare the divided difference table for the following data
Table E5.43

| $x$ | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0 | 18 | 58 | 190 | 920 |

## Solution:

Table E5.43(a) shows the divided differences.
Table E5.43(a)

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall^{2} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall^{3} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall^{4} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 9 | 10.33333 | -0.33333 | 0.207672 |
| 3 | 18 | 40 | 8.666667 | 1.535714 |  |
| 4 | 58 | 66 | 19.41667 |  |  |
| 6 | 190 | 182.5 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 920 |  |  |  |  |

### 5.6.1 Newton's Divided Difference Interpolation Formula

A function $f(x)$ is written in terms of divided differences as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x)= & f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right),\left(x-x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
& +\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)\left(x-x_{3}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E5.44

Find the form of the function $f(x)$ under suitable assumption from the following data.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $f(x)$ | 2 | 3 | 12 | 147 |

## Solution:

The divided difference table (Table E5.44) is given as under:
Table E5.44

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall \mathrm{f}$ | $\forall^{2} \mathrm{f}$ | $\forall^{3} \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 1 | 3 |  | 4 |  |
|  |  | 9 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 12 |  | 9 |  |
|  |  | 45 |  |  |
| 5 | 147 |  |  |  |

We have $x_{0}=0, f\left(x_{0}\right)=2, f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=1, f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=4, f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=1$.

The Newton's divided difference interpolation formula for this case is:

$$
f(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) .
$$

Substituting all constants we get:

$$
f(x)=2+1(x-0)+4(x-0)(x-1)+1(x-0)(x-1)(x-2)
$$

Hence $f(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}-x+2$.

## Example E5.45

Derive the equation of the interpolating polynomial for the data given in Table 5.45 below:

## Table E5.45

| $\mathrm{x}(\operatorname{deg})$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 3 | 24 |
| 4 | 59 |
| 5 | 118 |

## Solution:

First form the divided difference table as shown in Table E5.45(a):
Table E5.45(a)

| x | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\forall \mathrm{f}$ | $\forall^{2} \mathrm{f}$ | $\forall^{3} \mathrm{f}$ | $\forall^{4} \mathrm{f}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 7 | 17 | 9 | 1 |  |
| 3 | 24 | 35 | 12 |  |  |
| 4 | 59 | 59 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 118 |  |  |  |  |

Using Newton's divided difference formula, the interpolating polynomial is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
& =3-x+3 x(x-1)+x(x-1)(x-2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure E5.45 shows the variation of the function with actual values and those obtained from polynomial.


Fig. E5.45: Newton's polynomial
Main advantage of divided difference table approach is that it has less computational operations. We do not need to write the polynomial and then use the $C^{0}$ condition to calculate the constants. Secondly, it is much easier to incorporate in a computer code. It is important to realise that both the Lagrange and Newton polynomials are $C^{0}$ continuous and each would generate the same result.

### 5.7 CUBIC SPLINE INTERPOLATION

Generally, we use only one polynomial to describe the data over the entire range. Here, we will use different continuous polynomials to describe the function in each interval of known points. This type of approximation is called the piecewise polynomial approximation. Therefore, for $n+1$ set of data, there will be $n$ piecewise polynomials formed. Splines of different degree are available in the literature. However, cubic splines are most widely used.

Cubic spline interpolation method interpolates a function between a given set of data points by means of piecewise smooth polynomials. Here, the curve passes through the given set of data points. The slope and its curvature are continuous at each point. The advantage of cubic spline interpolation method is that these polynomials are of a lower degree and less oscillatory, therefore describing the given data more accurately. Cubic spline interpolation method is very powerful and widely used. It has several applications in numerical differentiation, integration, solution of boundary value problems, plotting two-and threedimensional graph.

With a cubic spline, an expression for the second derivative can be obtained which will describe the behaviour of the data most accurately within each interval.


Fig. 5.2: Cubic spline
The second derivatives of the spline is zero at the end points. Since these end conditions occur naturally in a beam model (in strength of materials), the resulting curve is known as the natural cubic spline. The pins, i.e., the data points, are called the knots of the spline in a beam model.

Figure 5.2 shows a cubic spline that spans $n$ knots. Let us denote $f_{i, i+1}(x)$ be the cubic polynomial that spans the segment between knots $i$ and $i+1$. In Fig. 5.2, we note that the spline is a piecewise cubic curve, assembled together form the $n-1$ cubics $f_{1,2}(x), f_{2,3}(x), \ldots, f_{n-1, n}(x)$, all of which have different coefficients.

Denoting the second derivative of the spline at knot $i$ by $k_{i}$, the continuity of second derivatives requires that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i-1, i}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=f_{i, i+1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=k_{i} \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq.(5.71), $k_{i}$ is unknown, except for

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=k_{n}=0 \tag{5.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that the expression for $f_{i, i+1}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is linear and the starting point for obtaining the coefficients of $f_{i, i+1}(x)$ is $f_{i, i+1}^{\prime \prime}(x)$.

Hence, we can write using Lagrange's two-point interpolation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i, i+1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=k_{i} \ell_{i}(x)+k_{i+1} \ell_{i+1}(x) \tag{5.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\ell_{i}(x)=\frac{x-x_{i+1}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i+1}(x)=\frac{x-x_{i}}{x_{i+1}-x_{i}} \tag{5.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i, i+1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{k_{i}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)-k_{i+1}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}} \tag{5.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating Eq. (5.75) twice with respect to $x$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i, i+1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{k_{i}\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)^{3}-k_{i+1}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{3}}{6\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)}+A\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)-B\left(x-x_{i}\right) \tag{5.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $\quad=\frac{k_{i}\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)^{3}-x_{i+1}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{3}}{6\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)}+C x+D$
where $A$ and $B$ are constants of integration, $C=A-B$ and $D=-A x_{i+1}+B x_{i}$.
Now applying the condition $f_{i, i+1}\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$, Eq. (5.76) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{i}\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)^{3}}{6\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)}+A\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)=y_{i} \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}-\frac{k_{i}}{6}\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right) \tag{5.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, applying the condition $f_{i, i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=y_{i+1}$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{y_{i+1}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}-\frac{k_{i+1}}{6}\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right) \tag{5.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (5.79) and (5.80), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i, i+1}(x)= & \frac{k_{i}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)^{3}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}-\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)\right]-\frac{k_{i+1}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{3}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}-\left(x-x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{y_{i}\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)-y_{i+1}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}} \tag{5.81}
\end{align*}
$$

We note here that the second derivatives $k_{i}$ of the spline at the interior knots are found from the slope continuity conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=f_{i, i+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, n-1 \tag{5.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the conditions given by Eq.(5.82) in Eq. (5.81) and after some mathematical manipulations or simplifications, we obtain the simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}-x_{i}\right)+2 k_{i}\left(x_{i-1}-x_{i+1}\right)+k_{i+1}\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right) \\
& \quad=6\left[\frac{y_{i-1}-y_{i}}{x_{i-1}-x_{i}}-\frac{y_{i}-y_{i+1}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}\right] \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n-1 \tag{5.83}
\end{align*}
$$

If the data points are equally spaced at intervals $h$, then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=x_{i-1}-x_{i}=x_{i}-x_{i+1} \tag{5.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq.(5.83) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i-1}+4 k_{i}+k_{i+1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left[y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right] \quad i=2,3, \ldots ., n-1 \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two boundary conditions normally used. They are

## 1. Natural boundary condition

The second derivatives of the data at the end points $x_{0}$ and $x_{n}$ are arbitrarily assumed to be zero. This condition is known as the free or natural boundary condition. The polynomials resulting from this condition are referred to as natural or free cubic splines. They may not provide very accurate values close to the boundaries, but they are accurate enough in the interior region.

## 2. Clamped boundary condition

When the first derivative of the data are known at the end point $x_{0}$ and $x_{n}$, the corresponding boundary conditions are known. This condition is known as the clamped boundary condition.

## Example E5.46

Given the data points:

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y$ | 13 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 13 |

Find the natural cubic spline interpolation at $x=3.4$.

## Solution:

For equally spaced knots, the equations for the curvatures are written as

$$
k_{i-1}+4 k_{i}+k_{i+1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left(y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right) \quad ; \quad i=2,3,4
$$

Here we have $k_{1}=k_{5}$ and $h=1$.

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
4 k_{2}+k_{3} & =6[13-2(15)+12]=-30 \\
k_{2}+4 k_{3}+k_{4} & =6[15-2(12)+9]=0 \\
k_{3}+4 k_{4} & =6[12-2(9)+13] \tag{E.1}
\end{align*}=42
$$

Solving Eq.(E.1), we obtain

$$
k_{2}=-7.286, \quad k_{3}=-0.857, \quad k_{4}=10.714
$$

The interpolant between knots 2 and 3 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{3,4}(x)= & \frac{k_{3}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{4}\right)^{3}}{x_{3}-x_{4}}-\left(x-x_{4}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)\right]-\frac{k_{4}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{3}\right)^{3}}{x_{3}-x_{4}}-\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{y_{3}\left(x-x_{4}\right)-y_{4}\left(x-x_{3}\right)}{x_{3}-x_{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the natural cubic spline interpolation at $x=3.4$ is

$$
f_{3,4}(3.4)=\frac{-0.857}{6}\left[\frac{(3.4-4)^{3}}{3-4}-(3.4-4)(3-4)\right]-\frac{10.714}{6}\left[\frac{(3.4-3)^{3}}{3-4}-(3.4-3)(3-4)\right]
$$

$$
+\frac{12(3.4-4)-9(3.4-3)}{3-4}=0.054848-0.599984+10.8=10.2552
$$

## Example E5.47

Find the natural spline that passes through the points given below:

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 |

Find the first and second derivatives at $x=1$ noting that the interpolant consists of two cubics, one valid in $0 \leq x \leq 1$, the other in $1 \leq x \leq 2$.

## Solution:

For natural spline, we have $k_{1}=k_{3}=0$. The equation for $k_{1}$ is given by

$$
k_{1}+4 k_{2}+k_{3}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left(y_{1}-2 y_{2}+y_{3}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
0+4 k_{2}+0=\frac{6}{1^{2}}[0-2(2)+1]
$$

or

$$
k_{2}=-4.5
$$

The interpolant in $0 \leq x \leq 1$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1,2}(x) & =-\frac{k_{2}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{3}}{x_{1}-x_{2}}-\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right]+\frac{y_{1}\left(x-x_{2}\right)-y_{2}\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{2}} \\
& =\frac{4.5}{6}\left(\frac{(x-0)^{3}}{0-1}-(x-0)(0-1)\right)+\frac{0-2(x-0)}{0-1}=0.75 x^{3}+2.75 x
\end{aligned}
$$

The interpolant in $1 \leq x \leq 2$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2,3}(x) & =-\frac{k_{2}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{3}\right)^{3}}{x_{2}-x_{3}}-\left(x-x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{y_{2}\left(x-x_{3}\right)-y_{3}\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{3}} \\
& =-\frac{4.5}{6}\left(\frac{(x-2)^{3}}{1-2}-(x-2)(1-2)\right)+\frac{2(x-2)-(x-1)}{1-2}=-0.75(x-2)^{3}-1.75 x+4.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1,2}^{\prime}(x) & =-3(0.75) x^{2}+2.75=-2.25 x^{2}+2.75 \\
f_{2,3}^{\prime}(x) & =3(0.75)(x-2)^{2}-1.75=2.25(x-2)^{2}-1.75 \\
f_{1,2}^{\prime}(1) & =-2.25(1)^{2}+2.75=0.5 \\
f_{2,3}^{\prime}(1) & =2.25(1-2)^{2}-1.75=0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}(1) & =-2.25(2)=-4.5 \\
f_{2,3}^{\prime \prime}(1) & =2.25(2)(1-2)=-4.5
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
f_{1,2}^{\prime}(1)=f_{2,3}^{\prime}(1)=0.5
$$

and

$$
f_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}(1)=f_{2,3}^{\prime \prime}(1)=-4.5
$$

## Example E5.48

Use the end conditions with a cubic spline that has constant second derivatives within its first and last segments (noting the end segments are parabolic). The end conditions for this spline are given as $k_{1}=k_{2}$ and $k_{n-1}=k_{n}$. The data points are given below:

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| y | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 |

## Solution:

With evenly spaced knots, the equations for the curvatures are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i-1}+4 k_{i}+k_{i+1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left(y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right), \quad i=2,3 \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $k_{1}=k_{2}, k_{4}=k_{3}$ and $h=1$, Eq.(E.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& 5 k_{2}+k_{3}=6(1-2(1)+0.5)=-3  \tag{E.2}\\
& k_{2}+5 k_{3}=6[1-2(0.5)+0]=0
\end{align*}
$$

Solving Eq. (E.2), we get $k_{2}=-5 / 8, k_{3}=1 / 8$. The interpolant can now be evaluated from

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i, i+1}(x) & =\frac{k_{i}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)^{3}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}-\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)\right]-\frac{k_{i+1}}{6}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{3}}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}}-\left(x-x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{y_{i}\left(x-x_{i+1}\right)-y_{i+1}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}} \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $x_{i}-x_{i+1}=-1$ and $i=3$, Eq. (E.3) becomes

$$
f_{3,4}(x)=\frac{k_{3}}{6}\left[-\left(x-x_{4}\right)^{3}+\left(x-x_{4}\right)\right]-\frac{k_{4}}{6}\left[-\left(x-x_{3}\right)^{3}+\left(x-x_{3}\right)-y_{3}\left(x-x_{4}\right)+y_{4}\left(x-x_{3}\right)\right.
$$

Hence, $\quad f_{3,4}(2.6)=\frac{1 / 8}{6}\left[-(2.6-3)^{3}+(2.6-3)\right]-\frac{1 / 8}{6}\left[-(2.6-2)^{3}+(2.6-2)\right]-0.5(2.6-3)+0=0.1853$

### 5.8 SUMMARY

Interpolation is the method of computing the value of the function $y=f(x)$ for any given value of the independent variable $x$ when a set of values of $y=f(x)$ for certain values of $x$ are given. The study of interpolation is based on the assumption that there are no sudden jump in the values of the dependent variable for the period under consideration. In this chapter, the study of interpolation was presented based on the calculus of finite differences. Some important interpolation formulae by means of forward, backward and central differences of a function, which are frequently used in scientific and engineering calculations were also presented.

## Problems

### 5.1 Show that

(a) $\Delta \nabla=\Delta-\nabla$
(b) $\nabla=\Delta E^{-1}$
(c) $E^{n}=(1+\Delta)^{n}$
(d) $e^{x}=\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) e^{x} \frac{E e^{x}}{\Delta^{2} e^{x}}(h=$ interval of differencing $)$
(e) $\Delta^{n} \sin (a x+b)=\left(2 \sin \frac{a}{2}\right)^{n} \sin \left[a x+b+n\left(\frac{a+\pi}{2}\right)\right]$ (interval of differencing $\left.=1\right)$
(f) $\quad \Delta^{2}=(1+\Delta) \delta^{2}$
(g) $\Delta^{3} y_{2}=\nabla^{3} y_{5}$
(h) $\delta=\Delta(1+\Delta)^{-1 / 2}$
(i) $\quad \nabla=1-(1+\nabla)^{-1}$
(j) $\frac{\Delta}{\nabla}-\frac{\nabla}{\Delta}=\Delta+\nabla$
5.2 Find the following:
(a) $\Delta e^{a x}$
(b) $\Delta \sin x$
(c) $\Delta \tan ^{-1} x$
(d) $\Delta\left[\frac{5 x+12}{x^{2}+5 x+6}\right]$
(e) $\Delta^{n}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$
(f) $\left(\frac{\Delta^{2}}{E}\right) x^{3}$ (with interval of differencing $=1$ )
(g) $\frac{\Delta}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)}$
(h) $\Delta \sin (a x+b)$
(i) $\Delta^{2}\left(3 e^{x}\right)$
5.3 Construct a forward difference table for the following data:
(a)

| $x$ | 45 | 55 | 65 | 75 |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 20 | 60 | 120 | 180 |

(b)

| $x$ | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 204 | 224 | 246 | 270 | 296 | 324 |

5.4 Construct a difference table for $y=x^{3}+2 x+3$ for $x=1,2,3,4,5$.
5.5 Given $u_{0}=1, u_{1}=5, u_{2}=10, u_{3}=30, u_{4}=30$, find $\Delta^{4} u_{0}$.
5.6 Given $u_{0}=5, u_{1}=24, u_{2}=81, u_{3}=200, u_{4}=100$ and $u_{5}=8$, find $\Delta^{5} u_{0}$.
5.7 Estimate the missing term in the following tables:
(a)

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 5 | 14 | $?$ | 74 | 137 |

(b)

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 8 | 17 | 38 | $?$ | 140 |

(c)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 3 | 2 | 3 | $?$ | 11 |

5.8 If $m$ is a positive integer and the interval of differencing is 1 , show that $x^{m}=x(x-1) \cdots[x-(x-1)]$.
5.9 Express the following in the factorial notation. Take interval of differencing as equal to 1 .
(a) $y=f(x)=3 x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$
(b) $y=f(x)=x^{4}-5 x^{3}+3 x+4$
5.10 Find the missing entry in the following tables:
(a)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 3 | 13 | - | 81 |

(b)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 0 | - | 28 | 69 |

(c)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | -2 | -1 | - | 37 |

(d)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 4 | - | 28 | 61 |

(f)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -6 | -3 | 4 | - | 54 |

5.11 Find the missing entry in the following tables:
(a)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 3 | - | 55 | 189 |

(b)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | -3 | -1 | - | 165 |

(c)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -31 | -35 | - | 5 | 133 |

(d)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -23 | -27 | - | 13 | 141 |

(e)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 2 | -2 | 0 | - | 166 |

5.12 Interpolate the missing entries in the following tables:
(a)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | - | 13 | - | 81 |

(b)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | -2 | - | - | 37 |

(c)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | - | 11 | - | 61 |

(d)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -6 | - | 4 | - | 54 |

(e)

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -6 | - | 12 | - | 118 |

5.13 Given that $\sqrt{12600}=112.24972, \sqrt{12610}=112.29426, \sqrt{12620}=112.33877, \sqrt{12630}=112.38327$. Find the value of $\sqrt{12616}$.
5.14 Evaluate $y=e^{2 x}$ for $x=0.25$ from the data in the following table.

| x | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{x}}$ | 1.49182 | 1.82212 | 2.22554 | 2.71828 | 3.32012 |

5.15 The values of $\sin x$ are given below for different values of $x$. Find the value of $\sin 42^{\circ}$.

| x | $40^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $50^{\circ}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\sin \mathrm{x}$ | 0.64279 | 0.70711 | 0.76604 | 0.81915 | 0.86603 |

5.16 In an examination the number of students who obtained marks between certain limits was as follows:

| Marks | $30-40$ | $40-50$ | $50-60$ | $60-70$ | $70-80$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. of students | 18 | 40 | 64 | 50 | 28 |

Find the number of students whose scores lie between 70 and 75.
5.17 From the following table estimate the number of students who obtained marks in the examination between 50 and 55.

| Marks | $35-45$ | $45-55$ | $55-65$ | $65-75$ | $75-85$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of students | 31 | 42 | 51 | 35 | 31 |

5.18 A second degree polynomial passes through the points $(2,-1),(3,1),(4,5)$ and $(5,11)$. Find the polynomial.
5.19 A second degree polynomial passes through the points $(1,5),(2,11),(3,19)$ and $(4,29)$. Find the polynomial.
5.20 Find a cubic polynomial which takes the following values.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1 | 4 | 17 | 46 |

5.21 Refer to Problem P5.11. Find $f(1.5)$.
5.22 Refer to Problem P5.10. Find $f(3.5)$.
5.23 The table below gives the values of $f(x)$ for $0.10 \leq x \leq 0.30$. Find $f(0.12)$ and $f(0.26)$.

| $x$ | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 0.30 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0.0998 | 0.1494 | 0.1987 | 0.2474 | 0.2955 |

5.24 The population (in thousands) of a small town is given in the following table. Estimate the population in the years 1965 and 1995.

| Year, x | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ <br> (in thousands) | 46 | 66 | 81 | 93 | 101 |

5.25 Using Newton's forward interpolation formula find the value of $\sin 52^{\circ}$ from the following data. Estimate the error.

| x | $40^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $50^{\circ}$ | $55^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\sin \mathrm{x}$ | 0.64279 | 0.70711 | 0.76604 | 0.81915 | 0.86603 |

5.26 Find the polynomial of degree three relevant to the following data using Lagrange's interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)$ | -12 | -14 | -20 | -20 |

5.27 Find the polynomial of the least degree which attains the prescribed values at the given point using Lagrange's interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -27 | -44 | -84 | -95 |

5.28 Find the polynomial of degree three relevant to the following data using Lagrange's interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 71 | 115 | 295 | 466 |

5.29 Find the polynomial of degree three relevant to the following data using Lagrange's interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 2 | 5 | 12 | 62 |

5.30 Using Lagrange's interpolation formula, find the value of $y$ corresponding to $x=8$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 71 | 115 | 466 | 1447 |

5.31 Using Lagrange's interpolation formula, find the value of $y$ corresponding to $x=6$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 2 | 29 | 117 | 317 |

5.32 Using Lagrange's interpolation formula, find the value of $y$ corresponding to $x=4$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -20 | -12 | -20 | -20 |

5.33 Using Lagrange's interpolation formula, find the value of $y$ corresponding to $x=9$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 5 | 6 | 11 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 380 | -2 | 508 | 1020 |

5.34 The following table gives the values of $y$ corresponding to certain values of $x$. Find the value of $x$ when $y=420.61175$ by applying Lagrange's inverse interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 71 | 82 | 295 | 466 |

5.35 The following table gives the values of $y$ corresponding to certain values of $x$. Find the value of $x$ when $y=-76.0188$ by applying Lagrange's inverse interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -27 | -65 | -84 | -95 |

5.36 The following table gives the values of $y$ corresponding to certain values of $x$. Find the value of $x$ when $y=89.64656$ by applying Lagrange's inverse interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 71 | 82 | 295 | 466 |

5.37 The following table gives the values of $y$ corresponding to certain values of $x$. Find the value of $x$ when $y=-16.875$ by applying Lagrange's inverse interpolation formula.

| $x$ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -20 | -12 | -20 | -20 |

5.38 Apply Gauss's forward interpolation formula to find the value of $f(x)$ at $x=11$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 1 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)$ | 13 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 26 |

5.39 Find the value of $f(x)$ at $x=10$ by applying Gauss's forward interpolation formula from the following data:

| $x$ | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)$ | 23 | 28 | 36 | 39 | 45 |

5.40 Find the value of $f(9)$ by applying Gauss's forward interpolation formula from the following data:

| $x$ | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)$ | 15 | 25 | 34 | 37 | 42 |

5.41 Apply Gauss's forward interpolation formula to find the value of $f(12.2)$ from the following data:

| x | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 23967 | 28060 | 31788 | 35209 | 38368 |

5.42 Find the value of $f(9)$ by applying Gauss's forward interpolation formula from the following data:

| $x$ | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 17 | 19 | 35 | 38 | 41 |

5.43 Use Gauss's forward interpolation formula to find $y$ for $x=10$ given that

| $x$ | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 15 | 25 | 34 | 37 | 42 |

5.44 Use Gauss's backward interpolation formula to find the sales for the year 1966 given the following data:

| Year | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales (in millions) | 5 | 7 | 12 | 17 | 23 | 31 |

5.45 Apply Gauss's backward interpolation formula and find the population of a city in 1946 based on the following data:

| Year | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (in millions) | 16 | 21 | 29 | 41 | 54 |

5.46 Use Gauss's backward interpolation formula to find the sales for the year 1966 based on the following data:

| Year | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales (in millions) | 23 | 32 | 43 | 52 | 61 |

5.47 Apply Gauss's backward interpolation formula to find the population of a city in 1986 based on the following data:

| Year | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population (in millions) | 15 | 21 | 25 | 29 | 47 | 61 |

5.48 Use Gauss's backward interpolation formula to find the sales for the year 1986 based on the following data:

| Year | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 | 1981 | 1991 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales (in millions) | 1 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 17 | 23 |

5.49 Apply Bessel's interpolation formula to obtain $y_{25}$, given that $y_{20}=515, y_{24}=438, y_{28}=348$ and $y_{32}=249$.
5.50 Apply Bessel's interpolation formula to obtain $y_{16}$, given that $y_{15}=0.345, y_{20}=0.375, y_{25}=0.478$ and $y_{30}=0.653$.
5.51 Apply Bessel's interpolation formula to obtain $y_{1.6}$, given that $y_{1.5}=0.345, y_{2.0}=0.423, y_{2.5}=0.512$ and $y_{3.0}=0.756$.
5.52 Apply Bessel's interpolation formula to obtain $y_{36}$, given that $y_{21}=19, y_{231}=29, y_{41}=43$ and $y_{51}=54$.
5.53 Apply Bessel's interpolation formula to obtain $y_{1.4}$, given that $y_{1.25}=1.0772, y_{1.5}=1.1447, y_{1.75}=1.2051$ and $y_{2.0}=1.2599$.
5.54 Apply Bessel's interpolation formula to obtain $y_{0.644}$, given that $y_{0.64}=1.89648, y_{0.65}=1.91554$, $y_{0.66}=1.93479$ and $y_{0.67}=1.95424$.
5.55 Use Stirling's interpolation formula to find $y_{12.2}$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 24765 | 27876 | 30879 | 36543 | 39879 |

5.56 Use Stirling's interpolation formula to find $y_{1.22}$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 0 | 0.1910 | 0.3410 | 0.4330 | 0.4770 |

5.57 Use Stirling's interpolation formula to find $y_{22.6}$ from the following table:

| $x$ | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1.2123 | 1.3546 | 1.4879 | 1.5765 | 1.6987 |

5.58 Use Stirling's interpolation formula to find $y_{3.8}$ from the following table of data:

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 0.12340 | 0.34560 | 0.87650 | 1.12346 | 1.34657 |

5.59 Use Stirling's interpolation formula to find $y_{3.25}$ from the following data:

| $x$ | 2 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 49225 | 48316 | 47236 | 45926 | 44306 |

5.60 Use Everett's interpolation formula to find the value of $y$ when $x=3.5$ from the following table:

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1.2567 | 1.4356 | 1.5678 | 1.6547 | 1.7658 | 1.8345 |

5.61 Use Everett's interpolation formula to find the value of $y$ when $x=6$ from the following table.

| $x$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | -0.375 | -2.947 | -6.063 | -2.331 | 24.857 | 105.165 |

5.62 Use Everett's interpolation formula to find the value of $y$ when $x=0.35$ from the following table.

| $x$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1.23900 | 1.12999 | 0.95294 | 0.70785 | 0.39469 | 0.01348 |

5.63 Use Everett's interpolation formula to find the value of $y$ when $x=0.35$ from the following table.

| $x$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 2.4780 | 2.25997 | 1.90589 | 1.41569 | 0.78938 | 0.02696 |

5.64 Use Everett's interpolation formula to find the value of $y$ when $x=0.644$ from the following table.

| x | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.67 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1.850431 | 1.858928 | 1.887610 | 1.906481 | 1.925541 | 1.944792 | 1.964237 |

5.65 Use Everett's interpolation formula to find the value of $y$ when $x=1.71$ from the following table.

| $x$ | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 4.055200 | 4.481689 | 4.953032 | 5.473947 | 6.049647 | 6.685894 | 7.389056 |

5.66 Fit a cubic spline curve that passes through the points as shown below:

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 0 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.5 |

The natural end boundary conditions are: $y^{\prime \prime}(0)=y^{\prime \prime}(3)=0$.
5.67 Apply natural cubic spline interpolation method to find $y$ at $x=1.5$. The data points are given below:

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

5.68 Develop a natural cubic spline for the following data:

| $x$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 5.7 |

Find $f^{\prime}(3.4), f^{\prime}(5.2)$ and $f^{\prime}(5.6)$.
5.69 Find the zero of the function $y(x)$ from the following data:

| x | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | -1.049 | -0.0266 | 0.377 | 0.855 | 1.15 |

Use inverse interpolation with the natural cubic spline.
5.70 Fit a cubic spline curve for the following data with end conditions $y^{\prime}(0)=0.2$ and $y^{\prime}(3)=-1$.

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 0 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 5 |

5.71 Construct a clamped cubic spline for the following data given that the slope of 0.2 at $x_{0}$ and a slope of 0.6 at $x_{n}$.

| n | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| y | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 5.7 |

Find $f^{\prime \prime}(3.4), f^{\prime}(5.2)$ and $f(5.6)$.
5.72 Fit the data in Table P5.72 with cubic spline and find the value at $x=5$.

Table P5.72

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | 3 | 4.5 | 7 | 9 |
| y | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 |

5.73 Determine the cubic spline interpolation at $x=2.6$ based on the data points given below:

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 |

Given the end conditions as $f_{1,2}^{\prime}(0)=0$ (zero slope).

$$
\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc
$$
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## Curve Fitting, Regression and Correlation

### 6.1 INTRODUCTION

In real life engineering practice, often a relationship is found to exist between two (or more) variables. For example: the experimental data for force $(\mathrm{N})$ and velocity $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ from a wind tunnel experiment. A mechanical element/component is suspended in a wind tunnel and the force measured for various levels of wind velocity. This relationship can be visualised by plotting force versus velocity. It is frequently desirable to express this relationship in mathematical/analytical form by establishing an equation connecting the variables.

In order to determine an equation connecting the variables, it is often necessary to collect the data depicting the values of the variables under consideration.

For example, if $x$ and $y$ denote respectively the velocity and force from the wind tunnel experiment, then a sample of $n$ individual would give the velocities $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and the corresponding forces $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$. When these points $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ are plotted on a rectangular coordinate system, the resulting set of points on the plot is called the scatter diagram. From such a scatter diagram, one can visualise a smooth curve approximating the given data points. Such a curve is known as an approximating curve. Figure 6.1(a) shows that the data appears to be approximated by a straight line and it clearly exhibits a linear relationship between the two variables. On the other hand Fig. 6.1(b) shows a relationship which is not linear and in fact it is a non-linear relationship between the variables. Curve fitting is the general problem of finding equations of approximating curves which best fit the given set of data.


Fig. 6.1: Linear and non-linear relationship

## Approximating Curves

Table 6.1 lists a few common approximating curves used in practice and their equations. The variables $x$ and $y$ are called the independent and dependent variables respectively. The scatter diagrams of the variables or transformed variables will help determine the curve to be used.

Table 6.1

| No. | Equation | Description of the curve |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}$ | Straight line |
| 2. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}+\mathrm{cx}^{2}$ | Parabola or quadratic curve |
| 3. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}+\mathrm{cx}^{2}+\mathrm{dx}^{3}$ | Cubic curve |
| 4. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}^{2}+\mathrm{cx}^{2}+\mathrm{dx}^{3}+\mathrm{ex}^{4}$ | Quartic curve |
| 5. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ degree curve |
| 6. | $\mathrm{y}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{mx}}$ or $\frac{1}{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{mx}$ | Hyperbola |
| 7. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{x}}$ or $\log \mathrm{y}=\log \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{x}(\log \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{b}_{0} \mathrm{x}$ | Exponential curve |
| 8. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{ax}^{\mathrm{b}}$ or $\log \mathrm{y}=\log \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}(\log \mathrm{x})$ | Geometric curve (power function) |
| 9. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{c}$ | Modified exponential curve |
| 10 | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{ax}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{c}$ | Modified geometric curve |
| 11. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{pq}^{\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{x}}}$ or $\log \mathrm{y}=\log \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{x}} \log \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{q}$ | Gompertz curve |
| 12. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{pq}^{\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{x}}}+\mathrm{h}$ | Modified Gompertz curve |
| 13. | $\mathrm{y}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{q}}$ or $\frac{1}{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{q}$ | Logistic curve |
| 14. | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{be}^{\mathrm{mx}}$ or $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{b} 10^{\mathrm{mx}}$ | Exponential function |
| 15. | $\mathrm{y}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{mx}^{2}+\mathrm{b}}$ | Reciprocal function |
| 16. | $\mathrm{y}=\alpha \frac{\mathrm{x}}{\beta+\mathrm{x}}$ | Saturation-growth-rate equation |

In Table 6.1, $a, b, c, d, e, a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{0}, p, q, h, m, \alpha$ and $\beta$ are all constant coefficients.

## Linear Regression

Linear regression and correlation are two commonly used methods for examining the relationship between quantitative variables and for making predictions. In this chapter, we review linear equations with one independent variable, explain how to find the regression equation, the equation of the line that best fits a set of data points. We also examine the coefficient of determination that is a descriptive measure of the utility of the regression equation for making predictions. In addition, we discuss the linear correlation coefficient, which provides a descriptive measure of the strength of the linear relationship between the two quantitative variables.

### 6.2 LINEAR EQUATION

The general form of a linear equation with one independent variable can be written as

$$
y=a+b x
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constants (fixed numbers), $x$ is the independent variable, and $y$ is the dependent variable. The graph of a linear equation with one independent variable is a straight line, or simply a line. Also, any non vertical line can be represented by such an equation.

Linear equations with one independent variable occur frequently in applications of mathematics to many different fields, including the social sciences, engineering, and management as well as physical and mathematical sciences.

For a linear equation $y=a+b x$, the number $a$ is the $y$-value of the point of intersection of the line and the $y$-axis. The number $b$ measures the steepness of the line. $b$ indicates how much the $y$-value changes when the $x$-value increases by 1 unit. Figure 6.2(a) illustrates these relationships.


Fig. 6.2 (a): (Graph of $y=a+b x$ )
The numbers $a$ and $b$ have special names that reflect these geometric interpretations. For a linear equation $y=a+b x$, the number $a$ is called the $y$-intercept and the number $b$ is called the slope.

The graph of the linear equation $y=a+b x$ slopes upward if $b>0$, slopes downward if $b<0$, and is horizontal if $b=0$, as shown in Fig. 6.2(b).


Fig. 6.2(b): Graphical interpretation of slope

### 6.3 CURVE FITTING WITH A LINEAR EQUATION

Curve fitting is a procedure in which a mathematical formula (equation) is used to best fit a given set of data points. The objective is to find a function that fits the data overall. Curve fitting is used when the values of the data points have some error, or scatter and require a curve fit to the points. Curve fitting can be accomplished with many types of functions and with polynomials of various orders.

Curve fitting using a linear equation (first degree polynomial) is the process by which an equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used to best fit the given data points. This can be accomplished by finding the constants $a$ and $b$ that give the smallest error when the data points are substituted in Eq. (6.1). If the data points consists of only two points, the constants can be obtained such that Eq. (6.1) gives the exact values at the points. Figure 6.3 shows the straight line corresponding to the Eq. (6.1) and passing through the two points. When the data has more than two points, the constants $a$ and $b$ are determined such that the line has the best fit overall as shown in Fig. 6.4.


Fig. 6.3: Straight line connecting two points


Fig. 6.4: A straight line passing through many data points

The procedure for obtaining the constants $a$ and $b$ that give the best fit requires a definition of best fit and an analytical procedure for deriving the constants $a$ and $b$. The fitting between the given data points and an approximating linear function is obtained by first computing the error, also called the residual, which is the difference between a data point and the value of the approximating function, at each point. Figure 6.5 shows a linear function (straight line) that is used for curve fitting $n$ points.


Fig. 6.5: Curve-fitting points with a linear equation $y=a+b x$

Thus, the residual, $e$ is the discrepancy between the true value of $y$ and the approximating value, $a+b x$, predicted by the linear equation.

### 6.4 CRITERIA FOR A "BEST" FIT

A criterion that measures how well the approximating function fits the given data can be determined by computing a total error $E$ in terms of the residuals as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[y_{i}-\left(a+b x_{i}\right)\right] \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=$ total number of points.
However, this is an inadequate criterion, as illustrated in Fig. 6.6, which shows that $E$ is zero since $e_{1}=-e_{4}$ and $e_{2}=-e_{3}$.


Fig. 6.6: Straight line fit with $E=0$
One way to remove the effect of the signs might be to minimise the sum of the absolute values of the discrepancies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|e_{i}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|y_{i}-a-b x_{i}\right| \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 6.7 shows why this criterion is also inadequate. For four points show, for the same set of points there can be several functions that give the same total error. $E$ is the same for the two approximating lines in Fig. 6.7.


Fig. 6.7: Two straight line fits with the same total error as per Eq. (6.3)

A third strategy for fitting a best line is the minmax criterion. In this technique, the straight line is chosen that minimises the maximum distance that an individual point falls from the line. Again as shown in Fig.6.8, this technique gives undue influence to an outlier (a single point with a large error).


Fig. 6.8: Minimisation of the maximum error of any individual point
A method that overcomes the shortcomings of the aforementioned approaches is to minimise the sum of the squares of the residuals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6.4) always gives a positive number of $S_{r}$ (total error) and positive and negative residuals do not cancel each other. This criterion (Eq.6.4) is called the least squares and has many advantages, including that it gives a unique line for a given set of data.

Equation (6.4) can be used to determine the coefficients $a$ and $b$ in the linear function $y=a+b x$ that yield the smallest total error. This is accomplished by using a procedure called linear least-squares regression, which is presented in the next section.

### 6.5 LINEAR LEAST-SQUARES REGRESSION

Linear least-squares regression is a method in which the coefficients $a$ and $b$ of a linear function $y=a+b x$ are determined such that the function has the best fit to a given set of data points. The best fit is defined as the smallest possible total error that is computed by adding the squares of the residuals according to Eq. (6.4).

For a given set of $n$ data points ( $x_{i}, y_{i}$ ), the overall error calculated by Eq. (6.4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[y_{i}-\left(a+b x_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the values of $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ are known, $S_{r}$ in Eq. (6.5) is a non-linear function of two variables $a$ and $b$. This function $S_{r}$ has a minimum at the values of $a$ and $b$ where the partial derivatives of $S_{r}$ with respect to each variable is equal to zero.

Taking the partial derivatives and setting then equal to zero gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial a}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{i}\right)=0 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial b}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{i}\right) x_{i}\right]=0 \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (6.6) and (6.7) are a system to two linear equations for the two unknowns $a$ and $b$, and can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
n a+\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right] b=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \\
{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right] a+\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right] b=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}} \tag{6.9}
\end{array}
$$

Equations (6.8) and (6.9) are called the normal equations and can be solved simultaneously for

$$
\begin{align*}
& b=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right]}{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right]^{2}}  \tag{6.10}\\
& b=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right]}{n}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right]^{2}}{n}}  \tag{6.11}\\
& a=\frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right]-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right]}{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right]} \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}
$$

Since Eqs. (6.10) to (6.12) contain summations that are the same for a set of $n$ points, they can also be written as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S_{x x}=\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}=\Sigma x_{i}^{2}-\left(\Sigma x_{i}\right)^{2} / n \\
& S S_{x y}=\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)=\Sigma x_{i} y_{i}-\left(\Sigma x_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma y_{i}\right) / n \\
& S S_{y y}=\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=\Sigma y_{i}^{2}-\left(\Sigma y_{i}\right)^{2} / n
\end{aligned}
$$

The regression equation for a set of $n$ data points is

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \hat{y}=a+b x \\
\text { where } & b=\frac{S S_{x y}}{S S_{x x}} \\
\text { and } & a=\frac{1}{n}\left(\Sigma y_{i}-b \Sigma x_{i}\right)=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}
\end{array}
$$

### 6.6 LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS

A regression model is a mathematical equation that describes the relationship between two or more variables. A single regression model includes only two variables: one independent and one dependent. The relationship between two variables in a regression analysis is expressed by a mathematical equation called a regression equation or model. A regression equation that gives a straight-line relationship between two variables is called a linear regression model; otherwise, it is called a non-linear regression model. Figures 6.9(a) and (b) show a linear and a non-linear relationship between independent variable and the dependent variable.


Fig. 6.9: (a) Linear relationship, (b) Non-linear relationship
The equation of a linear relationship between two variables $x$ and $y$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ gives the $y$-intercept and $b$ represents the slope of the line.
In regression model, $x$ is the independent variable and $y$ is the dependent variable. The simple linear regression model for population is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=A+B x \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6.14) is called a deterministic model. It gives an exact relationship between $x$ and $y$. However, in many instances the relationship between the variables is not exact. Therefore, the complete regression model is then written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y A+B x+\epsilon \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is called the random error term. This regression model (Eq. (6.5)) is called a probabilistic model (or a statistical relationship). The random error term $\in$ is included in the model to take into consideration of the following two phenomena:
(a) Missing or omitted variables: The random error term $\in$ is included to capture the effect of all the missing or omitted variables that were not included in the model.
(b) Random variation: The random error term $\in$ is included to capture the random variation.

In Eq. (6.15), $A$ and $B$ are the population parameters. The regression line obtained from Eq. (6.15) by using the population data is called the population regression line. The values of $A$ and $B$ is the population regression line is called the true values of the $y$-intercept and slope.

However, most often the population data is difficult to obtain. As a consequence, we almost always use the sample data and use the model given by Eq. (6.15). The values of the $y$-intercept and slope calculated from sample data on $x$ and $y$ are called the estimated values of $A$ and $B$ and are denoted by $a$ and $b$.

The estimated regression model is then written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}=a+b x \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{y}$ is the estimated or predicted value of $y$ for a given value of $x$. Equation (6.16) is called the estimated regression model. It gives the regression of $y$ on $x$. A plot of paired observation is called a scatter diagram as shown in Fig. 6.10.


Fig. 6.10: Scatter diagram
To find the line that best fits the scatter of points, we minimise the error sum of squares, denoted by SSE, which is given by
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SSE}=\Sigma e^{2}=\Sigma(y-\hat{y})^{2} \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The least squares method gives the values of $a$ and $b$ such that the sum of squared errors (SSE) is minimum. For the least squares regression line $\hat{y}=a+b x$ from Eqs. (6.10) to (6.17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{S S_{x y}}{S S_{x x}} \text { and } a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S S_{x y}=\Sigma x y-\frac{(\Sigma x)(\Sigma y)}{n} \\
& S S_{x x}=\Sigma x^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma x)^{2}}{n} \\
& S S_{y y}=\Sigma(y-\bar{y})=\Sigma y^{2}-(\Sigma y)^{2} / n \tag{6.19}
\end{align*}
$$

The least squares regression line $\hat{y}=a+b x$ is also called the regression of $y$ on $x$.

### 6.6.1 MATLAB Functions: polyfit and polyval

MATLAB has a built-in function polyfit that fits a least-square $n$ th-order polynomial to data. It can be applied as in

$$
\gg p=\operatorname{polyfit}(x, y, n)
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are the vectors of the independent and the dependent variables, respectively, and $n=$ the order of the polynomial. The function returns a vector $p$ containing the polynomial's coefficients.

It should be noted here that it represents the polynomial using decreasing powers of $x$ as in the following representation:

$$
f(x)=p_{1} x^{n}+p_{2} x^{n-1}+p_{3} x^{n-2}+\cdots+p_{n} x+p_{n+1}
$$

Since a straight line is a first-order polynomial, polyfit ( $x, y, 1$ ) will return the slope and the intercept of the best-fit straight line.

Another function, polyval can be used to compute a value using the coefficients.
It has the general format:

$$
\gg y=\operatorname{polyval}(p, x)
$$

where $p=$ the polynomial coefficients and $y=$ the best-fit value at $x$.

## Example E6.1

Table E6.1 gives experimental data for force ( N ) and velocity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) for an object suspended in a wind tunnel.
Table E6.1

| Velocity, $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Force $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{N})$ | 24 | 68 | 378 | 552 | 608 | 1218 | 831 | 1452 |

(a) use the linear least-squares regression to determine the coefficients $a$ and $b$ in the function $\hat{y}=a+b x$ that best fits the data
(b) estimate the force when the velocity is $55 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

## Solution:

Here $n=8$.

| n | x | y | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | xy |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 | 24 | 100 | 240 |
| 2 | 20 | 68 | 400 | 1360 |
| 3 | 30 | 378 | 900 | 11340 |
| 4 | 40 | 552 | 1600 | 22080 |
| 5 | 50 | 608 | 2500 | 30400 |
| 6 | 60 | 1218 | 3600 | 73080 |
| 7 | 70 | 831 | 4900 | 58170 |
| 8 | 80 | 1452 | 6400 | 116160 |
| $\Sigma$ | 360 | 5131 | 20400 | 312830 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x}{n}=\frac{360}{8}=45 \\
& \bar{y}=\frac{\Sigma y}{n}=\frac{5131}{8}=641.375
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. (6.16), we have

$$
b=\frac{S S_{x y}}{S S_{x x}}
$$

where

$$
S S_{x y}=\Sigma x y-\frac{(\Sigma x)(\Sigma y)}{n}=312830-\frac{(360)(5131)}{8}=81935
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{x x} & =\Sigma x^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma x)^{2}}{n}=20400-\frac{(360)^{2}}{8}=4200 \\
b & =\frac{S S_{x y}}{S S_{x x}}=\frac{81935}{4200}=19.5083
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. (6.17), we have

$$
a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}=641.375-(19.5083)(45)=-236.50
$$

Hence $\hat{y}=-236.50+19.5083 x$
(b) The estimated value of the force when the velocity is $55 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, is given by

$$
\hat{y}=a+b x=-236.50+19.5083(55)=836.4583(\mathrm{~N})
$$

## MATLAB Solution:

```
(a) >> x = [ll0 20 30 40 50 60 70 80];
    >> y = [24 68 378 552 608 1218 831 1452];
    >> a =polyfit (x, y, 1)
    a =
    19.5083-236.5000
```

Hence, the slope is 19.5083 and the intercept is -236.50 .
(b) The MATLAB function, polyval can be used to compute a value using the coefficients. Therefore,

```
>>y = polyval (a,55)
y =
    836.4583
```

Hence, the estimated value of the force when the velocity is $55 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ is $836.4583(\mathrm{~N})$.

### 6.7 INTERPRETATION OF $a$ AND b

When $b$ is positive, an increment in $x$ will lead to an increase in $y$ and a decrease in $x$ will lead to a decrease in $y$. That is, when $b$ is positive, the movements in $x$ and $y$ are in the same direction. Such a relationship between $x$ and $y$ is called a positive linear relationship. The regression line slopes upward from left to right.

Similarly, if the value of $b$ is negative, an increase in $x$ will cause a decrease in $y$ and a decrease in $x$ will cause an increase in $y$. The changes in $x$ and $y$ are in opposite directions. Such a relationship between $x$ and $y$ is called a negative linear relationship. The regression line slopes downward from left to right. Figure 6.11 shows these two relationships.

(a) Positive linear relationship ( $b>0$ )

(b) Negative linear relationship (b<0)

Fig. 6.11: Positive and negative relationship between $x$ and $y$

## Assumptions in the Regression Model

The linear regression analysis is based on the following assumptions:

1. The random error term $\in$ has a mean equal to zero for each $x$.
2. The errors associated with different observations are independent.
3. For any given $x$, the distribution of errors is normal.
4. The distribution of population errors for each $x$ has the same (constant) standard deviation, which is denoted by $\sigma_{e}$ as shown in Fig.6.12 (a) and (b).

(b)

Fig. 6.12: (a) Assumptions in the regression model, (b) Distribution on the regression line

### 6.8 STANDARD DEVIATION OF RANDOM ERRORS

The standard deviation $\sigma_{e}$ measures the spread of the errors around the regression line as shown in Fig. 6.12 (b). The standard deviation of errors is calculated using

$$
s_{e}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{SSE}}{n-2}}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{SSE}=\Sigma(y-\hat{y})^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{e}=\sqrt{\frac{S S_{y y}-b S S_{x y}}{n-2}} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S S_{y y}=\Sigma(y-\bar{y})^{2}=\Sigma y^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma y)^{2}}{n} \\
& S S_{x y}=\Sigma x y-\frac{(\Sigma x)(\Sigma y)}{n} \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. (6.20), $(n-2)$ represents the degrees of freedom for the regression model. The reason for $d f=n-2$ is that we lose one degree of freedom to calculate $\bar{x}$ and one for $\bar{y}$.

### 6.9 COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION

The coefficient of determination, denoted by $r^{2}$, represents the proportion of the total sum of squares that is explained by the use of the regression model. The computational formula for $r^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=b \frac{S S_{x y}}{S S_{y y}} \quad 0 \leq r^{2} \leq 1 \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total sum of squares denoted by SST is the total variation in the observed values of the response variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SST}=S S_{y y}=\Sigma(y-\bar{y})^{2}=\Sigma y^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma y)^{2}}{n} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same formula we use for $S S_{y y}$.
The regression sum of squares, denoted by SSR, is the variation in the observed values of the response variable explained by the regression:
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SSE}=\Sigma(y-\hat{y})^{2} \\
& \mathrm{SSR}=\mathrm{SST}-\mathrm{SSE} \\
& \mathrm{SST}=\mathrm{SSR}+\mathrm{SSE} \tag{6.24}
\end{align*}
$$

The ratio of SSR to SST gives the coefficient of determination. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{SSR}}{\mathrm{SST}}=\frac{\mathrm{SST}-\mathrm{SSE}}{\mathrm{SST}}=1-\frac{\mathrm{SSE}}{\mathrm{SST}} \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula shows that we can also interpret the coefficient of determination as the percentage reduction obtained in the total squared error by using the regression equation instead of the mean, $\bar{y}$, to predict the observed values of the response variable.

The coefficient of determination, denoted by $r^{2}$, represents the portion of SST that is explained by the use of the regression model. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{b S S_{x y}}{S S_{y y}} \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
0 \leq r^{2} \leq 1
$$

The value of $r^{2}$ is the proportion of the variation in $y$ that is explained by the linear relationship between $x$ and $y$. The coefficient of determination is the amount of the variation in $y$ that is explained by the regression line. It is computed as

$$
r^{2}=\frac{\text { Explained variation }}{\text { Total variation }}=\frac{\Sigma(\hat{y}-\bar{y})^{2}}{\Sigma(y-\bar{y})^{2}}
$$

The coefficient of determination, $r^{2}$, always lies between 0 and 1 . A value of $r^{2}$ near 0 suggests that the regression equation is not very useful for making predictions, whereas a value of $r^{2}$ near 1 suggests that the regression equation is quite useful for making predictions.

## Example E6. 2

For the data of Example E6.1, calculate the
(a) standard deviation of errors, $s_{e}$
(b) error sum of squares, SSE
(c) total sum of squares, SST
(d) regression sum of squares, SSR
(e) the coefficient of determination, $r^{2}$.

## Solution:

Referring to Table E6.2, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
n=8, \Sigma x=360, \Sigma y=5131, \Sigma x^{2}=20400, \Sigma x y=312830, \Sigma y^{2}=5104841 \\
\bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma x}{n}=\frac{360}{8}=45 \\
\bar{y}=\frac{\Sigma y}{n}=\frac{5131}{8}=641.375 \\
S S_{x y}=\Sigma x y-\frac{(\Sigma x)(\Sigma y)}{n}=312830-\frac{(360)(5131)}{8}=81935
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{x x} & =\Sigma x^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma x)^{2}}{n}=20400-\frac{(360)^{2}}{8}=4200 \\
S S_{y y} & =\Sigma y^{2}-\frac{(\Sigma y)^{2}}{n}=5104841-\frac{(5131)^{2}}{8}=1813945.875 \\
b & =\frac{S S_{x y}}{S S_{x x}}=\frac{81935}{4200}=19.5083 \\
a & =\bar{y}-b x=641.375-19.5083 x
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) The standard deviation of errors, $s_{e}$

$$
s_{e}=\sqrt{\frac{S S_{y y}-b S S_{x y}}{n-2}}=\sqrt{\frac{1813945.875-19.5083(81935)}{8-2}}=189.5304
$$

(b) The error sum of squares, SSE

$$
\mathrm{SSE}=\Sigma(y-\hat{y})^{2}=215530.5833
$$

(c) Total sum of squares, SST

$$
\mathrm{SST}=\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{yy}}=1813945.875
$$

(d) The regression sum of squares, SSR

$$
\text { SSR = SST - SSE = } 1813946.875-215530.5833=1598415.2917
$$

(e) The coefficient of determination, $r^{2}$

$$
r^{2}=\frac{b S S_{x y}}{S S_{y y}}=\frac{(19.5083)(81935)}{1813945.875}=0.8812
$$

### 6.10 LINEAR CORRELATION

Linear correlation coefficient is a measure of the relationship between two variables. Linear correlation coefficient measures how closely the points in a scatter diagram are spread around the regression line. The correlation coefficient calculated for the population is denoted by $\rho$ and the one calculated for sample data is denoted by $r$. The linear correlation coefficient $r$ measures the strength of the linear relationship between the paired $x$-and $y$-quantitative values in a sample. The linear correlation coefficient is sometimes referred to as the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient in honour of Karl Pearson (1857-1936), who originally developed it. Square of the correlation coefficient is equal to the coefficient of determination. The value of the correlation coefficient always lies in the range -1 to 1 . Hence $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq r \leq 1$.

If $r=1$, it refers to a case of perfect positive linear correlation and all points in the scatter diagram lie on a straight line that slopes upward from left to right, as shown in Fig. 6.13. If $r=-1$, the correlation is said to be perfect negative linear correlation and all points in the scatter diagram fall on a straight line that slopes downward from left to right, as shown in Fig. 6.13(b).

When there is no linear correlation between the two variables and $r$ is close to 0 . Also, in this case, all the points are scattered all over the diagram as shown in Fig. 6.13(c).


Fig. 6.13: Linear correlation between two variables
(a) Perfect positive linear correlation, $r=1$,
(b) Perfect negative linear correlation, $r=-1$
(c) No linear correlation, $r=0$

Two variables are said to have a strong positive linear correlation when the correlation is positive and close to 1 . If the correlation between the two variables is positive but close to zero, then the variables have a weak positive linear correlation. Similarly, when the correlation between two variables is negative and close to -1 , then the variables are said to have a strong negative linear correlation. A weak negative linear correlation exists when the correlation between the variables is negative but close to zero. The above four cases are shown in Figs. 6.14 (a) to (d). Figure 6.15 shows the various degrees of linear correlation.


Fig. 6.14: Linear correlation between variables


Fig. 6.15: Various degrees of linear correlation
The simple linear correlation, denoted by $r$, measures the strength of the linear relationship between two variables for a sample and is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{S S_{x y}}{\sqrt{S S_{x x} S S_{y y}}} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here that $r$ and $b$ calculated for the same sample will always have the same sign.

## Properties of the Linear Correlation Coefficient r

1. The value of $r$ is always between -1 and +1 inclusive. That is, $-1 \leq r \leq 1$.
2. The values of $r$ do not change if all values of either variable are converted to a different scale.
3. The value of $r$ is not affected by the choice of $x$ or $y$. Interchange all $x$ - and $y$-values and the value of $r$ will not change.
4. ' $r$ ' measures the strength of a linear relationship. The magnitude of $r$ indicates the strength of the linear relationship. A value of $r$ close to -1 or to 1 indicates a strong linear relationship between the variables and that the variable $x$ is a good linear predictor of the variable $y$. That is, the regression equation is extremely useful for making predictions. A value of $r$ near 0 indicates at most a weak linear relationship between the variables and that the variable $x$ is a poor linear predictor of the variable $y$. That is, the regression equation is either useless or not very useful for making predictions. It is not designed to measure the strength of a relationship that is not linear.
5. The sign of $r$ suggests the type of linear relationship. A positive value of $r$ suggests that the variables are positively correlated, meaning that $y$ tends to increase linearly as $x$ increases, with the tendency being greater the closer that $r$ is to 1 . A negative value of $r$ suggests that the variables are negatively linearly correlated, meaning that $y$ tends to decrease linearly as $x$ increases, with the tendency being greater the closer that $r$ is to -1 .
6. $\quad r$ reflects the slope of the scatter plot. The linear correlation coefficient is positive when the scatter plot shows a positive slope and is negative when the scatter plot shows a negative slope.
7. The sign of $r$ and the sign of the slope of the regression line are identical. If $r$ is positive, so is the slope of the regression line. That is, the regression line slopes upward. If $r$ is negative, so are the slope of the regression line and the regression line slopes downward.

## Explained and Unexplained Variation

The total variation is defined as $\Sigma(y-\bar{y})^{2}$ i.e., the sum of the squares of the deviations of the values of $y$ from the mean $\bar{y}$. This can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(y-\bar{y})^{2}=\Sigma(y-\hat{y})^{2}+\Sigma(\hat{y}-\bar{y})^{2} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{y}$ is the value of $y$ for given values of $x$ as estimated from $\hat{y}=a+b x$, a measure of the scatter about the regression line of $y$ on $x$.

The first term on the right side of Eq. (6.28) is called the unexplained variation while the second term is called the explained variation. The deviations $\hat{y}-\bar{y}$ have a definite pattern while the deviations $y-\hat{y}$ behave in a random or unpredictable manner. Similar results hold true for the variable $x$.

The ratio of the explained variation to the total variation is called the coefficient of determination. If there is zero explained variation i.e., the total variation is all unexplained, then this ratio is zero. If there is zero unexplained variation i.e., the total variation is all explained, the ratio is one. In all other cases, the ratio lies between zero and one. The ratio is always non-negative.

The quantity, $r$, is called the coefficient of correlation, and it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r= \pm \sqrt{\frac{\text { Explained variation }}{\text { Total variation }}}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(\hat{y}-\bar{y})^{2}}{\Sigma(y-\bar{y})^{2}}} \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$r$ varies between -1 and +1 . The signs $\pm$ are used for positive linear correlation and negative error correlation respectively. ' $r$ ' is a dimensionless quantity. The coefficient of determination equals the square of the linear correlation coefficient.

## Example E6.3

Determine the correlation coefficient for the data given in Example E6.1.

## Solution:

Refer to the solutions obtained earlier for Examples E6.1 and E6.2. We have

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{x y} & =81935, S S_{x x}=4200 \text { and } S S_{y y}=1813945.875 \\
r & =\frac{S S_{x y}}{\sqrt{S S_{x x} S S_{y y}}}=\frac{81935}{\sqrt{(4200)(1813945.875)}}=0.9387
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.11 LINEARISATION OF NON-LINEAR RELATIONSHIPS

Linear regression provides a powerful technique for fitting a best line to data. There exists many situations in science and engineering that show the relationship between the quantities that are being considered is not linear. There are several examples of non-linear functions used for curve fitting. A few of them were described in Table 6.1.

Non-linear regression techniques are available to fit these equations in Table 6.1 to data directly. A simpler alternative is to use analytical manipulations to transform the equations into a linear form. Then linear regression can be used to fit the equations to data.

For instance, $y=b x^{m}$ can be linearised by taking its natural logarithm to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell \ln y=\ell n b+m \ell n x \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of $\ell n y$ versus $\ell \mathrm{n} x$ will give a straight line with a slope of $m$ and an intercept of $\ell \mathrm{n} b$ as shown in Fig. 6.16.


Fig. 6.16: Linearised version of the exponential equation $y=b x^{m}$
Many other non-linear equations can be transformed into linear form in a similar way. Table 6.2 lists several such equations.

Table 6.2

| No. | Non-linear equation | Linear form | Relationship to $\hat{y}=a+b \hat{x}$ | Values for least squares regression |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $y=c x^{m}$ | $\ell \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{m} \ell \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})+\ell \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{y}=\ln (y), \hat{x}=\ln (x) \\ & b=m, a=\ln (c) \end{aligned}$ | $\ell n\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\ell \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| 2. | $y=c e^{\text {mx }}$ | $\ell \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{mx}+\ell \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{c})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{y}=\ln (\mathrm{y}), \hat{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{x} \\ \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{a}=\ln (\mathrm{c}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\ell \mathrm{ln}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| 3. | $y=c 10{ }^{\text {mx }}$ | $\log (\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{mx}+\log \mathrm{c}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{y}=\log (y), \hat{x}=x \\ & b=m, a=\log (c) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\ell \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| 4. | $y=\frac{1}{m x+c}$ | $\frac{1}{y}=m x+c$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{y}=\frac{1}{y}, \hat{x}=x \\ & b=m, a=c \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { and } \frac{1}{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ |
| 5. | $y=\frac{m x}{c+x}$ | $\frac{1}{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{mx}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{y}=\frac{1}{y}, \hat{x}=\frac{1}{x} \\ & b=\frac{c}{m}, a=\frac{1}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{1}{x_{i}} \text { and } \frac{1}{y_{i}}$ |
| 6. | $x y^{c}=d$ <br> Gas equation | $\log y=\frac{1}{c} \log d-\frac{1}{c} \log x$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{y}=\log y, \hat{x}=\log x \\ & a=\frac{1}{c} \log d, b=-\frac{1}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\log \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 7. | $y=c^{x}$ | $\log \mathrm{y}=\log \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{x} \log \mathrm{d}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{y}-\log y, \hat{x}=x \\ & a=\log c, b=\log d \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\log \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 8. | $y=c+d \sqrt{x}$ | $y=c+d \hat{x}$ <br> where $\hat{x}=\sqrt{x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{y}=y \text { and } \hat{x}=\sqrt{x} \\ & a=c \text { and } b=d \end{aligned}$ | $\sqrt{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |

The curves in Figure 6.17 may be used as guides to some of the simpler variable transformations.




Fig. 6.17: Non-linear data curves

## Example E6.4

Fit $y=c x^{m}$ (power function) to the data in Example E6.1 using a logarithmic transformation.

## Solution:

The data can be set up in tabular form as shown in Table E6.4.
Table E6.4

| i | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\log \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(\log \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\log \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 24 | 1.0000 | 1.3802 | 1.0000 | 1.3802 |
| 2 | 20 | 68 | 1.3010 | 1.8325 | 1.6927 | 2.3841 |
| 3 | 30 | 378 | 1.4771 | 2.5775 | 2.1819 | 3.8073 |
| 4 | 40 | 552 | 1.6021 | 2.7419 | 2.5666 | 4.3928 |
| 5 | 50 | 608 | 1.6990 | 2.7839 | 2.8865 | 4.7298 |
| 6 | 60 | 1218 | 1.7782 | 3.0856 | 3.1618 | 5.4867 |
| 7 | 70 | 831 | 1.8451 | 2.9196 | 3.4044 | 5.3870 |
| 8 | 80 | 1452 | 1.9031 | 3.1620 | 3.6218 | 6.0175 |
| $\Sigma$ | 360 | 5131 | 12.606 | 20.483 | 20.516 | 33.585 |

The means are computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=\frac{\Sigma \log x}{n}=\frac{12.606}{8}=1.5757 \\
& \bar{y}=\frac{\Sigma \log y}{n}=\frac{20.483}{8}=2.5604
\end{aligned}
$$

The slope and the intercept are then calculated using Eqs. (6.13), (6.14), (6.15) and (6.16).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b=\frac{S S_{x y}}{S S_{x x}}=\frac{n \Sigma\left(\log x_{i}\right)\left(\log y_{i}\right)-\left(\Sigma \log x_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma \log y_{i}\right)}{n \Sigma \log x_{i}^{2}-\left(\Sigma \log x_{i}\right)^{2}}==\frac{8(33.585)-(12.606)(20.483)}{8(20.516)-(12.606)^{2}}=2.0055 \\
& a=\bar{y}-b \bar{x}=2.5604-2.0055(1.5757)=-0.5997
\end{aligned}
$$

The least-square fit is

$$
\log y=-0.5997+2.0055 \log x
$$

The fit along with the data is shown in Fig. E6.8.
Transforming to the original coordinates, we have

$$
c=10^{-(0.5997)}=0.2514 \text { and } m=2.0055
$$

Hence the least-squares fit is

$$
y=0.2514 x^{2.0055}
$$

### 6.12 POLYNOMIAL REGRESSION

The least-squares procedure described in earlier sections can be readily extended to fit the data to a higher order polynomial. Consider a second-order polynomial or quadratic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x+c x^{2}+e \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum of the squares of the residuals is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{i}-c x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

To generate the least-squares fit, we take the first derivative of Eq. (6.32) with respect to each of the unknown coefficients of the polynomial.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial a}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{i}-c x_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial b}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{i}-c x_{i}^{2}\right)  \tag{6.33}\\
& \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial c}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{i}-c x_{i}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (6.33) are set equal to zero and rearranged to obtain the following set of normal equations:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
n a+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) b+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right) c=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \\
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) a+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right) b+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{3}\right) c=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{6.34}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right) a+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{3}\right) b+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{4}\right) c=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} y_{i}
$$

Equations (6.34) are all linear equations in three unknowns: $a, b$ and $c$. These coefficients $a, b$ and $c$ can be determined directly from the observed data. The above procedure can be easily extended to an $m^{\text {th }}$ order polynomial as in

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x+c x^{2}+d x^{3}+\cdots+z x^{m}+e \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the determination of the coefficients of an $m^{\text {th }}$ order polynomial is equivalent to solving a system of ( $m+1$ ) simultaneous linear equations.

The standard error in this case is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{y / x}=\sqrt{\frac{S_{r}}{n-(m+1)}} \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient of determination, $r^{2}$, can be computed for a polynomial regression with Eq. (6.25).

## Example E6.5

Fit a second-order polynomial to the data in Table E6.5 and determine the total standard deviation, the standard error of the estimate and the correlation coefficient.

Table E6.5

| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 2 | 8 | 14 | 27 | 41 | 61 |

## Solution:

Table E6.5(a) and (b) shows the computations for an error analysis of the quadratic least-squares fit.
Table E6.5(a)

|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{4}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 14 | 28 | 4 | 56 | 8 | 16 |
|  | 3 | 27 | 81 | 9 | 243 | 27 | 81 |
|  | 4 | 41 | 164 | 16 | 656 | 64 | 256 |
|  | 5 | 61 | 305 | 25 | 1525 | 125 | 625 |
| $\Sigma$ | 15 | 153 | 586 | 55 | 2488 | 225 | 979 |

Table E6.5(b)

|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bx}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{cx}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 552.3 | 0.2500 |
|  | 1 | 8 | 306.3 | 1.3391 |
|  | 2 | 14 | 132.3 | 0.6862 |
|  | 3 | 27 | 2.3 | 0.2951 |
|  | 4 | 41 | 240.3 | 0.5300 |
|  | 5 | 61 | 1260.3 | 0.1282 |
| $\Sigma$ | 15 | 153 | 2493.50 | 3.2286 |

Hence, the simultaneous linear equations are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 15 & 55 \\
15 & 55 & 225 \\
55 & 225 & 979
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
153 \\
586 \\
2488
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Refer to Appendix-C (Cramer's rule for solving a system of linear algebraic equations).

Here

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 15 & 55 \\
15 & 55 & 225 \\
55 & 225 & 979
\end{array}\right|=3920
$$

$$
D_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
153 & 15 & 55 \\
586 & 55 & 225 \\
2488 & 225 & 979
\end{array}\right|=9800
$$

$$
D_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 153 & 55 \\
15 & 586 & 225 \\
55 & 2488 & 979
\end{array}\right|=9884
$$

$$
D_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
6 & 15 & 153 \\
15 & 55 & 586 \\
55 & 225 & 2488
\end{array}\right|=7140
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{D_{1}}{D}=\frac{9800}{3920}=2.5 \\
& b=\frac{D_{2}}{D}=\frac{9884}{3920}=2.5214 \\
& c=\frac{D_{3}}{D}=\frac{7140}{3920}=1.8214
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations can also be solved to determine the coefficients using MATLAB:
These equations can be solved to determine the coefficients. Here, we use MATLAB.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\gg A & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
6 & 15 & 55 ; & 15 & 55 & 225 ;
\end{array} 55225\right. \\
\gg b & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
153 ; & 586 ; & 2488
\end{array}\right] ; \\
x & =A / b \\
x & =2.5 \quad 2.5214 \\
a & 1.8214 \\
a & =2.5, b=2.5214, \text { and } c=1.8214
\end{array}
$$

or
Hence, the least squares quadratic equation for this problem is

$$
y=2.5+2.5214 x+1.8214 x^{2}
$$

The standard error of the estimate is based on the regression polynomial given by Eq. (6.36), where $S_{r}=\Sigma(y-\hat{y})^{2}$. Here, we have

$$
S_{y / x}=\sqrt{\frac{S_{r}}{n-(m+1)}}=\sqrt{\frac{3.229}{6-(2+1)}}=1.0374
$$

The coefficient of determination is given by Eq. (6.25)

$$
r^{2}=\frac{S_{t}-S_{r}}{S_{t}}=\frac{2493.5-3.229}{2493.5}=0.9987
$$

where

$$
S_{t}=\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
S_{r}=\Sigma\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}\right)^{2}
$$

Therefore, the correlation coefficient is $r=\sqrt{0.9987}=0.99935$. These results show that $99.935 \%$ of the original uncertainty has been explained by the model.

### 6.13 QUANTIFICATION OF ERROR OF LINEAR REGRESSION

Noting that the sum of the squares is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{1, i}-c x_{2, i}\right)^{2} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (6.46) is similar to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (6.38), the squares of the residual represented the squares of the discrepancy between the data and a single estimate of the measure of central tendency (the mean). The squares of the residual represent the squares of the vertical distance between the data and another measure of central tendency (the straight line). If the spread of the points around the line is of similar magnitude along the entire range of data and the distribution of these points about the line is normal, then the least-squares regression will provide the best estimates of $a$ and $b$. This is known as the maximum likelihood principle. Also, if these criteria are met, a standard deviation for the regression line can be determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{y / x}=\sqrt{\frac{S_{r}}{n-2}} \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{y / x}$ is called the standard error of the estimate.
The difference between the $S_{t}$ and $S_{r}$ quantifies the improvement or error reduction due to describing the data in terms of a straight line rather than as an average value. The difference is therefore normalised to $S_{t}$ to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{S_{t}-S_{r}}{S_{t}} \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{2}$ is called the coefficient of determination and $r$ is the correlation coefficient. For a perfect fit, $S_{r}=0$, and $r^{2}=1$, indicating that the line explains $100 \%$ of the variability of the data. For $r^{2}=0, S_{r}=S_{t}$ and the fit represents no improvement. An alternative formulation for $r$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right)^{2}\right]}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right)^{2}\right]}} \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example E6.6

Determine (a) the total standard deviation, (b) the standard error of the estimate and (c) the correlation coefficient for the data in Example E6.1.

## Solution:

Table E6.6 shows the data and summation to compute the goodness-of-fit statistics. From Example E6.1, we have $a=-236.50, b=19.5083, \bar{x}=45$ and $\bar{y}=641.375$.

Table E6.6

| i | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bx} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 10 | 24 | -41.4167 | 381151.8906 | 4279.3403 |
| 2 | 20 | 68 | 153.6667 | 328758.8906 | 7338.7778 |
| 3 | 30 | 378 | 348.7500 | 69366.3906 | 855.5625 |
| 4 | 40 | 552 | 543.8333 | 7987.8906 | 66.6944 |
| 5 | 50 | 608 | 738.9167 | 1113.8906 | 17139.1736 |
| 6 | 60 | 1218 | 934.0000 | 332496.3906 | 80656.0000 |
| 7 | 70 | 831 | 1129.0833 | 35957.6406 | 88853.6736 |
| 8 | 80 | 1452 | 1324.6667 | 657112.8906 | 16341.3611 |
| $\Sigma$ | 360 | 5131 | 5131 | 1813945.875 | 215530.583 |

The standard deviation is given by

$$
S_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{S_{t}}{n-1}}
$$

where $S_{t}$ is the total sum of the squares of the residuals between the data points and the mean.

Hence

$$
S_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{1813945.875}{8-1}}=476.1746
$$

The standard error of the estimate is

$$
S_{y / x}=\sqrt{\frac{S_{r}}{n-2}}=\sqrt{\frac{215530.583}{8-2}}=164.1320
$$

Since $S_{y / x}<S_{y}$, the linear regression model has merit.
The coefficient of determination $r^{2}$ is given by Eq. (6.41)

$$
r^{2}=\frac{S_{t}-S_{r}}{S_{t}}=\frac{1813945.875-215530.583}{1813945.875}=0.8812
$$

or

$$
r=\sqrt{0.8812}=0.9387
$$

These results indicate that $93.87 \%$ of the original uncertainty has been explained by the linear model.

### 6.14 MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION

Consider a function $y$ which is a linear function of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as in

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x_{1}+c x_{2}+e \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6.42) is quite useful in fitting experimental data where variable being studied is often a function of two other variables. For this two-dimensional case, the regression line becomes a plane. The best values of the coefficients are obtained by formulating the sum of the squares of the residuals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{1, i}-c x_{2, i}\right)^{2} \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Eq. (6.43) with respect to each of the unknown coefficients, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial a}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{1, i}-c x_{2, i}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial b}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1, i}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{1, i}-c x_{2, i}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial c}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2, i}\left(y_{i}-a-b x_{1, i}-c x_{2, i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient giving the minimum sum of the squares of the residuals are obtained by setting the partial derivatives equal to zero and expressing the result in matrix form as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
n & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1, i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2, i}  \tag{6.44}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1, i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1, i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1, i} x_{2, i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2, i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1, i} x_{2, i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2, i}^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1, i} y_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2, i} y_{i}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Example E6.7

The following data was generated from the equation $y=7+3 x_{1}+4 x_{2}$. Use multiple linear regressions to fit this data.

| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| y | 7 | 18 | 17 | 22 | 39 | 32 | 25 | 23 |

## Solution:

Table E6.7(a)

| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1, \mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2, \mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1, \mathrm{i}}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1, \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{2, \mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2, \mathrm{i}}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2, \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1, \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 36 | 18 |
| 17 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 34 |
| 22 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 66 | 22 |
| 39 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 195 | 156 |
| 32 | 7 | 1 | 49 | 7 | 1 | 32 | 224 |
| 25 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 75 | 50 |
| 23 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 92 | 0 |
| $\Sigma$ | 183 | 17 | 19 | 75 | 40 | 65 | 513 |

The summations required for Eq. (6.44) are computed in Table E6.7(a) as shown above. Substituting these values in Eq. (6.44), we get

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
8 & 17 & 19 \\
17 & 75 & 40 \\
19 & 40 & 65
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
183 \\
504 \\
513
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which can be solved using MATLAB.
Refer to Appendix-C (Cramer's rule for solving a system of linear algebraic equations).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
8 & 17 & 19 \\
17 & 75 & 40 \\
19 & 40 & 65
\end{array}\right|=6180 \\
& D_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
183 & 17 & 19 \\
504 & 75 & 40 \\
513 & 40 & 65
\end{array}\right|=43260 \\
& D_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
8 & 183 & 19 \\
17 & 504 & 40 \\
19 & 513 & 65
\end{array}\right|=18540 \\
& D_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
8 & 17 & 183 \\
17 & 75 & 504 \\
19 & 40 & 513
\end{array}\right|=24720
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{D_{1}}{D}=\frac{43260}{6180}=7 \\
& b=\frac{D_{2}}{D}=\frac{18540}{6180}=3 \\
& c=\frac{D_{3}}{D}=\frac{24720}{6180}=4
\end{aligned}
$$

## MATLAB Program:

```
>>A =[[8 17 19; 17 75 40; 19 40 65];
>>B = [183; 504; 513];
    x = A/B
    x = 7;b=3 and c=4
    a = 7, b = 3, and c=4.
```

or
which is consistent with the original equation from which the data was derived.

### 6.15 WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES METHOD

Referring to the sections 6.3 and 6.4 and assigning weights $w_{i}$ to each error, $e_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ in Eq. (6.4) such that $\Sigma w_{i}=1$.

Equation (6.5) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\left[y_{i}-\left(a+b x_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $S_{r}$ to be a minimum, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial a}=0 \text { and } \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial b}=0 \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the normal equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
a+b \Sigma w_{i} x_{i} & =\Sigma w_{i} y_{i}  \tag{6.47}\\
a \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}+b \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{2} & =\Sigma w_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{6.48}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of Eqs. (6.47) and (6.48) gives the values for $a$ and $b$. These values give the minimum of $S_{r}$ with respect to the weight $w_{i}$.

Similarly, for a parabolic equation, we need to minimise

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\Sigma w_{i}\left[y_{i}-\left(a+b x_{i}+c x_{i}^{2}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Sigma w_{i}=1
$$

For $S_{r}$ to be minimum, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial a}=0, \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial b}=0 \text { and } \frac{\partial S_{r}}{\partial c}=0 \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

On simplification, we obtain the following normal equations to determine $a, b$ and $c$.

$$
\begin{align*}
a+b \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}+c \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{2} & =\Sigma w_{i} y_{i}  \tag{6.51}\\
a \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}+b \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{2}+c \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{3} & =\Sigma w_{i} x_{i} y_{i}  \tag{6.52}\\
a \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{2}+b \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{3}+c \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{4} & =\Sigma w_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{6.53}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.16 ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS AND LEAST SQUARES APPROXIMATION

The previous sections considered the problem of least squares approximation to fit a collections of data. This method is also applicable for continuous data.

### 6.17 LEAST SQUARES METHOD FOR CONTINUOUS DATA

Let $y=f(x)$ be a continuous function on $[a, b]$ and it is to be approximated by the $n^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n} \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the sum of the squares of residuals $S$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left(a_{0} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}\right)\right]^{2} d x \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(x)$ is a suitable weight function.
The necessary conditions for minimum $S$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial a_{0}}=\frac{\partial S}{\partial a_{1}}=\ldots=\frac{\partial S}{\partial a_{n}}=0 \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (6.56) gives the normal equations as

$$
\begin{gather*}
-2 \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}\right)\right] d x=0 \\
-2 \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}\right)\right] x d x=0 \\
-2 \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}\right)\right] x^{2} d x=0 \\
\vdots  \tag{6.57}\\
-2 \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}\right)\right] x^{n} d x=0
\end{gather*}
$$

After simplification these equations reduce to

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x+a_{1} \int_{a}^{b} x w(x) d x+\cdots+a_{n} \int_{a}^{b} x^{n} w(x) d x & =\int_{a}^{b} w(x) y d x \\
a_{0} \int_{a}^{b} x w(x) d x+a_{1} \int_{a}^{b} x^{2} w(x) d x+\cdots+a_{n} \int_{a}^{b} x^{n+1} w(x) d x & =\int_{a}^{b} w(x) x y d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{0} \int_{a}^{b} x^{2} w(x) d x+a_{1} \int_{a}^{b} x^{3} w(x) d x+\cdots+a_{n} \int_{a}^{b} x^{n+2} w(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} w(x) x^{2} y d x \\
\vdots  \tag{6.58}\\
\vdots \\
a_{0} \int_{a}^{b} x^{n} w(x) d x+a_{1} \int_{a}^{b} x^{n+1} w(x) d x+\cdots+a_{n} \int_{a}^{b} x^{2 n} w(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} w(x) x^{n} y d x
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $w(x)$ and $y=f(x)$ are known, Eq. (6.58) forms a system of linear equations with ( $n+1$ ) unknowns $a_{0}$, $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. This system of equations possesses a unique solution. If

$$
a_{0}=a_{0}^{*}, a_{1}=a_{1}^{*}, \ldots, a_{n}=a_{n}^{*}
$$

is the solution for $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ then the approximate polynomial is given by

$$
y=a_{0}^{*}+a_{1}^{*} x+a_{2}^{*} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{n}^{*} x^{n}
$$

## Example E6.8

Construct a least-squares quadrate approximation to the function $f(x)=\sin \pi x$ on $[0,1]$.

## Solution:

The normal equations for $P_{2}(x)=a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0} \int_{0}^{1} 1 d x+a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} x d x+a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} d x & =\int_{0}^{1} \sin \pi x d x  \tag{E.1}\\
a_{0} \int_{0}^{1} x d x+a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} d x+a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} d x & =\int_{0}^{1} x \sin \pi x d x  \tag{E.2}\\
a_{0} \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} d x+a_{1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} d x+a_{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{3} d x & =\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \sin \pi x d x \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Performing the integration gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}+\frac{1}{2} a_{1}+\frac{1}{3} a_{2}=\frac{2}{\pi}  \tag{E.4}\\
& \frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\frac{1}{3} a_{1}+\frac{1}{4} a_{2}=\frac{1}{\pi}  \tag{E.5}\\
& \frac{1}{3} a_{0}+\frac{1}{4} a_{1}+\frac{1}{5} a_{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}-4}{\pi^{3}} \tag{E.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (E.4), (E.5) and (E.6)in three unknowns can be solved to obtain

$$
a_{0}=\frac{12 \pi^{2}-120}{\pi^{3}} \approx-0.050465
$$

and $\quad a_{1}=-a_{2}=\frac{720-60 \pi^{2}}{\pi^{3}} \approx 4.12251$
Consequently, the least squares polynomial approximation of degree 2 for $f(x)=\sin \pi x$ on [0, 1] is $P_{2}(x)=-4.12251 x^{2}+4.12251 x-0.050465$.

### 6.18 APPROXIMATION USING ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

In section 6.19, a function is approximated as a polynomial containing the terms $1, x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}$. These terms are called base functions, since, any function or even discrete data are approximated based on these functions.

Here, we assume that the base functions are some orthogonal polynomials $f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n}(x)$. Let the given function be approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a_{0} f_{0}(x)+a_{1} f_{1}(x)+\cdots+a_{n} f_{n}(x) \tag{6.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}(x)$ is a polynomial in $x$ of degree $i$. Then the residue is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left\{a_{0} f_{0}(x)+a_{1} f_{1}(x)+\cdots+a_{n} f_{n}(x)\right\}\right]^{2} d x \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

For minimum $S$, the conditions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial a_{0}}=0, \frac{\partial S}{\partial a_{1}}=0, \ldots ., \frac{\partial S}{\partial a_{n}}=0 \tag{6.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (6.61) yields the following normal equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-2 \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left\{a_{0} f_{0}(x)+a_{1} f_{1}(x)+\cdots+a_{n} f_{n}(x)\right\}\right] f_{0}(x) d x=0 \\
-2 \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left\{a_{0} f_{0}(x)+a_{1} f_{1}(x)+\cdots+a_{n} f_{n}(x)\right\}\right] f_{1}(x) d x=0 \\
\vdots  \tag{6.62}\\
-2 \int_{a}^{b} w(x)\left[y-\left\{a_{0} f_{0}(x)+a_{1} f_{1}(x)+\cdots+a_{n} f_{n}(x)\right\}\right] f_{n}(x) d x=0
\end{gather*}
$$

After simplification, the $i^{\text {th }}$ equation can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) f_{0}(x) f_{i}(x) d x+a_{1} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) f_{1}(x) f_{i}(x) d x+\cdots \\
& +a_{i} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) f_{i}^{2}(x) d x+\cdots+a_{n} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) f_{n}(x) f_{i}(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} w(x) y f_{i}(x) d x  \tag{6.63}\\
& i=0,1,2, \ldots ., n .
\end{align*}
$$

A set of polynomial $\left\{f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x), \ldots, f_{n}(x)\right\}$ is said to be orthogonal with respect to the weight function $w(x)$ if

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f_{i}(x) f_{j}(x) w(x) d x= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i \neq j  \tag{6.64}\\ \int_{b}^{a} f_{i}^{2}(x) w(x) d x, & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

Using Eq. (6.64), Eq. (6.63) can be written as

$$
a_{i} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) f_{i}^{2}(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} w(x) f_{i}(x) d x \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Hence, $\quad a_{i}=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} w(x) y f_{i}(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} w(x) f_{i}^{2}(x) d x}, \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$
From Eq. (6.65), we can find the values of $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and the least squares approximation is obtained by substituting these values in Eq. (6.59). However, the functions $f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x), \ldots ., f_{n}(x)$ are unknown. Several orthogonal functions are available in literature. A few of them are given in Table 6.3.

Any one of the orthogonal functions can be selected to fit a function dependent on the given problem.
Table 6.3: Some standard orthogonal polynomials

| Name | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ | Interval | $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Legendre | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ | $[-1,1]$ | 1 |
| Leguerre | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ | $[0, \infty]$ | $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}}$ |
| Hermite | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ | $(-\infty, \infty)$ | $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}^{2}}$ |
| Chebyshev | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ | $[-1,1]$ | $\left(1-\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ |

### 6.19 GRAM-SCHMIDT ORTHOGONALISATION PROCESS

Let $f_{i}(x)$ be a polynomial in $x$ of degree $i$ and $\left\{f_{i}(x)\right\}$ be a given sequence of polynomials. Then the sequence of orthogonal polynomials $\left[f_{i}^{*}(x)\right]$ over the interval $[a, b]$ with respect to the weight function $w(x)$ can be generated by the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{*}(x)=x^{i}-\sum_{r=0}^{i-1} a_{i r} f_{r}^{*}(x) \quad i=1,2, \ldots ., n \tag{6.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants are $a_{i r}$, and $f_{0}^{*}(x)=1$.
To obtain $a_{i r}$, we multiply Eq. (6.75) with $w(x) f_{n}^{*}(x), 0 \leq k \leq i-1$ and integrating over [a, b], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f_{i}^{*}(x) f_{n}^{*}(x) w(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} x^{i} f_{n}^{*}(x) w(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} a_{i r} f_{r}^{*}(x) f_{n}^{*}(x) w(x) d x \tag{6.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the property of orthogonal polynomial, Eq.(6.67) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b} x^{i} f_{n}^{*}(x) w(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} a_{i n} f_{n}^{* 2}(x) w(x) d x=0 \\
& \text { or } \quad a_{i n}=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} x^{i} f_{n}^{*}(x) w(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} f_{n}^{* 2}(x) w(x) d x}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq i-1 \tag{6.68}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the set of orthogonal polynomials $\left\{f_{i}^{*}(x)\right\}$ are given by

$$
f_{0}^{*}(x)=1
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}^{*}(x) & =x^{i}-\sum_{r=0}^{i-1} a_{i r} f_{r}^{*}(x), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
a_{i r} & =\frac{\int_{a}^{b} x^{i} f_{n}^{*}(x) w(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} f_{n}^{* 2}(x) w(x) d x} \tag{6.69}
\end{align*}
$$

For the discrete data, the integral is replaced by summation.

## Example E6.9

Use Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process to find the first two orthogonal polynomials on [ $-1,1$ ] with respect to the weight function $w(x)=1$.

## Solution:

Let

$$
f_{0}^{*}(x)=1
$$

Hence

$$
f_{1}^{*}(x)=x-a_{10} f_{0}^{*}(x)
$$

where

$$
a_{10}=\frac{\int_{-1}^{1} x d x}{\int_{-1}^{1} d x}=0
$$

or

$$
f_{1}^{*}(x)=x
$$

The second orthogonal polynomial is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
f_{2}^{*}(x) & =x^{2}-a_{20} f_{0}^{*}(x)-a_{21} f_{1}^{*}(x) \\
a_{20} & =\frac{\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2} d x}{\int_{-1}^{1} d x}=\frac{1}{3} \\
a_{21} & =\frac{\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2} \cdot x d x}{\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2} d x}=0
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\quad f_{2}^{*}(x)=x^{2}-\frac{1}{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left(3 x^{2}-1\right)$
Thus, the first two orthogonal polynomials are

$$
f_{0}^{*}(x)=1, \quad f_{1}^{*}(x)=x \text { and } f_{2}^{*}(x)=\frac{1}{3}\left(3 x^{2}-1\right)
$$

### 6.20 ADDITIONAL EXAMPLE PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS

## Example E6.10

Determine the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=a e^{b x}$ for the data given in Table E6.10.

Table E6.10

| $x$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y$ | 115 | 105 | 95 | 85 | 80 |

## Solution:

Refer to Table E6.10(a).
Table E6.10(a)

| i | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\log \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \log \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 115 | 2.0607 | 1 | 2.0607 |
| 2 | 3 | 105 | 2.0212 | 9 | 6.0636 |
| 3 | 5 | 95 | 1.9777 | 25 | 9.8886 |
| 4 | 7 | 85 | 1.9294 | 49 | 13.5059 |
| 5 | 9 | 80 | 1.9031 | 81 | 17.1278 |
| $\Sigma$ | 25 | 480 | 9.8921 | 165 | 48.6466 |

Given $y=a e^{b x}$
The normal equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma \log y_{i} & =5 A+B \Sigma x_{i}  \tag{E.1}\\
\Sigma x_{i} \log y_{i} & =A \Sigma x_{i}+B \Sigma x_{i}^{2} \tag{E.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\log a$ and $B=b \log e$.
Solving the two normal Eqs.(E.1) and (E.2), we get

$$
A=2.0802 \text { and } B=-0.0203
$$

Hence $\quad a=$ antilog of $A=10^{2.0802}=120.2818$
and

$$
b=B / \log e=\frac{-0.0203}{\log e}=-0.0075
$$

Hence, the fitted equation is $y=120.2818 e^{-0.0075 x}$.

## Example E6.11

For the data given in Table E6.11, find the equation to $b$ est fitting curve of the form $y=a b^{x}$.
Table E6.11

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 130 | 150 | 175 | 190 | 240 |

## Solution:

The calculations are shown in Table E6.11(a).
Table E6.11(a)

|  | x | y | $\log \mathrm{y}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{x} \log \mathrm{y}$ | $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ (estimated) |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 130 | 2.1139 | 1 | 2.1139 | 129.2062 |
|  | 2 | 150 | 2.1761 | 4 | 4.3522 | 149.5433 |
|  | 3 | 175 | 2.2430 | 9 | 6.7291 | 173.0814 |
|  | 4 | 190 | 2.2788 | 16 | 9.1150 | 200.3144 |
|  | 5 | 240 | 2.3802 | 25 | 11.9011 | 231.8555 |
| $\Sigma$ | 15 | 885 | 11.192 | 55 | 34.2113 | 884.0108 |

The normal equations are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a b^{x} \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking logarithms (base 10) on both sides of the above Eq.(E.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\log y & =\log a+x \log b  \tag{E.2}\\
Y & =A+B x \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

or
where $\quad Y=\log y, A=\log a$ and $B=\log b$.
Hence, the normal equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma Y & =n A+B \Sigma x  \tag{E.4}\\
\Sigma x Y & =A \Sigma x+B \Sigma x^{2} \tag{E.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the values from Table E6.9(a) into Eqs.(E.4) and (E.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 11.1920=5 A+15 B  \tag{E.6}\\
& 34.2113=15 A+55 B \tag{E.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving Eqs.(E.6) and (E.7), we obtain

$$
A=2.0478 \text { and } B=0.0635
$$

Hence $\quad a=$ antilog of $A=10^{2.0478}=111.6349$
$b=$ antilog of $B=10^{0.0635}=1.1574$
Hence the fitted equation is $y=111.6349(1.1574)^{x}$. The estimated values of $y$ (denoted by $\hat{y}$ ) are shown in the last column of Table E6.9(a).

## Example E6.12

For the data given in Table E6.12, find the equation to best fitting curve of the form $x y^{a}=b$.
Table E6.12

| x | 200 | 150 | 100 | 60 | 40 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 1 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 6.5 |

## Solution:

See Table E6.12(a).
Table E6.12(a)

|  | x | y | $\log \mathrm{x}$ | $\log \mathrm{y}$ | $(\log \mathrm{x})^{2}$ | $(\log \mathrm{x})(\log \mathrm{y})$ | $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$ (estimated) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 200 | 1 | 2.3010 | 0 | 5.2947 | 0 | 1.1762 |
|  | 150 | 1.5 | 2.1761 | 0.1761 | 4.7354 | 0.3832 | 1.4040 |
|  | 100 | 1.8 | 2.0000 | 0.2553 | 4.0000 | 0.5105 | 1.8019 |
|  | 60 | 2.4 | 1.7782 | 0.3802 | 3.1618 | 0.6761 | 2.4675 |
|  | 40 | 4.1 | 1.6021 | 0.6128 | 2.5666 | 0.9817 | 3.1668 |
|  | 10 | 6.5 | 1.0000 | 0.8129 | 1.0000 | 0.8129 | 7.4322 |
| $\Sigma$ | 560 | 17.3 | 10.8573 | 2.2373 | 20.7585 | 3.3644 | 17.4485 |

Given $\quad x y^{a}=b$
Taking logarithms (to the base 10) on both sides of the above Eq.(E.1), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log x+a \log y=\log b  \tag{E.2}\\
\frac{1}{a} \log x+\log y-\frac{\log b}{a} \tag{E.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The normal equations are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma Y & =6 A+B \Sigma X  \tag{E.4}\\
\Sigma X Y & =A \Sigma X+B \Sigma X^{2} \tag{E.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad Y=\log y, X=\log x, A=\frac{1}{a} \log b$ and $B=-1 / a$
Solving Eqs. (E.4) and (E.5), we obtain

$$
A=1.4865 \text { and } B=-0.6154
$$

Therefore

$$
a=-1 / B=-1 /-0.6154=1.6250
$$

and

$$
b=\text { antilog of }(a A)=10^{(1.6250)(1.4865)}=260.3529
$$

Hence, the fitted equation is $x y^{1.6250}=260.3529$.

## Example E6.13

Fit the following data:

| $x$ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y$ | 11 | 16 | 19 | 26 |

to a straight line by considering that the data $(2,16)$ and $(4,19)$ are more significant or reliable with weights 6 and 11 respectively.

## Solution:

Weighted Least Squares Method.
Let the straight line be $y=a+b x$. The normal equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
a \Sigma w_{i}+b \Sigma w_{i} x_{i} & =\Sigma w_{i} y_{i}  \tag{E.1}\\
\text { and } \quad a \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}+b \Sigma w_{i} x_{i}^{2} & =\Sigma w_{i} x_{i} y_{i} \tag{E.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The values in Eqs. (E.1) and (E.2) are calculated as shown in Table E6.13.
Table E6.13

| x | y | w | wx | wx | Wy | Wxy |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 2 | 16 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 96 | 192 |
| 4 | 19 | 11 | 44 | 176 | 209 | 836 |
| 6 | 26 | 1 | 6 | 36 | 26 | 156 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The normal equations are

$$
\text { and } \begin{align*}
19 a+62 b & =342  \tag{E.3}\\
62 a+236 b & =1184 \tag{E.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution of Eqs. (E.3) and (E.4) gives

$$
a=11.4125 \text { and } b=2.0188
$$

Hence,

$$
y=11.4125+2.0188 x
$$

Estimation of Error

| x | y | w | Predicted y | Absolute error | (Absolute error) $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11 | 1 | 11.4125 | 0.4125 | 0.1702 |
| 2 | 16 | 6 | 15.4500 | 0.5500 | 0.3025 |
| 4 | 19 | 11 | 19.4875 | 0.4875 | 0.2377 |
| 6 | 26 | 1 | 23.5250 | 2.4750 | 6.1256 |
| 而 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example E6.14

Consider the Example E6.14 with the modified weights 300 and 50 instead of 6 and 11 .

## Solution:

The modified calculations are shown in Table E6.14
Table E6.14

| x | y | w | wx | $\mathrm{wx}^{2}$ | Wy | Wxy |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 2 | 16 | 30 | 60 | 120 | 480 | 960 |
| 4 | 19 | 50 | 200 | 800 | 950 | 3800 |
| 6 | 26 | 1 | 6 | 36 | 26 | 156 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The normal equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
82 a+266 b=1467 \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad 266 a+956 b=4916$
The solution of Eqs. (E.1) and (E.2) gives

$$
a=12.4144 \text { and } b=1.6881
$$

Hence, $y=12.4144+1.6881 x$

Estimation of Error

| x | y | w | Predicted y | Absolute error | (Absolute error) $^{2}$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11 | 1 | 12.4144 | 1.4144 | 2.0004 |
| 2 | 16 | 30 | 15.7905 | 0.2096 | 0.0439 |
| 4 | 19 | 50 | 19.1666 | 0.1666 | 0.0277 |
| 6 | 26 | 1 | 22.5427 | 3.4573 | 11.9530 |
| Sum of squares of errors |  |  |  |  | 14.0250 |

It is noted that when the weights on $x=2$ and $x=4$ are increased then the absolute error in $y$ are reduced at these points, but, the sum of squares of errors is increased due to the less importance of the data $(0,11)$ and (6, 26).

### 6.21 SUMMARY

In this chapter, we have reviewed the relationship between two variables in two ways: (1) by using the regression analysis and (2) by computing the correlation coefficient. It was shown that the regression model can be used to evaluate the magnitude of change in one variable due to a certain change in another variable. The regression model also helps to predict the value of one variable for a given value of another variable. The correlation coefficient shows how strongly two variables are related. It does not, however, provide any information about the size of change in one variable as a result of a certain change in the other variable.

## Problems

6.1 Table P6.1 gives information on the monthly incomes (in hundreds of dollars) and monthly telephone bills (in dollars) for a random sample of 10 households.

Table P6.1

| Income | 16 | 45 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 14 | 40 | 15 | 36 | 40 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Telephone bill | 36 | 140 | 171 | 70 | 94 | 25 | 159 | 41 | 78 | 98 |

Use least-squares regression to determine the coefficients $a$ and $b$ in the function $y=a+b x$ that best fits the data.
6.2 The following Table P6.2 lists the annual incomes (in thousands of dollars) and amounts of life insurance (in thousands of dollars) of life insurance policies for six persons:

Table P6.2

| Annual income | 47 | 54 | 26 | 38 | 62 | 20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Life insurance | 250 | 300 | 100 | 150 | 500 | 75 |

(a) find the regression line $y=a+b x$ with annual income as an independent variable and amount of life insurance policy as a dependent variable.
(b) determine the estimated value of life insurance of a person with an annual income of $\$ 50,000$.
6.3 Find the least squares regression line for the data on annual incomes and food expenditures of seven households given in Table P6.3. Use income as an independent variable and food expenditure as a dependent variable. All data is given in thousands of dollars.

Table P6.3

| Income: x | 35 | 50 | 22 | 40 | 16 | 30 | 25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Expenditure: y | 9 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 9 |

6.4 Table P6.4 gives data on age and crown-rump length for the foetuses. Use least-squares regression to determine the coefficients $a$ and $b$ in the function $y=a+b x$ that best fits the data:

Table P6.4

| x | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 28 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 66 | 66 | 108 | 106 | 160 | 165 | 176 | 227 | 234 | 279 |

6.5 The following data in Table P6.5 refers to the number of hours that 10 students studied for a math test and their scores on the test:

Table P6.5

| Hours studied | 1 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Test score | 21 | 83 | 90 | 60 | 45 | 38 | 74 | 66 | 59 | 32 |

(a) find the equation of the least squares line that approximates the regression of the test scores on the number of hours studied.
(b) determine the average test score of a person who studied 15 hours for the test.
6.6 The following Table P6.6 shows the first two grades, denoted by $x$ and $y$ respectively, of 10 students on two mid-term examinations in applied statistics. Find the least squares regression line of $y$ on $x$.

Table P6. 6

| Grade on first mid-term examination (x) | 60 | 50 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 100 | 40 | 90 | 70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade on second mid-term examination (y) | 80 | 70 | 70 | 90 | 50 | 80 | 95 | 60 | 80 | 60 |

6.7 The following Table P6.7 shows ages $x$ and systolic blood pressure $y$ of 12 men.
(a) determine the least squares regression equation of $y$ on $x$
(b) estimate the blood pressure of a man whose age is 45 years.

Table P6.7

| Age (x) | 56 | 42 | 72 | 36 | 63 | 47 | 55 | 49 | 38 | 42 | 68 | 60 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Blood pressure (y) | 147 | 125 | 160 | 118 | 149 | 128 | 150 | 145 | 115 | 140 | 152 | 155 |

6.8 Table P6.8 shows the respective weight $x$ and $y$ of a sample of 12 fathers and their oldest sons. Find the least squares regression line of $y$ on $x$.

Table P6.8

| Weight of father, $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{kg})$ | 65 | 63 | 67 | 64 | 68 | 62 | 70 | 66 | 68 | 67 | 69 | 71 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weight of son, $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{kg})$ | 68 | 66 | 68 | 65 | 69 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 70 | 67 | 68 | 70 |

6.9 Find the least squares regression line for the data on annual incomes and food-expenditures of seven households given in Table P6.9. Use income as independent variable and food expenditure as a dependent variable. The income and food-expenditures are in thousands of rupees.

## Table P6.9

| Income x | 35 | 49 | 21 | 29 | 15 | 28 | 25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Food expenditure y | 9 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 8.5 |

6.10 A car manufacturing company wanted to investigate how the price of one of its car models depreciates with age. The company took a sample of eight cars of this model and collected the following information on the ages (in years) and prices (in hundreds of dollars) of these cars as shown in Table P6.10.

## Table P6.10

| Age | 8 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Price | 16 | 74 | 40 | 19 | 120 | 36 | 33 | 86 |

(a) find the regression line $\hat{y}=a+b x$ with price as a dependent variable and age as independent variable
(b) give a brief interpretation of the values of $a$ and $b$ calculated in part (a)
(c) predict the price of a 7-year old car of this model
(d) estimate the price of an 4-year old car of this model.

For problems P6.11 to P6.20 do the following:
Fit a least-squares regression line of the form $\hat{y}=a+b x$ for the data given in Tables P6.1 to P6.10 respectively. Assume $x$ as the independent variable and $y$ as the dependent variable.
(a) give a brief interpretation of the values of $a$ and $b$ calculated in $\hat{y}=a+b x$.
(b) compute the standard deviation of the sample errors, $s_{e}$.
(c) compute the error sum of squares, SSE.
(d) compute the total sum of squares, SST.
(e) compute the regression sum of squares, SSR.
$(f)$ compute the coefficient of determination, $r^{2}$.
$(g)$ compute the correlation coefficient, $r$.
6.11 For the data given in Table P6.1.
6.12 For the data given in Table P6.2.
6.13 For the data given in Table P6.3.
6.14 For the data given in Table P6.4.
6.15 For the data given in Table P6.5.
6.16 For the data given in Table P6.6.
6.17 For the data given in Table P6.7.
6.18 For the data given in Table P6.8.
6.19 For the data given in Table P6.9.
6.20 For the data given in Table P6.10.
6.21 Fit $y=b x^{m}$ (power function) in Problem 6.1 using a logarithmic transformation.
6.22 Fit $y=b x^{m}$ (power function) to the data in Problem 6.2 using a logarithmic transformation.
6.23 Fit $y=b x^{m}$ (power function) to the data in Problem 6.3 using a logarithmic transformation.
6.24 Fit $y=b x^{\mathrm{m}}$ (power function) to the data in Problem 6.4 using a logarithmic transformation.
6.25 Fit $y=b x^{m}$ (power function) to the data in Problem 6.5 using a logarithmic transformation.
6.26 Determine the coefficient of the polynomial $y=a+b x+c x^{2}$ that best fit the data given in the following table.

| x | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| y | 2.1 | 5.1 | 5.45 | 6.12 | 6.62 |

Determine the standard error of the estimate and correlation coefficient.
6.27 The following data were collected in an experiment to study the relationship between shear strength in $\mathrm{kPa}(y)$ and curing temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(x)$.

| x | 1.38 | 1.40 | 1.46 | 1.48 | 1.52 | 1.53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 5.392 | 5.612 | 5.671 | 5.142 | 4.481 | 4.129 |

(a) fit a least-squares quadratic model of the form $y=a+b x+c x^{2}$ to the above data
(b) using the equation, compute the residuals.
(c) compute the error sum of squares and total sum of squares.
(d) compute the error variance estimate.
(e) compute the coefficient of determination.
6.28 The following data were collected in an experiment to study the relationship between the number of kilograms of fertiliser ( $x$ ) and the yield of tomatoes in bushels $(y)$.

| $x$ | 5 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y$ | 32 | 42 | 54 | 50 | 42 |

(a) fit a least-squares quadratic model of the form $y=a+b x+c x^{2}$ to the above data.
(b) using this equation, compute the regression sum of squares $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$.
(c) compute the error sum of squares $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}$ and total sum of squares $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$.
(d) compute the error variance estimate $(b)+(c)$.
(e) compute the coefficient of determination, $r^{2}$.
6.29 Fit a least-square parabola $y=a+b x+c^{2}$ to the following data:

| x | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 9.3 | 14.6 | 21.9 |

Determine the coefficient of determination.
6.30 The following table gives the data collected in an experiment to study the relationship between the stopping distance $d(m)_{\text {_ }}$ of an automobile travelling at speeds $v(\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr})$ at the instant the danger is sighted.
(a) fit a least-squares parabola of the form $d=a+b v+c v^{2}$ to the data
(b) determine the coefficient of determination.

| Speed v(km/hr) | 32 | 48 | 64 | 80 | 96 | 112 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Stopping distance d(m) | 16.5 | 27.5 | 19.5 | 24.5 | 29.3 | 34.2 |

6.31 Use multiple linear regression fit of the form $y=a+b x_{1}+c x_{2}$ for the following data:

| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| y | 15 | 18 | 12.8 | 25.7 | 20.4 | 35 | 30 | 45.3 | 40.1 |

Compute the coefficients, the standard error of the estimate, and the correlation coefficient.
6.32 Use multiple linear regression fit of the form $y=a+b x_{1}+c x_{2}$ for the following data:

| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | -1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | -1 |
| y | 1 | 6 | 4 | -4 | -2 | -1.5 | -12 | -15 | 17 |

Compute the coefficients, the standard error of estimate and the correlation coefficient.
6.33 Use multiple linear regression fit of the form $y=a+b x_{1}+c x_{2}$ for the following data:

| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| y | 3 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 13 | 26 | 27 | 24 |

Compute the coefficients, the standard error of estimate and the correlation coefficient.
6.34 Use multiple linear regression fit of the form $y=a+b x_{1}+c x_{2}$ for the following data:

| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| y | 23 | 15 | 19 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 5 | -10 | 0 |

Compute the coefficients, the standard error of estimate and the correlation coefficient.
6.35 Use multiple linear regression fit of the form $y=a+b x_{1}+c x_{2}$ for the following data:

| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| y | 29 | 10 | 23 | 4 | 19 | -10 | -16 | -2 | -36 | -8 |

Compute the coefficients, the standard error of estimate and the correlation coefficient.
6.36 For the data given in Table P6.36, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=a e^{b x}$.

Table P6.36

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 100 | 90 | 80 | 75 | 70 |

6.37 For the data given in Table P6.37, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=a e^{b x}$.

Table P6.37

| $x$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | 3.8 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 9.8 |

6.38 For the data given in Table P6.38, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=a e^{b x}$.

Table P6.38

| x | 2.2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 31 | 38 | 45 | 68 | 84 |

6.39 For the data given in Table P6.39, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=a b^{x}$.

## Table P6.39

| x | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| y | 22 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0.35 |

6.40 For the data given in Table P6.40, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=a b^{x}$.

Table P6.40

| x | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 3 | 13 | 32 | 57 | 91 |

6.41 For the data given in Table P6.41, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=a b^{x}$.

## Table P6.41

| x | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 3 | 2 | 1.3 | 0.72 | 0.43 |

6.42 For the data given in Table P6.42, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=x y^{a}=b$.

Table P6.42

| x | 190 | 134 | 89 | 55 | 37 | 8.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 0.97 | 1.14 | 1.32 | 1.63 | 1.92 | 3.5 |

6.43 For the data given in Table P6.43, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=x y^{a}=b$.

## Table P6.43

| x | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| y | 1.25 | 1.21 | 1.16 | 1.14 | 1.11 | 1.10 |

6.44 For the data given in Table P6.44, find the equation to the best fitting exponential curve of the form $y=x y^{a}=b$.

Table P6.44

| x | 232 | 178 | 99 | 66 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.5 |

6.45 Find a non-linear relationship of the form $y=a+b \log x$ for the data given in Table P6.45. Determine the linear correlation coefficient.

Table P6.45

| x | 1.2 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| y | 0.6 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 10 |

6.46 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights as given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 1 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 21 | 83 | 90 | 60 | 45 | 38 | 74 | 66 | 59 | 32 |
| w | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 |

6.47 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights as given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 16 | 45 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 14 | 40 | 15 | 36 | 40 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 50 | 134 | 107 | 95 | 90 | 44 | 120 | 47 | 110 | 120 |
| w | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 16 |

6.48 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights as given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 47 | 54 | 26 | 38 | 62 | 20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 250 | 300 | 100 | 150 | 500 | 75 |
| w | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |

6.49 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 35 | 50 | 22 | 40 | 16 | 30 | 25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 9 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| w | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |

6.50 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 25 | 28 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 66 | 66 | 108 | 106 | 160 | 165 | 176 | 227 | 234 | 279 |
| w | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 |

6.51 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 1 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 21 | 83 | 90 | 60 | 45 | 38 | 74 | 66 | 59 | 32 |
| w | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 |

6.52 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 1 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 7 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 21 | 83 | 90 | 60 | 45 | 38 |
| w | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 8 |

6.53 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 16 | 45 | 35 | 31 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 50 | 134 | 107 | 95 | 90 |
| w | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

6.54 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 47 | 54 | 26 | 38 | 62 | 20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 250 | 300 | 100 | 150 | 500 | 75 |
| w | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 |

6.55 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 35 | 50 | 22 | 40 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 9 | 15 | 6 | 11 |
| w | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 |

6.56 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 18 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 66 | 66 | 108 | 106 | 160 |
| w | 5 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 4 |

6.57 Fit the following data to a straight line $y=a+b x$ by considering the weights given in the table. Compute the sum of squares of errors.

| x | 1 | 17 | 22 | 12 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| y | 21 | 75 | 96 | 60 | 45 |
| w | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

6.58 Construct a least-squares quadratic approximation to the function $y=e^{x}$ on $[0,1]$.
6.59 Construct a least-squares quadratic approximation to the function $y=x \ln x$ on [1, 3].
6.60 Construct a least-squares quadratic approximation to the function $y=x^{3}$ on $[0,2]$.
6.61 Construct a least-squares quadratic approximation to the function $y=\frac{1}{x}$ on [1, 3].
6.62 Construct a least-squares quadratic approximation to the function $y=x^{2}+3 x+2$ on [0, 1].
6.63 Use the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process to construct $\phi_{0}(x), \phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x)$ and $\phi_{3}(x)$ for the interval [0, 1].
6.64 Use the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process to construct $\phi_{0}(x), \phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x)$ and $\phi_{3}(x)$ for the interval [0, 2].
6.65 Use the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process to construct $\phi_{0}(x), \phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x)$ and $\phi_{3}(x)$ for the interval [1, 3].

$$
\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc
$$
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## Numerical Integration

### 7.1 INTRODUCTION

If $F(x)$ is a differentiable function whose derivative is $f(x)$, then we can evaluate the definite integral $I$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=F(b)-F(a), F^{\prime}(x)=f(x) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7.1) is known as the fundamental theorem of calculus. Most integrals can be evaluated by the formula given by Eq. (7.1) and there exists many techniques for making such evaluations. However, in many applications in science and engineering, most integrals cannot be evaluated because most integrals do not have anti-derivatives $F(x)$ expressible in terms of elementary functions.

In other circumferences, the integrands could be empirical functions given by certain measured values. In all these instances, we need to resort to numerical methods of integration. It should be noted here that, sometimes, it is difficult to evaluate the integral by analytical methods. Numerical integration (or numerical quadrature, as it is sometimes called) is an alternative approach to solve such problems. As in other numerical techniques, it often results in approximate solution. The integration can be performed on a continuous function or a set of data.

The integration given by Eq. (7.1) is shown in Fig. 7.1. The integration shown in Fig. 7.1 is called closed since the function values at the two points $(a, b)$ where the limits of integration are located are used to find the integral. In open integration, information on the function at one or both limits of integration is not required.


Fig. 7.1

The range of integration $(b-a)$ is divided into a finite number of intervals in numerical integration. The integration techniques consisting of equal intervals are based on formulas known as Newton-Cotes closed quadrature formulas.

In this chapter, we present the following methods of integration with illustrative examples:

1. Trapezoidal rule.
2. Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule.
3. Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule.
4. Boole's and Weddle's rules.

### 7.1.1 Relative Error

Suppose we are required to evaluate the definite integral

$$
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

In numerical integration, we approximate $f(x)$ by a polynomial $f(x)$ of suitable degree. Then, we integrate $f(x)$ within the limits $(a, b)$. That is,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \cong \int_{a}^{b} \phi(x) d x
$$

Here the exact value if

$$
I=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

Approximate value $=\int_{a}^{b} \phi(x) d x$
The difference $\left[\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \phi(x) d x\right]$
is called the error of approximation and

$$
\frac{\left[\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \phi(x) d x\right]}{\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x}
$$

is called the relative error of approximation.
Hence, relative error of approximation $=\frac{\text { exact values }- \text { approximate value }}{\text { exact value }}$

### 7.2 NEWTON-COTES CLOSED QUADRATURE FORMULA

The general form of the problem of numerical integration may be stated as follows:
Given a set of data points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ of a function $y=f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is not explicitly known. Here, we are required to evaluate the definite integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} y d x \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we replace $y=f(x)$ by an interpolating polynomial $\phi(x)$ in order to obtain an approximate value of the definite integral of Eq.(7.2).

In what follows, we derive a general formula for numerical integration by using Newton's forward difference formula. Here, we assume the interval $(a, b)$ is divided into $n$-equal subintervals such that

$$
h=\frac{b-a}{n}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=x_{0}<x_{2}<x_{3} \cdots \cdot<x_{n}=b \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
x_{n}=x_{0}+n h
$$

where $\quad h=$ the internal size
$n=$ the number of subintervals
$a$ and $b=$ the limits of integration with $b>a$.
Hence, the integral in Eq.(7.2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{X_{0}}^{x_{n}} y d x \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Newton's forward interpolation formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}}\left[y_{0}+p \Delta y_{0}+\frac{p(p-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{3!} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots \cdot\right] d x \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =x_{0}+p h \\
& =h \int_{0}^{n}\left[y_{0}+p \Delta y_{0}+\frac{p^{2}-p}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{p^{3}-3 p^{2}+2 p}{6} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots \cdot\right] d p \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, after simplification, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} y d n=n h\left[y_{0}+\frac{n}{2} \Delta y_{0}+\frac{n(2 n-3)}{12} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{n(n-2)^{2}}{24} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\cdots\right] \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula given by Eq.(7.7) is known as Newton-Cotes closed quadrature formula. From the general formula (Eq.(7.7)), we can derive or deduce different integration formulae by substituting $n=1,2,3, \ldots$, etc.

### 7.3 TRAPEZOIDAL RULE

In this method, the known function values are joined by straight lines. The area enclosed by these lines between the given end points is computed to approximate the integral as shown in Fig. 7.2.


Fig. 7.2
Each subinterval with the line approximation for the function forms a trapezoid as shown in Fig. 7.2. The area of each trapezoid is computed by multiplying the interval size $h$ by the average value of the function value in that subinterval. After the individual trapezoidal areas are obtained, they are all added to obtain the overall approximation to the integral.

Substituting $n=1$ in Eq.(7.7) and considering the curve $y=f(x)$ through the points ( $x_{0}, y_{0}$ ) and ( $x_{1}, y_{1}$ ) as a straight line (a polynomial of first degree so that the differences of order higher than first become zero), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y d x=h\left[y_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \Delta y_{0}\right]=\frac{h}{2}\left[y_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}-y_{0}\right)\right]=\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{0}+y_{1}\right) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2}=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y d x=\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \\
& I_{3}=\int_{x_{2}}^{x_{3}} y d x=\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{2}+y_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on. (see Fig.7.3)
In general, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_{n}} y d x=\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{n-1}+y_{n}\right) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 7.3

Adding all the integrals (Eq.(7.8), Eq.(7.9)) and using the interval additive property of the definite integrals, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} y d x=\frac{h}{2}\left[y_{0}+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+\cdots+y_{n-1}\right)+y_{n}\right]=\frac{h}{2}[X+2 I] \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad X=$ sum of the end points
$I=$ sum of the intermediate ordinates.
Equation (7.10) is known as the trapezoidal rule.
Summarising, the trapezoidal rule signifies that the curve $y=f(x)$ is replaced by $n$-straight lines joining the points $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), i=0,1,2,3, \ldots, n$. The area bounded by the curve $y=f(x)$, the ordinates $x=x_{0}, x=x_{n}$ and the $x$-axis is then approximately equivalent to the sum of the areas of the $n$-trapezoids so obtained.

### 7.3.1 Error Estimate in Trapezoidal Rule

Let $y=f(x)$ be a continuous function with continuous derivatives in the interval $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$. Expanding $y$ in a Taylor's series around $x=x_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[y_{0}+\left(x-x_{0}\right) y_{0}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{2!}\right)^{2} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots\right] d x \\
h y_{0}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{3}}{6} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{24} y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\cdots \tag{7.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Likewise, $\quad \frac{h}{2}\left(y_{0}+y_{1}\right)=\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{0}+y\left(x_{0}+h\right)\right)=\frac{h}{2}\left[y_{0}+y+h y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots.\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=h y_{0}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{4} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{12} y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\cdots \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the error $e_{1}$ in $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ is obtained from Eqs. (7.11) and (7.12) as

$$
e_{1}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y d x-\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{0}+y_{1}\right)=\frac{-1}{12} h^{3} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots
$$

In a similar way, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{2}=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} y d x-\frac{h}{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=\frac{-1}{12} h^{3} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots \\
& e_{3}=\frac{-1}{12} h^{3} y_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots \\
& e_{4}=\frac{-1}{12} h^{3} y_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots \tag{7.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on.

In general, we can write

$$
e_{n}=\frac{-1}{12} h^{3} y_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots
$$

Hence, the total error $E$ in the interval $\left(x_{0}, x_{n}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sum_{n=1}^{n} e_{n}=\frac{-h^{3}}{12}\left[y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+y_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\cdots \cdot+y_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x})$ is the largest value of the $n$ quantities in the right hand side of Eq.(7.14), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{-1}{12} h^{3} n y^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x})=-\frac{(b-a)}{12} h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x}) \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $h=\frac{b-a}{n}$, the total error in the evaluation of the integral of Eq.(7.2) by the trapezoidal rule is of the order of $h^{2}$.

## Example E7.1

Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{1.2} e^{x} d x$, taking six intervals by using trapezoidal rule up to three significant figures.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=0, b=1.2, n=6 \\
& \qquad h=\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{1.2-0}{6}=0.2
\end{aligned}
$$

| $x$ | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 0 | 1.221 | 1.492 | 1.822 | 2.226 | 2.718 | 3.320 |
| $y_{0}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | $y_{3}$ | $y_{4}$ | $y_{5}$ | $y_{6}$ |  |

The trapezoidal rule can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{0.2}{2}[(1+3.320)+2(1.221+1.492+1.822+2.226+2.718)] \\
& I=2.3278 \approx 2.328
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact value is $=\int_{0}^{1.2} e^{x} d x=2.320$.

## Example E7.2

Evaluate $\int_{0}^{12} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ by using trapezoidal rule, taking $n=6$, correct to give significant figures.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} \\
a & =0, b=12 \\
h & =\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{12-0}{6}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

| $x$ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{1}{17}$ | $\frac{1}{37}$ | $\frac{1}{65}$ | $\frac{1}{101}$ | $\frac{1}{145}$ |
| $y$ | 1.00000 <br> $y_{0}$ | 0.20000 <br> $y_{1}$ | 0.05882 <br> $y_{2}$ | 0.02703 <br> $y_{3}$ | 0.01538 <br> $y_{4}$ | 0.00990 <br> $y_{5}$ | 0.00690 <br> $y_{6}$ |

The trapezoidal rule can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{2}{2}[(1+0.00690)+2(0.2+0.05882+0.02703+0.01538+0.00990)] \\
& I=1.62916 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact value is

$$
\int_{0}^{12} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x=\left.\tan ^{-1} x\right|_{0} ^{12}=1.48766
$$

## Example E7.3

Evaluate $\int_{2}^{6} \log _{10} x d x$ by using trapezoidal rule, taking $n=8$, correct to five decimal places.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)=\log _{10} x \\
a=2, b=6, n=8 \\
h=\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{6-2}{8}=\frac{1}{2}=0.5
\end{gathered}
$$

| x | 2 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0.30103 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | 0.39794 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 0.47712 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 0.54407 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 0.60206 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 0.65321 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 0.69897 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ | 0.74036 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{7}$ | 0.77815 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{8}$ |

The trapzoidal rule is

$$
I=\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{8}\right)+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+y_{5}+y_{6}+y_{7}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \frac{0.5}{2}[(0.30103+0.77815)+2(0.39794+0.47712+0.54407+0.60206+0.65321 \\
& +0.69897+0.74036+0.77815)] \\
I & =2.32666
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact value is given by

$$
\int_{2}^{6} \log _{10} x d x=[x \log x-x]_{2}^{6}=6.06685
$$

### 7.4 SIMPSON'S 1/3 RULE

In Simpson's rule, the function is approximated by a second degree polynomial between successive points. Since a second degree polynomial contains three constants, it is necessary to know three consecutive function values forming two intervals as shown in Fig. 7.4.


Fig. 7.4
Consider three equally spaced points $x_{0}, x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. Since the data are equally spaced, let $h=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$ (see Fig.7.5).


Fig. 7.5
Substituting $n=2$ in Eq. (7.7) and taking the curve through the points $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ as a polynomial of second degree (parabola) so that the differences of order higher than two vanish, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y d x=2 h\left[y_{0}+4 y_{0}+\frac{1}{6} \Delta^{2} y_{0}\right]=\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{0}+4 y_{1}+y_{2}\right] \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
I_{2}=\int_{x_{2}}^{x_{4}} y d x=\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{2}+4 y_{3}+y_{4}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}=\int_{x_{4}}^{x_{6}} y d x=\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{4}+4 y_{5}+y_{6}\right] \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.
In general, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\int_{x_{2 n-2}}^{x_{2 n}} y d x=\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{2 n-2}+4 y_{2 n-1}+y_{2 n}\right] \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up all the above integrals, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} y d x=\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{0}+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+\cdots+y_{2 n-1}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{6}+\cdots+y_{2 n-2}\right)+y_{2 n}\right] \\
& =\frac{h}{3}[X+40+2 E] \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad X=$ sum of end ordinates
$O=$ sum of odd ordinates
$E=$ sum of even ordinates
Equation (7.19) is known as Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule. Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule requires the whole range (the given interval) must be divided into even number of equal subintervals.

### 7.4.1 Error Estimate in Simpson's $1 / 3$ Rule

Expanding $y=f(x)$ around $x=x_{0}$ by Taylor's series, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} y d x & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+2 h}\left[y_{0}+\left(x-x_{0}\right) y_{0}^{i}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{i i}+\cdots .\right] d x \\
& =2 h y_{0}+\frac{4 h^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{i}+\frac{8 h^{3}}{3!} y_{0}^{i i}+\frac{16 h^{4}}{4!} y_{0}^{i i i}+\frac{32 h^{5}}{5!} y_{0}^{i v}+\cdots . \\
& =2 h y_{0}+2 h^{2} y_{0}^{i}+\frac{4}{3} h^{3} y_{0}^{i i}+\frac{2 h^{4}}{3} y_{0}^{i i i}+\frac{4}{15} y_{0}^{i v}+\cdots \tag{7.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{0}+4 y_{1}+y_{2}\right] & =\frac{h}{3}\left[y_{0}+4\left(y_{0}+h y_{0}^{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{i i}+\cdots\right)+\left(y_{0}+h y_{0}^{i}+\frac{4 h^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{i i}+\frac{8 h^{3}}{3!} y_{0}^{i i i}+\cdots .\right]\right. \\
& =2 h y_{0}+2 h^{2} y_{0}^{i}+4 \frac{h^{3}}{3} y_{0}^{i i}+\frac{2 h^{4}}{3} y_{0}^{i i i}+\frac{5 h^{5}}{18} y_{0}^{i v}+\cdots \tag{7.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from Eqs. (7.20) and (7.21), the error in the subinterval $\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} y d x-\frac{h}{3}\left(y_{0}+4 y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{4}{15}-\frac{5}{18}\right) h^{5} y_{0}^{i v}+\cdots \cdot=\frac{-h^{5}}{90} y_{0}^{i v}+\cdots \cong \frac{-h^{5}}{90} y_{0}^{i v} \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, the errors in the subsequent intervals are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{2}=\frac{-h^{5}}{90} y_{2}^{i v} \\
& e_{3}=\frac{-h^{5}}{90} y_{4}^{i v} \tag{7.23}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on.
Hence, the total error $E$ is given by

$$
E=\sum e_{n}=\frac{-h^{5}}{90}\left[y_{0}^{i v}+y_{2}^{i v}+y_{4}^{i v}+\cdots+y_{2 n-2}^{i v}\right] \cong \frac{-n h^{5}}{90} h_{0}^{i v}(\bar{x})
$$

or

$$
E=\frac{-(b-a)}{180} h^{2} y^{i v}(\bar{x})
$$

where $y^{i v}(\bar{x})=$ largest value of the fourth-order derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=(b-a) / n \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error in Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule can be written as

$$
e=\frac{-n h^{5}}{180} f^{i v}(\xi)=\frac{-(b-a)^{5}}{2880 n^{4}} f^{i v}(\xi)
$$

where $a=x_{0}<\xi<x_{n}=b$ (for $n$ subintervals of length $h$ ).

## Example E7.4

Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{1.2} e^{x} d x$, taking $n=6$ using Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =e^{x} \\
a & =0, b=1.2, n=6 \\
h & =\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{1.2-0}{6}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

| x | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1.0 | 1.22140 | 1.49182 | 1.82212 | 2.22554 | 2.71828 | 3.32012 |
|  | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ |  |

The Simpson's rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{0.2}{3}[(1+3.32012)+4(1.22140+1.82212+2.71828)+2(1.49182+2.22554)] \\
& I=\frac{0.2}{3}[(4.32012)+4(5.7618)+2(3.71736)] \\
& I=2.320136 \approx 2.32014
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact value is $=2.3201$

## Example E7.5

Evaluate $\int_{0}^{12} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ by using Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule, taking $n=6$.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{2}} \\
& a=0, b=12, n=6 \\
& \quad h=\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{12-0}{6}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

| $x$ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 0.2 | 0.05882 |  |  |  |  |
| $y_{0}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | 0.02703 <br> $y_{3}$ | 0.01538 <br> $y_{4}$ | 0.0099 <br> $y_{5}$ | 0.0069 <br> $y_{6}$ |  |

The Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{h}{3}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{2}{3}[(1+0.0069)+4(0.2+0.02703+0.0099)+2(0.05882+0.01538)] \\
& I=1.40201
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.6

Evaluate $\int_{2}^{6} \log _{10} x d x$ by using Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule, taking $n=6$.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\log _{10} \mathrm{x} \\
a=2, b & =6, n=6 \\
h & =\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{6-2}{6}=\frac{2}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

| x | $2=6 / 3$ | $8 / 3$ | $10 / 3$ | $12 / 3=4$ | $14 / 3$ | $16 / 3$ | $18 / 3=6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0.30103 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | 0.42597 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 0.52288 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 0.60206 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 0.66901 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 0.72700 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 0.77815 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ |

The Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{h}{3}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+4\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{2}+y_{4}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{2 / 3}{3}[(0.30103+0.77815)+4(0.42597+0.60206+0.72700)+2(0.52288+0.66901)] \\
& I=2.32957
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.5 SIMPSON'S 3/8 RULE

Putting $n=3$ in Eq. (7.7) and taking the curve through $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), n=0,1,2,3$ as a polynomial of degree three such that the differences higher than the third order vanish, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{3}} y d x=3 h\left[y_{0}+\frac{3}{2} \Delta y_{0}+\frac{3}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{1}{8} \Delta^{3} y_{0}\right]=\frac{3}{8} h\left[h_{0}+3 y_{1}+3 y_{2}+y_{3}\right] \tag{7.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}=\int_{x_{3}}^{x_{6}} y d x=\frac{3}{8} h\left[y_{3}+3 y_{4}+3 y_{5}+y_{6}\right] \\
& I_{3}=\int_{x_{6}}^{x_{9}} y d x=\frac{3}{8} h\left[y_{6}+3 y_{7}+3 y_{8}+y_{9}\right] \tag{7.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on.
Finally, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\int_{x_{3 n-3}}^{x_{3 n}} y d x=\frac{3}{8} h\left[y_{3 n-3}+3 y_{3 n-2}+3 y_{3 n-1}+y_{3 n}\right] \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up all the expressions above, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
I=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{3 n}} y d x=\frac{h}{8}\left[y_{0}+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}+y_{7}+y_{8}+\cdots+y_{3 n-2}+y_{3 n-1}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad+2\left(y_{3}+y_{6}+y_{9}+\cdots+y_{3 n-3}\right)+y_{3 n}\right] \tag{7.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (7.28) is called the Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule. Here, the number of subintervals should be taken as multiples of 3 . Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule is not as accurate as Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule. The dominant term in the error of this formula is $\frac{-3}{80} y^{5} y^{i v}(\bar{x})$. Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule can be applied when the range $(a, b)$ is divided into a number of subintervals, which must be a multiple of 3 . The error in Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule is $e=\frac{-n h^{5}}{80} f^{i v}(\xi)$, where $x_{0}, \xi$, $x_{n}$ (for $n$ subintervals of length $h$ ).

## Example E7.7

Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{1.2} e^{x} d x$, by using Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule and taking seven ordinates.

## Solution:

$$
n+1=7 \quad \Rightarrow n=6
$$

The points of division are

$$
0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{6}, \frac{3}{6}, \frac{4}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, 1, \quad h=\frac{1}{6}
$$

| $x$ | 0 | $1 / 6$ | $2 / 6$ | $3 / 6$ | $4 / 6$ | $5 / 6$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 1.18136 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $y_{0}$ | $y_{1}$ | 1.39561 <br> $y_{2}$ | 1.64872 <br> $y_{3}$ | 1.94773 <br> $y_{4}$ | 2.30098 <br> $y_{5}$ | 2.71828 <br> $y_{6}$ |  |

The Simpson's three-eighth's rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{3 h}{8}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{3}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{3(1 / 6)}{8}[(1+2.71828)+3(1.18136+1.39561+1.94773+2.30098)+2(1.64872)] \\
& I=1.71830
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.8

Evaluate $\int_{0}^{12} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ by using Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule and taking seven ordinates.

## Solution:

$$
n+1=7 \quad \Rightarrow n=6, h=2
$$

The points of division are

$$
0,2,4,6,8,10,12
$$

| $x$ | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 0.2 | 0.05882 | 0.02703 | 0.01538 |  |  |
| $y_{0}$ | $y_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | $y_{3}$ | $y_{4}$ | 0.00990 <br> $y_{5}$ | 0.00690 <br> $y_{6}$ |  |

The Simpson's three-eighth's rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{3}{8} h\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{3}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{3}{8} 2[(1+0.00690)+3(0.2+0.05882+0.01538+0.00990)+2(0.02703)] \\
& I=1.43495
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.9

Repeat Example E7.6 by using Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule, taking $n=6$, correct to five decimal places.

## Solution:

The points of division are

$$
2, \frac{8}{3}, \frac{10}{3}, \frac{12}{3}, \frac{14}{3}, \frac{16}{3}, \frac{18}{3}
$$

| x | $6 / 3$ | $8 / 3$ | $10 / 3$ | $12 / 3$ | $14 / 3$ | $16 / 3$ | $18 / 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0.30103 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | 0.42597 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 0.52288 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 0.60206 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 0.66901 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 0.727 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 0.77815 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ |

Here

$$
h=\frac{2}{3}
$$

The Simpson's three-eighth's rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{3 \cdot h}{8}\left[\left(y_{0}+y_{6}\right)+3\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{5}\right)+2\left(y_{3}\right)\right] \\
& I=\frac{3(2 / 3)}{8}[(0.30103+0.77815)+3(0.42597+0.52288+0.66901+0.72700)+2(0.60206)] \\
& I=2.32947
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.6 BOOLE'S AND WEDDLE'S RULES

### 7.6.1 Boole's Rule

Substituting $n=4$ in Eq.(7.7) and taking the curve through $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), n=0,1,2,3,4$ as a polynomial of degree 4 , so that the difference of order higher than four vanish (or neglected), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{4}} y d x & =4 h\left[y_{0}+2 \Delta y_{0}+\frac{5}{3} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+\frac{2}{3} \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{7}{90} \Delta^{4} y_{0}\right] \\
& =\frac{2 h}{45}\left[7 y_{0}+32 y_{1}+12 y_{2}+32 y_{3}+7 y_{4}\right] \tag{7.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise

$$
\int_{x_{4}}^{x_{8}} y d x=\frac{2 h}{45}\left(7 y_{4}+32 y_{5}+12 y_{6}+32 y_{7}+7 y_{8}\right)
$$

and so on.
Adding all the above integrals from $x_{0}$ to $x_{n}$, where $n$ is a multiple of 4 , we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} y d x= & \frac{2 h}{45}\left[7 y_{0}+32\left(y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+y_{7}+\cdots \cdot\right)+12\left(y_{2}+y_{6}+y_{10}+\cdots\right)\right. \\
& \left.+14\left(y_{4}+y_{8}+y_{12}+\cdots \cdot\right)+7 y_{n}\right] \tag{7.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (7.30) is known as Boole's rule. It should be noted here that the number of subintervals should be taken as a multiple of 4.

The leading term in the error of formula can be shown as

$$
\frac{-8}{945} h^{7} y^{v i}(\bar{x})
$$

### 7.6.2 Weddle's Rule

Substituting $n=6$ in Eq.(7.7) and taking the curve $y=f(x)$ through the point $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), n=0,1,2,3,4,5,6$ as a polynomial of degree 6 so that the differences of order higher than 6 are neglected, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{6}} y d x & =6 h\left[y_{0}+3 \Delta y_{0}+\frac{9}{2} \Delta^{2} y_{0}+4 \Delta^{3} y_{0}+\frac{123}{60} \Delta^{4} y_{0}+\frac{11}{20} \Delta^{5} y_{0}+\frac{41}{140} \Delta^{6} y_{0}\right] \\
& =\frac{3 h}{10}\left[y_{0}+5 y_{1}+y_{2}+6 y_{3}+y_{4}+5 y_{5}+y_{6}\right] \tag{7.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Approximating $\quad \frac{41}{140} \Delta^{6} y_{0}$ as $\frac{3}{10} \Delta^{6} y_{0}$, we have, similarly, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{6}}^{x_{12}} y d x=\frac{3 h}{10}\left[y_{6}+5 y_{7}+y_{8}+6 y_{9}+y_{10}+5 y_{11}+y_{12}\right] \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.
Adding all the above integrals from $x_{0}$ to $x_{n}$, where $x$ is a multiple of 6 , we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} y d x= & \frac{3 h}{10}\left[y_{0}+5\left(y_{1}+y_{5}+y_{7}+y_{11}+\cdots\right)+\left(y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{8}+y_{10}+\cdots\right)\right. \\
& \left.+6\left(y_{3}+y_{9}+y_{15}+\cdots \cdot\right)+2\left(y_{6}+y_{12}+y_{18}+\cdots\right)+y_{n}\right] \tag{7.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (7.33) is known as Weddle's rule. Weddle's rule was found to be more accurate than most of the other rules. The error estimate is given by $\frac{-h^{7}}{140} y^{v i}(\bar{x})$. In Weddle's rule, the number of subintervals should be taken as multiple of 6 .

A summary of the Newton-Cotes formulas and their errors is presented in Table 7.1.
Table 7.1: Summary of Newton-Cotes Formula

| No. | Integral | Name | Integration formula | Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y d x$ | Trapezoidal Rule | $\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2}\left[\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{y}_{1}\right]$ | $\frac{-h^{3}}{12} \mathrm{y}^{\text {ii }}(\overline{\mathrm{x}})$ |
| 2. | $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} y d x$ | Simpson's 1/3 Rule | $\frac{\mathrm{h}}{3}\left[\mathrm{y}_{0}+4 \mathrm{y}_{1}+\mathrm{y}_{2}\right]$ | $\frac{-h^{5}}{90} y^{\text {iv }}(\overline{\mathrm{x}})$ |
| 3. | $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{3}} y d x$ | Simpson's 3/8 Rule | $\frac{3 \mathrm{~h}}{8}\left[\mathrm{y}_{0}+3 \mathrm{y}_{1}+3 \mathrm{y}_{2}+\mathrm{y}_{3}\right]$ | $\frac{-3 h^{5}}{80} y^{\text {iv }}(\overline{\mathrm{x}})$ |
| 4. | $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{4}} y d x$ | Boole's Rule | $\frac{2 \mathrm{~h}}{45}\left[7 \mathrm{y}_{0}+32 \mathrm{y}_{1}+12 \mathrm{y}_{2}+32 \mathrm{y}_{3}+7 \mathrm{y}_{4}\right]$ | $\frac{-8}{945} h^{7} y^{\text {vi }}(\bar{x})$ |
| 5. | $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{6}} y d x$ | Weddle’s Rule | $\frac{3 \mathrm{~h}}{10}\left[y_{0}+5 y_{1}+y_{2}+6 y_{3}+y_{4}+5 y_{5}+y_{6}\right]$ | $\frac{-\mathrm{h}^{7}}{140} y^{\mathrm{vi}}(\overline{\mathrm{x}})$ |

## Example E7.10

Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{1.2} e^{x} d x$ by using Boole's rule using exactly five functional evaluations and correct to five significant figures.

## Solution:

Taking $h=\frac{1.2}{4}$ and applying Boole’s rule, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1.2} f(x) d x=\frac{2 h}{45}\left[7 y_{0}+32 y_{1}+12 y_{2}+32 y_{3}+7 y_{4}\right] \\
& \int_{0}^{1.2} f(x) d x=\frac{2 \times 0.3}{45}[7 f(0)+32 f(0.3)+12 f(0.6)+32 f(0.9)+7 f(1.2)]
\end{aligned}
$$

| x | 0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | 1.34986 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 1.82212 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 2.45960 <br> $y_{3}$ | 3.32012 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1.2} f(x) d x=0.01333[7 \times 1+32 \times 1.34986+12 \times 1.82212+32 \times 2.45960+7 \times 3.32012] \\
& \int_{0}^{1.2} f(x) d x=2.31954
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.11

Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{12} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ by using Boole's rule using exactly five functional evaluations and correct to five significant figures.

## Solution:

| $x$ | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 0.1 | 0.02703 | 0.01220 | 0.00690 |

The Boole's rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{12} f(x) d x & =\frac{2 h}{45}[7 f(0)+32 f(3)+12 f(6)+32 f(9)+7 f(12)] \\
I & =\frac{2 \times 3}{45}[7 \times(1)+32 \times(0.1)+12 \times(0.02703)+32 \times(0.01220)+7 \times(0.00690)] \\
I & =1.46174
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.12

Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{1.2} e^{x} d x$ by using Weddle's rule and taking $n=6$, correct to five significant figures.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =e^{x} ; a=x_{0}=0 ; b=x_{n}=1.2 ; n=6 \\
& h \\
& =\frac{1.2-0}{6}=0.2
\end{aligned}
$$

The Weddle's rule is

$$
I=\frac{3 h}{10}\left[y_{0}+5 y_{1}+y_{2}+6 y_{3}+y_{4}+5 y_{5}+y_{6}\right]
$$

| x | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1 | 1.2214 | 1.4918 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 1.8221 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 2.2255 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 2.7183 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 3.3201 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.I=\frac{3(0.2)}{10}[1+5(1.2214)+1.4918+6(1.8221)+2.2255)+5(2.7183)+3.3201\right] \\
& I=2.32011 \approx 2.3201
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.13

Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{12} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ by using Weddle's rule and taking $n=6$, correct up to five significant figures.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=0 ; b=12 ; n=6 \\
& h=\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{12-0}{6}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

| x | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1 | 0.2 | 0.05882 | 0.02703 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 0.01538 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 0.00990 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 0.00690 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ |

The Weddle's rule is $I=\frac{3 h}{10}\left[y_{0}+5 y_{1}+y_{2}+6 y_{3}+y_{4}+5 y_{5}+y_{6}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{3 \times 2}{10}[1+5 \times 0.2+0.05882+6 \times 0.02703+0.01538+5 \times 0.00990+0.00690] \\
& I=1.37567
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.14

Repeat Example E7.6 by using Weddle's rule, taking $n=6$, correct to five decimal places.

## Solution:

$$
a=2 ; b=6 ; n=6
$$

$$
h=\frac{b-a}{n}=\frac{6-2}{6}=\frac{2}{3}
$$

| x | $6 / 3$ | $8 / 3$ | $10 / 3$ | $12 / 3$ | $14 / 3$ | $16 / 3$ | $18 / 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 0.30103 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ | 0.42597 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 0.52288 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 0.60206 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{3}$ | 0.66901 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{4}$ | 0.727 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{5}$ | 0.77815 <br> $\mathrm{y}_{6}$ |

The Weddle's rule is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=\frac{3 h}{10}\left[y_{0}+5 y_{1}+y_{2}+6 y_{3}+y_{4}+5 y_{5}+y_{6}\right] \\
& I=\frac{3(2 / 3)}{10}[0.30103+5 \times 0.42597+0.52288+6 \times 0.60206+0.66901+5 \times 0.727+0.77815] \\
& I=2.32966
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E7.15

Repeat Example E7.6 by Boole's rule, using exactly five functional evaluations and correct to five significant figures.

## Solution:

We use five functional evaluations here.
Taking $h=1$ and applying Boole's rule, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=h \frac{2}{45}[7 f(2)+32 f(3)+12 f(4)+32 f(5)+7 f(6)] \\
& I=\frac{2}{45}[7 \times 0.30103+32 \times 0.47712+12 \times 0.60206+32 \times 0.69897+7 \times 0.77815]
\end{aligned}
$$

| $x$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 0.30103 | 0.47712 | 0.60206 | 0.69897 | 0.77815 |

$$
I=2.32950
$$

### 7.7 ROMBERGS INTEGRATION

Romberg's integration employs a successive error reduction technique. It applies the trapezoidal rule with different interval sizes in order to obtain some preliminary approximations to the integral to start with. The method starts with the preliminary approximations obtained by the trapezoidal rule and then applies the Richardson extrapolation procedure which refines these values successfully to a single more accurate approximation.

### 7.7.1 Richardson's Extrapolation

Richardson extrapolation is a simple method for improving the accuracy of certain numerical procedures, including the finite difference approximations and in numerical integration.

Assume that we have an approximate means of computing some quantity $G$. In addition, assume that the result depends on a parameter $h$. Let us denote the approximation by $g(h)$, then we have $G=g(h)+E(h)$, where $E(h)$ denotes the error.

Richardson extrapolation can remove the error, provided that it has the form $E(h)=c h^{p}$, where $c$ and $p$ are constants.

We begin by computing $g(h)$ with some value of $h$, say $h=h_{1}$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=g\left(h_{1}\right)+c h_{1}^{p} \tag{7.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating the calculations with $h=h_{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=g\left(h_{2}\right)+c h_{2}^{p} \tag{7.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, eliminating $c$ and solving for $G$ from Eqs.(7.34) and (7.35), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{2}}\right)^{p} g\left(h_{2}\right)-g\left(h_{1}\right)}{\left(\frac{h_{1}}{h_{2}}\right)^{p}-1} \tag{7.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7.36) is called the Richardson extrapolation formula.
It is general practice to use $h_{2}=\frac{h_{1}}{2}$ and in this case Eq.(7.36) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{2^{p} g\left(\frac{h_{1}}{2}\right)-g\left(h_{1}\right)}{2^{p}-1} \tag{7.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.7.2 Romberg Integration Formula

As mentioned earlier, Romberg's integration provides a simple modification to the approximate quadrature formula obtained with the aid of finite difference method in order to obtain their better approximations.

Consider as an example to improve the value of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a}^{b} y d x=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the trapezoidal rule.
We can evaluate Eq.(7.38) by means of the trapezoidal rule, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{i}=\int_{X_{0}}^{x_{n}} y d x=\frac{h}{2}\left[y_{0}+2\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+\cdots+y_{n-1}\right)+y_{n}\right]=\frac{h}{2}[X+2 I] \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad X=$ sum of end ordinates
and $\quad I=$ sum of intermediate ordinates.

Equation (7.39) signifies that the curve $y=f(x)$ is replaced by $n$ straight lines joining the points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, $i=0,1,2,3, \ldots, n$. The area bounded by the curve $y=f(x)$ the ordinates $x=x_{0}, x=x_{n}$ and the $x$-axis is then approximated equivalent to the sum of the areas of the $n$-trapeziums so obtained.

Now, we evaluate Eq.(7.38) by means of two different widths $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ in order to obtain the approximate values $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ respectively. The corresponding errors $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}=\frac{(b-a) h_{1}^{2}}{12} y^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x}) \\
& E_{2}=\frac{-(b-a)}{12} y^{\prime \prime}(\overline{\bar{x}}) \tag{7.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $y^{\prime \prime}(\overline{\bar{x}})$ is also the largest value of $y^{\prime \prime}(x)$, we can assume that the quantities $y^{\prime \prime}(\bar{x})$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(\overline{\bar{x}})$ are nearly equal.

Hence, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{1}}{E_{2}}=\frac{h_{1}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}}=\frac{E^{2}}{E_{2}-E_{1}}=\frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}-h_{1}^{2}} \tag{7.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting, now that $I=I_{1}-E_{1}=I_{2}-E_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}-E_{1}=I_{1}-I_{2} \tag{7.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs.(7.41) and (7.42), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{2}=\frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}-h_{1}^{2}}\left(E_{2}-E_{1}\right)=\frac{h_{2}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}-h_{1}^{2}}\left(I_{1}-I_{2}\right) \\
& I=I_{2}-E_{2}=\frac{I_{1} h_{2}^{2}-I_{2} h_{1}^{2}}{h_{2}^{2}-h_{1}^{2}} \tag{7.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (7.43) gives a better approximation for $I$.
In order to compute $I$, we let $h_{1}=h$ and $h_{2}=h / 2$ such that Eq.(7.43) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
I=\frac{I_{1}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{4}\right)-I_{2} h^{2}}{\frac{h^{2}}{4}-h^{2}}=\frac{4 I_{2}-I_{1}}{3}=I_{2}+\frac{I_{2}-I_{1}}{3} \\
I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}\right)=\frac{4 I\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)-I(h)}{3} \tag{7.44}
\end{gather*}
$$

or

If we apply the trapezoidal rule several times successively halving $h$, every time the error is reduced by a factor $1 / 4$. The above computation is continued with two successive values are very close to each other. This refinement of Richardson's method is known as the Romberg integration. The values of the integral in Romberg integration can be tabulated in the following scheme:

Romberg Integration Scheme

| I(h) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $I\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)$ | $I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}\right)$ |  |  |
|  | $I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)$ | $I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)$ |  |
| $I\left(\frac{h}{4}\right)$ |  | $I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}, \frac{h}{8}\right)$ |  |
| $I\left(\frac{h}{8}\right)$ |  | $I\left(\frac{h}{4}, \frac{h}{8}\right)$ |  |

Where,

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left[4 I\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)-I(h)\right] \\
I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left[4 I\left(\frac{h}{4}\right)-I\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)\right] \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left[4 I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)-I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}\right)\right] \\
I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}, \frac{h}{8}\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left[4 I\left(\frac{h}{4}, \frac{h}{8}\right)-I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)\right]  \tag{7.45}\\
I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}, \frac{h}{8}\right) & =\frac{1}{3}\left[4 I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}, \frac{h}{8}\right)-I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The computations are continued until the successive values are close to each other. The general extrapolation formula used in this scheme is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i, j}=\frac{4^{j-1} R_{i, j-1}-R_{i-1, j-1}}{4^{j-1}-1} \quad i>1, j=2,3, \ldots ., I \tag{7.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pictorial representation of Eq.(7.46) is shown below:

where the multipliers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ depend on $j$ in the following manner:

| j | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | $-1 / 3$ | $-1 / 15$ | $-1 / 63$ | $-1 / 255$ | $-1 / 1023$ |
| $\beta$ | $4 / 3$ | $16 / 15$ | $64 / 63$ | $256 / 255$ | $1024 / 1023$ |

## Example E7.16

Apply Romberg's integration to find $\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) d x$, where $f(x)=\sin x$.

## Solution:

From the recursive trapezoidal rule in Eq. (7.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1,1}=I(\pi)=\frac{\pi}{2}[f(0)+f(\pi)]=0 \\
& R_{2,1}=I\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} I(\pi)+\frac{\pi}{2} f\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=1.5708 \\
& R_{3,1}=I\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=\frac{1}{2} I\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+\frac{\pi}{4}\left[f\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+f\left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right)\right]=1.8961 \\
& R_{4,1}=I\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)=\frac{1}{2} I\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+\frac{\pi}{8}\left[f\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)+f\left(\frac{3 \pi}{8}\right)+f\left(\frac{5 \pi}{8}\right)+f\left(\frac{7 \pi}{8}\right)\right]=1.9742
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the extrapolation formula in Eq.(7.46), we obtain the following table:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
R_{1,1} & & & \\
R_{2,1} & R_{2,2} & & \\
R_{3,1} & R_{3,2} & R_{3,3} & \\
R_{4,1} & R_{4,2} & R_{4,3} & R_{4,4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & & \\
1.5708 & 2.0944 & & \\
1.8961 & 2.0046 & 1.9986 & \\
1.9742 & 2.0003 & 2.0000 & 2.0000
\end{array}\right]
$$

The above table shows that the procedure has converged. Hence, $\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) d x=R_{4}, 4=2.0000$ which is of course, the exact result.

## Example E7.17

Apply Romberg's integration method to find $\int_{0}^{1.2}\left(\frac{1}{1+x}\right) d x$ correct to five decimal places.

## Solution:

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{1+x}
$$

Let $\quad h=0.6,0.3$ and 0.15 or $h=0.6, h / 2=0.3$ and $h / 4=0.15$.

| $x$ | 0 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 1.05 | 1.20 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 1 | 0.86957 | 0.76923 | 0.71429 | 0.62500 | 0.57143 | 0.52632 | 0.48780 | 0.45455 |

Applying trapezoidal rule for $h=0.6$, we obtain

$$
\left.I(h)=I(0.6)=I_{1} \frac{0.6}{2}(1+0.45455)+2(0.6250)\right]=0.81132
$$

For $h=\frac{0.6}{2}=0.3$, we obtain

$$
I\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)=I(0.3)=I_{2}=\frac{0.3}{2}[(1+0.45455)+2(0.76923+0.6250+0.52632)]=0.79435
$$

For $h=\frac{0.6}{4}=0.15$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(\frac{h}{4}\right)=I(0.15)=I_{3}= & \frac{0.15}{2}[(1+0.45455)+2(0.86957+0.76923+0.71429) \\
& +\frac{0.15}{2}[2(0.6250+0.57143+0.52632+0.48780)]=0.78992
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}\right)=I(0.6,0.3)
$$

Therefore, $\quad I(0.6,0.3)=\frac{1}{3}[4 I(0.3)-I(0.6)]=\frac{1}{3}[4(0.79435)-0.81132]=0.78864$
In a similar manner, we obtain

$$
I\left(\frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)=I(0.3,0.15)=\frac{1}{3}[4 I(0.15)-I(0.3)]=\frac{1}{3}[4(0.78992-0.79435)]=0.78846
$$

Hence, $\quad I\left(h, \frac{h}{2}, \frac{h}{4}\right)=I(0.6,0.3,0.15)$
or $\quad I(0.6,0.3,0.15)=\frac{1}{3}[4 I(0.15,0.3)-I(0.3,0.6)]=\frac{1}{3}[4(0.78846)-0.78864]=0.78832$

The computations are summarised in the table below:

| 0.81132 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.7864 |  |
| 0.79435 |  | 0.78832 |
|  | 0.78846 |  |
| 0.78992 |  |  |

Hence $\int_{0}^{1.2} \frac{1}{1+x} d x=0.78832$ correct to five decimal places.

## Example E7.18

Apply Romberg's integration method to find $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ correct to four decimal places. Take $h=0.5,0.25$ and 0.125 .

## Solution:

Applying the trapezoidal rule, for $h=0.25$, we obtain

| $x$ | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $y=f(x)=\frac{1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)}$ | 1 | 0.8 | 0.5 |

Hence

$$
I=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}}=\frac{0.5}{2}[1+2(0.8)+0.5]=0.775
$$

For $h=0.25$, we have

| $x$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)=\frac{1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)}$ | 1 | 0.9412 | 0.8 | 0.64 | 0.5 |

Hence

$$
I=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}=\frac{0.25}{2}[1+2(0.9412+0.8+0.64)+0.5]=0.7848
$$

Similarly, when $h=0.125$, we find $I=0.7848$.
Applying Eq.(7.46), we obtain the table as follows:

| 0.5 | 0.775 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.25 | 0.7828 | 0.7854 |  |
| 0.125 | 0.7848 | 0.7855 | 0.7855 |

Hence, $I=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}=0.7855$ correct to four decimal places.

### 7.8 SUMMARY

In this chapter we have presented the various techniques on numerical integration. Integration methods such as the trapezoidal rule, Simpson's one-third rule, Simpson's three-eight's rule, and Boole's and Weddle's rules and their composite versions, Romberg's integration were presented with illustrative examples. These methods use uniformly spaced based points.

## Problems

7.1 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} \cos x^{2} d x$ by taking eight subintervals using trapezoidal rule.
7.2 Use trapezoidal rule to evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} x^{3} d x$, corresponding five subintervals.
7.3 Compute the following integral numerically using the trapezoidal rule:

$$
I=\int_{0}^{1} e^{x} d x
$$

Use (a) $n=1$, (b) $n=2$, (c) $n=k$ and (d) $n=8$. The exact value of $I=1.7183$. Compare your computed results in each case with the exact result.
7.4 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ using trapezoidal rule. Take $h=0.25$.
7.5 Determine the area bounded by the curve $f(x)=x e^{2 x}$ and the $x$-axis between $x=0$ and $x=1$ using the trapezoidal rule with an interval size of (a) $h=0.5$, (b) $h=0.1$. Determine the relative error in each case given that the exact value of the integral $I=2.09726$.
7.6 Evaluate $\int_{1}^{5} \log _{10} x d x$, taking eight subintervals correct to four decimal places by trapezoidal rule.
7.7 Evaluate $\int_{1}^{7} \sin x^{2} d x$ by taking seven ordinates using the trapezoidal rule.
7.8 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi} t \sin t d t$ using trapezoidal rule.
7.9 Repeat Problem P7.9 using Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule.
7.10 Repeat Problem P7.2 using Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule taking $h=0.25$.
7.11 Compute the integral $I=\int_{0}^{1} e^{x} d x$ using Simpson's rule with $n=8$ intervals rounding off the results to 4 digits.
7.12 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{0.6} e^{x} d x$, taking $n=6$, correct to five significant figures by Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule.
7.13 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \sqrt{\cos x} d x$ by Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule taking $n=6$.
7.14 Evaluate $\int_{4}^{5.2} \log x d x$ by taking seven grid points and using the Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule.
7.15 Repeat Problem P7.15 using Simpson’s 1/3 rule.
7.16 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ by taking six equal parts using Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule.
7.17 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{6} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ by using Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule.
7.18 Repeat Problem P7.24 using Simpson's 3/8 rule taking $h=1 / 6$.
7.19 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x$, by taking seven ordinates, using the Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule.
7.20 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\sin x+\cos x} d x$ correct to two decimal places using Simpson's 3/8 rule.
7.21 Evaluate $\int_{2}^{6} \frac{1}{\log e^{x}} d x$ by using Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule.
7.22 Evaluate $\int_{4}^{5.2} \log x d x$ by taking seven grid points. Use Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule.
7.23 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} e^{\sin x} d x$ correct to four decimal places using Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule.
7.24 Repeat Problem P7.24 using Simpson’s 3/8 rule.
7.25 Evaluate the integral $\int_{0}^{1} 1+e^{-x} \sin 4 x d x$ using Boole's rule with $h=1 / 4$.
7.26 Repeat Problem P7.25 using Boole's rule.
7.27 Repeat Problem P7.2 using Weddle's rule taking $h=1 / 6$.
7.28 Repeat Problem P7.25 using Weddle's rule.
7.29 Evaluate $\int_{4}^{5.2} \log _{e} x d x$ using Weddle's rule. Take $n=6$.
7.30 Evaluate $\int_{4}^{5.2} \log x d x$ by taking seven grid points. Use Boole's and Weddle's rule.
7.31 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{1 / 2} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$ using Weddle's rule.
7.32 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1+x^{2}} d x$ by using Weddle's rule taking twelve intervals.
7.33 Use Romberg's integration method to evaluate $\int_{4}^{5.2} \log x d x$, given that

| x | 4 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\log _{e}^{2}$ | 1.3863 | 1.4351 | 1.4816 | 1.5260 | 1.5686 | 1.6094 | 1.4684 |

7.34 Use Romberg's integration method to compute $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1+x} d x$ with $h=0.5,0.25$ and 0.125 . Hence, finds $\log _{e}^{2}$ correct to four decimal places.
7.35 Approximate the integral $f(x)=\int_{0}^{1} x e^{-x} d x$ using Romberg's integration with accuracy of $n=8$ intervals. Round off results to 6 digits.
7.36 Use Romberg's integration to evaluate $\int_{0}^{\sqrt{\pi}} 2 x^{2} \cos x^{2} d x$.
7.37 Evaluate $\int_{0}^{2}\left(x^{5}+3 x^{3}-2\right) d x$ by Romberg's integration.
7.38 Estimate $\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) d x$ as accurately as possible, where $f(x)$ is defined by the data:

| x | 0 | $\pi / 4$ | $\pi / 2$ | $3 \pi / 4$ | $\pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ | 1 | 0.3431 | 0.25 | 0.3431 | 1 |

7.39 Use Romberg's integration method to compute $R_{3,3}$ for the following integrals:
(a) $\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} e^{-x} d x$
(b) $\int_{1}^{1.5} x^{2} \ln x d x$
(c) $\int_{0}^{\pi / 4}(\cos x)^{2} d x$
(d) $\int_{0}^{\pi / 4} e^{3 x} \sin 2 x d x$
7.40 Use Romberg's integration method to find $R_{3,3}$ for the integral $\int_{0}^{\pi / 4} x^{2} \sin x d x$.
7.41 Apply Romberg integration method to find $\int_{1}^{5} f(x) d x$ for the following data:

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $y=f(x)$ | 2.4142 | 2.6734 | 2.8974 | 3.0976 | 3.2804 |

7.42 Apply Romberg's integration method to find $\int_{0}^{1} x^{1 / 3} d x$.
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## Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations

### 8.1 INTRODUCTION

Numerical methods are becoming more and more important in engineering applications, simply because of the difficulties encountered in finding exact analytical solutions but also, because of the ease with which numerical techniques can be used in conjunction with modern high-speed digital computers. Several numerical procedures for solving initial value problems involving first-order ordinary differential equations are discussed in this chapter.

In spite of the fact that the error analysis is an important part of any numerical procedure, the discussion in this chapter is limited primarily to the use of the procedure itself. The theory of errors and error analysis is sometimes fairly complex and goes beyond the intended scope of this chapter.

An ordinary differential equation is one in which an ordinary derivative of a dependent variable $y$ with respect to an independent variable $x$ is related in a prescribed manner to $x, y$ and lower derivatives. The most general form of an ordinary differential equation of $n^{\text {th }}$ order is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{n} y}{d x^{n}}=f\left(x, y, \frac{d y}{d x}, \frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}, \ldots ., \frac{d^{n-1} y}{d x^{n-1}}\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Eq.(8.1) is termed as ordinary because there is only one independent variable.
To solve an equation of the type (Eq.(8.1)), we also require a set of conditions. When all the conditions are given at one value $x$ and the solution proceeds from that value of $x$, we have an initial-value problem. When the conditions are given at different values of $x$, we have a boundary-value problem.

A general solution of an ordinary differential equation (Eq.(8.1)) would be a relation between $y, x$ and $n$ arbitrary constants which is of form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x, y, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)=0 \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If particular values are given to the constants $c_{n}$ in Eq.(8.2), then the resulting solution is called a particular solution. There are many analytical methods available for finding the solution of the Eq.(8.1). However, there exist a large number of ordinary differential equations in science and engineering, whose solutions cannot easily be obtained by the well-known analytical methods. For such ordinary differential
equations, we can obtain an approximate solution of a given ordinary differential equations using numerical methods under the given initial conditions.

Any ordinary differential equation can be replaced by a system of first-order differential equations (which involve only first derivatives). The single first-order ordinary differential equation with an initial value is a special case of Eq.(8.1). It is described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y) \quad y=y_{0} \text { at } x=x_{0} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The description in Eq.(8.3) consists of the differential equation itself and a given solution $y_{0}$ at initial location $x_{0}$. We then obtain the solution $y$ as $x$ ranges from its initial value to some other value.

The general solution of Eq.(8.3) can be obtained in two forms:

1. the values of $y$ as a power series in independent variable $x$
2. as a set of tabulated values of $x$ and $y$.

There are two categories of methods to solve ordinary differential equations:

1. One-step methods or single-step methods.
2. Step-by-step methods or marching methods.

In one-step methods or single-step methods, the information about the curve represented by an ordinary differential equation at one point is utilised and the solution is not iterated. In step-by-step methods or the marching methods, the next point on the curve is evaluated in short steps ahead, for equal intervals of width $h$ of the independent variable, by performing iterations till the desired level of accuracy is obtained.

In general, we divide the interval $(a, b)$ on which the solution is derived into a finite number of subintervals by the points $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}, \ldots<x_{n}=b$, called the mesh points. This is done by setting up $x_{n}=x_{0}+n h$.

The existence of the uniqueness of the solution to an initial value problem in ( $x_{0}, b$ ) is based on Lipschitz theorem. Lipschitz theorem states that:
(a) If $f(x, y)$ is a real function defined and continuous in $\left(x_{0}, b\right), y \in(-\infty,+\infty)$, where $x_{0}$ and $b$ are finite.
(b) There exists a constant $k>0$ called Lipschitz constant such that for any two values $y=y_{1}$ and $y=y_{2}$

$$
\mid f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-\left(f\left(x, y_{2}\right)|<k| k_{1}-k_{2} \mid\right.
$$

where $x \in\left(x_{0}, b\right)$, then for any $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$, the initial value problem [Eq. (8.3)], has unique solution for $x \in\left(x_{0}, b\right)$.

Also, there are two types of methods, explicit and implicit, can be used to compute the solution at each step. Explicit methods are those methods that use an explicit formula for calculating the value of the dependent variable at the next value of the independent variable. In an explicit method, the right-hand side of the equation only has all known quantities. Therefore, the next unknown value of the dependent variable, $y_{n+1}$, is calculated by evaluating an expression of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, y_{n}\right) \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{n}, y_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$ are all known quantities.
In implicit methods, the equation used for computing $y_{n+1}$ from the known $x_{n}, y_{n}$ and $y_{n+1}$ has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the unknown $y_{n+1}$ appears on both sides of the equation. Generally speaking, the right-hand side of Eq.(8.3c) is non-linear. Therefore, the equation (8.5) must be solved for $y_{n+1}$ using suitable numerical
methods. In general, implicit methods give better accuracy over explicit methods at the expense of additional effort.

In this chapter, we present among the one-step or single-step methods, Picard's method of successive approximations, Taylor series methods were presented. Euler's method, modified Euler's method, and RungeKutta methods of order two and four, the Adam-Moulton predictor-corrector method and Milne’s predictorcorrector methods were presented among the step-by-step methods or the marching methods. All these methods will be illustrated with worked examples.

### 8.2 ONE-STEP METHODS OR SINGLE-STEP METHODS

In single-step explicit method, the approximate solution $\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)$ is computed from the known solution at point $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ using

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h  \tag{8.6}\\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}+(\text { slope }) h \tag{8.7}
\end{align*}
$$

This is illustrated in Fig.8.1. Here in Eq.(8.6), $h$ is the step size and the slope is a constant that estimates the value of $\frac{d y}{d x}$ in the interval from $x_{n}$ to $x_{n+1}$. The numerical solution starts at the point where the initial value is known corresponding to $n=1$ and point $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. Then, $n$ is increased to $n=2$, and the solution at the next point, $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ is computed using Eqs. (8.6) and (8.7). This procedure is repeated for $n=3$ and so on until the points cover the whole domain of the solution.


Fig. 8.1: Single-step explicit methods

### 8.2.1 Picard's Method of Successive Approximation

Consider the differential equation given by Eq.(8.3), namely, $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y)$ with the initial condition $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.

Integrating this Eq. (8.3) between $x_{0}$ and $x$, we obtain

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x} d y=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x, y) d x
$$

or

$$
y-y_{0}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x, y) d x
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x, y) d x \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.8) is called the integral equation since the dependent variable $y$ in the function $f(x, y)$ on the right-hand side occurs under the sign of integration.

Here, the problem of solving the differential equation (8.3) has been reduced to solving the integral Eq.(8.8). The first approximation $y_{1}$ of $y$ can be obtained by replacing $y$ by $y_{0}$ in $f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in Eq. (8.8).

Therefore $y_{1}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{0}\right) d x$
Similarly, the second approximation is obtained as

Likewise

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{2}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{1}\right) d x  \tag{8.10}\\
& y_{3}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{2}\right) d x \\
& y_{4}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{3}\right) d x \tag{8.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on.
Continuing this process, we obtain $y_{5}, y_{6}, \ldots, y_{n+1}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{n-1}\right) d x \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$ converges to the exact solution provided that the function $f(x, y)$ is bounded in some region in the neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and satisfies the Lipschitz condition. That is, there exists a constant $k$ such that $|f(x, y)-f(x, \bar{y})| \leq k|y-\bar{y}|$, for all $x$. The process of iteration is concluded when the values of $y_{n-1}$ and $y_{n}$ are approximately equal.

## Example E8.1

Use Picard's method of successive approximation to find the value of $y$ when $x=0.1$, given that $y=1$ when $x=0$ and $\frac{d y}{d x}=3 x+y^{2}$.

## Solution:

Here $\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y)=3 x+y^{2}, x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1$.

From Eq. (8.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{0}\right) d x=y_{0}+\int_{0}^{x}\left(3 x+y_{0}^{2}\right) d x=1+\int_{0}^{x}(3 x+1) d x=\frac{3}{2} x^{2}+x+1 \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (8.10)

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{2} & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{1}\right) d x=y_{0}+\int_{0}^{x}\left(3 x+y_{1}^{2}\right) d x \\
& =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left(\frac{9}{4} x^{4}+3 x^{3}+4 x^{2}+5 x+1\right) d x=\frac{9}{20} x^{5}+\frac{3}{4} x^{4}+\frac{4}{3} x^{3}+\frac{5}{2} x^{2}+x+1 \tag{E.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. (8.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{3}= & y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(x, y_{2}\right) d x=1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[\frac{81}{400} x^{10}+\frac{27}{40} x^{9}+\frac{141}{80} x^{8}+\frac{17}{4} x^{7}+\frac{1157}{180} x^{6}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{136}{15} x^{5}+\frac{125}{12} x^{4}+\frac{23}{3} x^{3}+6 x^{2}+5 x+1\right] d x \\
= & \frac{81}{4400} x^{11}+\frac{27}{400} x^{10}+\frac{47}{240} x^{9}+\frac{17}{32} x^{8}+\frac{1157}{1260} x^{7} \\
& +\frac{68}{45} x^{6}+\frac{25}{12} x^{5}+\frac{23}{12} x^{4}+2 x^{3}+\frac{5}{2} x^{2}+x+1 \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

When $x=0.1$, Eqs. (E.1), (E.2) and (E.3) respectively give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{0}=1 \\
& y_{1}=1.1150 \\
& y_{3}=1.1272
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E.8.2

Use Picard's method of successive approximation to find the value of $y$ for the following:
(a) $\frac{d y}{d t}=2 y, y(0)=1$
(b) $\frac{d y}{d x}=2 x-y, y(0)=1$.

## Solution:

(a) The stated initial value problem is equivalent to the integral equation

$$
y(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x} 2 y(+) d t
$$

Hence

$$
y_{j+1}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x} 2 y_{j}(t) d t
$$

Using $y_{0}(x)=1$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x} 2 d t=1+2 x \\
& y_{2}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x} 2(1+2 t) d t=1+2 x+2 x^{2} \\
& y_{3}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x} 2\left(1+2 t+2 t^{2}\right) d t=1+2 x+2 x^{2}+\frac{4 x^{3}}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, we have

$$
y_{j}(x)=1+2 x+2 x^{2}+\frac{4 x^{3}}{3}+\cdots+\frac{(2 x)^{j}}{j!}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{j} \frac{2(x)^{\ell}}{\ell!}
$$

These are the partial sums for the power series expansion of $y=e^{2} x$. Hence, the solution of our initial value problem is $y=e^{2 x}$.
(b) The equivalent integral equation is

$$
y(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x}[2 t-y(t)] d t
$$

Hence,

$$
y_{j+1}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[2 t-y_{j}(t)\right] d t
$$

Taking $y_{0}(x)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x}(2 t-1) d t=1+x^{2}-x \\
& \left.y_{2}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x}\left(2 t-\left[1+t^{2}-t\right)\right] d t=1+\frac{3 x^{2}}{2}-x-\frac{x^{3}}{3}\right) \\
& \left.y_{3}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x}\left(2 t-\left[1+3 t^{2} / 2-t-t^{3} / 3\right)\right] d t=1+\frac{3 x^{2}}{2}-x-\frac{x^{3}}{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{4 \cdot 3}\right) \\
& \left.y_{4}(x)=1+\int_{0}^{x}\left(2 t-\left[1+3 t^{2} / 2-t-t^{3} / 2+t^{4} / 4.3\right)\right] d t=1+\frac{3 x^{2}}{2}-x-\frac{x^{3}}{2}+\frac{x^{4}}{4 \cdot 2}-\frac{x^{5}}{5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{j}(x)=1+x+\frac{3 x^{2}}{2!}-\frac{3 x^{3}}{2!}+\frac{4 x^{4}}{4!}-\cdots+(-1)^{j} \frac{3 x^{j}}{j!}+(-1)^{j+1} \frac{2 x^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} \\
& \quad=[2 x-2]+3\left[\sum_{t=0}^{j}(-1)^{\ell} \frac{x^{\ell}}{\ell!}\right]+(-1)^{j+1} \frac{2 x^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}=[2 x-2]+3\left[\sum_{t=0}^{j} \frac{(-x)^{\ell}}{\ell!}\right]+(-1)^{j+1} \frac{2 x^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

The iterates $y_{j}(x)$ converge to the solution $y(x)=[2 x-2]+3 e^{-x}$ for the initial value problem.

### 8.2.2 Taylor's Series Method

Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y) \quad \text { with } y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0} \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y=y(x)$ be a continuously differentiable function satisfying the Eq.(8.13). Expanding $y$ in terms of Taylor's series around the point $x=x_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{1!} y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{3}}{3!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\cdots \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting $x=x_{1}=x_{0}=h$, in Eq. (8.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{1!} y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\cdots \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{1!} y_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\ldots \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.16) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{1!} y_{n}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{3}\right) \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O\left(h^{3}\right)$ represents all the terms containing the third and higher power of $h$. The local truncation error in the solution is $k h^{3}$ where $k$ is a constant when the terms containing the third and higher powers of $h$ are ignored. It should be noted here that the Taylor's series method is applicable only when the derivatives of $f(x, y)$ exist and the value of $\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ in the expansion of $y=f(x)$ near $x_{0}$ must be very small so that the series converges. Taylor's series method is a single-step method and works well as long as the successive derivatives can be calculated easily.

The truncation error, due to the terms neglected in the series is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{(n+1)!} y^{(n+1)}(\xi) h^{n+1} \quad x<\xi<x+h \tag{8.17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the finite difference approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}(\xi)=\frac{y^{n}(x+h)-y^{n}(x)}{h} \tag{8.17b}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{h^{n}}{(n+1)!}\left[y^{n}(x+h)-y^{n}(x)\right] \tag{8.17c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.17c) is in more usable form and could be incorporated in the algorithm to monitor the error in each integration step.

If the series in Eq.(8.17) is truncated after the term $h^{k}$, then the truncation error can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}=\frac{h^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} f^{(k+1)}(p) \quad x_{k}<p<x_{k}+h \tag{8.17d}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example E8.3

Use the second-order Taylor series method on $(2,3)$ for the initial value problem $\frac{d y}{d x}=-x y^{2}, y(2)=1$. Take $h=0.1$. Compare the results obtained with the exact solution of $y=\frac{2}{x^{2}-2}$.

## Solution:

For $f(x, y)=-x y^{2}$, the first partial derivatives are $f_{x}=-y^{2}$ and $f_{y}=-2 x y$.
Hence, the second-order Taylor's series method [Eq.(8.17)] becomes

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h\left\{-x_{n} y_{n}^{2}+\frac{h}{2}\left[-y_{n}^{2}+\left(-2 x_{n} y_{n}\right)\left(x_{n} y_{n}^{2}\right)\right\}=y_{n}+h y_{n}^{2}\left\{-x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left[-1+2 x_{n}^{2} y_{n}\right\}\right.\right.
$$

Taking $h=0.1$ and starting with $x_{0}=2, y_{0}=1$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=0: & y\left(x_{1}\right)=y(2.1)=y_{1}=y_{0}+h x_{0}^{2}\left\{-t_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[-1+2 x_{0}^{2} y_{0}\right]\right\} \\
& y\left(x_{1}\right)=1+0.1(1)^{2}\left\{-2+0.05\left[-1+2(2)^{2} 1\right]\right\}=0.8350 \\
n=1: & y\left(x_{2}\right)=y(2.2)=y_{2}=y_{1}+h x_{1}^{2}\left\{-x_{1}+\frac{h}{2}\left[-1+2 x_{1}^{2} y_{1}\right]\right\} \\
& y\left(x_{2}\right)=0.8350+0.1(0.8350)^{2}\left\{-2.1+0.05\left[-1+2(2.1)^{2}(0.8350)\right]\right\}=0.71077
\end{array}
$$

The resulting approximations of $y(2.0), y(2.1), \ldots, y(3.0)$ are shown in Table E8.3 along with the exact values and the relative error, $E_{n}$.

Table E8.3: Second-order Taylor's series method for $\frac{d y}{d x}=-x y^{2}, y(2)=1$

| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact | Using $\mathrm{h}=0.1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}[0.1]$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}[0.1]$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}=2.0$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.1 | 0.8299 | 0.835 | -0.0051 |
| 2.2 | 0.7042 | 0.7108 | -0.0065 |
| 2.3 | 0.6079 | 0.6145 | -0.0066 |
| 2.4 | 0.5319 | 0.5380 | -0.0061 |
| 2.5 | 0.4706 | 0.4761 | -0.0055 |
| 2.6 | 0.4202 | 0.4250 | -0.0049 |
| 2.7 | 0.3781 | 0.3823 | -0.0043 |
| 2.8 | 0.3425 | 0.3462 | -0.0037 |
| 2.9 | 0.3120 | 0.3153 | -0.0033 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}=3.0$ | 0.2857 | 0.2886 | -0.0029 |

## Example E8.4

Use Taylor's series method to solve the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=3 x+y^{2}$ to approximate $y$ when $x=0.1$, given that $y$ $=1$ when $x=0$.

## Solution:

Here $\quad\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=(0,1)$ and $y^{1}=\frac{d y}{d x}=3 x+h^{2}$
From Eq. (8.17)

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{1!} y_{n}^{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{n}^{i i}+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y_{n}^{i i i}+\frac{h^{4}}{4!} y_{n}^{i v}+\cdots \\
y^{i}=3 x+y^{2} & y^{i} \text { at }\left(x_{0}\right)=y^{i} \text { at }(0)=1 \\
y^{i i}=3+2 y y^{i} & y^{i i} \text { at } x_{0}=3+2(1)(1)=5 \\
y^{i i i}=2\left(y^{i}\right)^{2}+2 y y^{i i} & y^{i i i} \text { at } x_{0}=2(1)^{2}+2(1)(5)=12 \\
y^{i v}=6 y^{i} y^{i i}+2 y y^{i i i} & y^{i v} \text { at } x_{0}=6(1)(5)+2(1)(12)=54
\end{array}
$$

Hence, the required Taylor series in Eq.(8.17) becomes

$$
y=1+x+\frac{5}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{12}{3!} x^{3}+\frac{54}{4!} x^{4}+\cdots=1+x+\frac{5}{2} x^{2}+2 x^{3}+\frac{9}{4} x^{4}+\cdots
$$

When $x=0.1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =1+0.1+\frac{5}{2}(0.1)^{2}+2(0.1)^{3}+\frac{9}{4}(0.1)^{4}+\cdots \\
& =1+0.1+0.025+0.002+0.00022+\cdots=1.12722
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example E8.5

Use the fourth order Taylor series method with a single integration step to determine $y(0.2)$. Given that

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}+4 y=x^{2}, \quad y(0)=1
$$

The analytical solution of the differential equation is

$$
y=\frac{31}{32} e^{-4 x}+\frac{1}{4}+x^{2}-\frac{1}{8} x+\frac{1}{32}
$$

Compute also the estimated error and compare it with the actual error.

## Solution:

The Taylor series solution up to and including the term with $h^{4}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{1!} y_{n}^{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y_{n}^{i i}+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y_{n}^{i i i}+\frac{h^{4}}{4!} y_{n}^{i v} \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
y(h)=y(0)+h y^{i}(0)+\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y^{i i}(0)+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y^{i i i}(0)+\frac{h^{4}}{4!} y^{i v}(0)
$$

The given differential equation is
or

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}+4 y=x^{2}
$$

$$
y^{i}=-4 y+x^{2}
$$

Differentiating the above equation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{i i}=-4 y^{i}+2 x & =16 y-4 x^{2}+2 x \\
y^{i i i}=16 y^{i}-8 x+2 & =-64 y+16 x^{2}-8 x+2 \\
y^{i v}=-64 y^{i}+32 x-8 & =256 y-64 x^{2}+32 x-8
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{i}(0) & =-4(1)=-4 \\
y^{i i}(0) & =16(1)=16 \\
y^{i i i}(0) & =-64(1)+2=-62 \\
y^{i v}(0) & =256(1)-8=248
\end{aligned}
$$

For $h=0.2$, Eq. (E.1) becomes

$$
y^{i}(0.2)=1+(-4)(0.2)+\frac{1}{2!}(16)(0.2)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!}(-62)(0.2)^{3}+\frac{1}{4!}(248)(0.2)^{4}=0.4539
$$

According to Eq.(8.17c), the approximate truncation error is given by

$$
E=\frac{h^{n}}{(n+1)!}\left[y_{n}(x+h)-y_{n}(x)\right]
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =\frac{h^{4}}{(n+1)!}\left[y^{n}(x+h)-y^{n}(x)\right] \quad \text { for } n=4 \\
& =\frac{h^{4}}{5!}\left[y^{(4)}(0.2)-y^{(4)}(0)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(4)}(0) & =248 \\
y^{(4)}(0.2) & =256(0.4539)-64(0.2)^{2}+32(0.2)-8=112.04
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
E=\frac{(0.2)^{4}}{5!}[112.04-248]=-0.0018
$$

The analytical solution gives

$$
y(0.2)=\frac{31}{32} e^{-4(0.2)}+\frac{1}{4}(0.2)^{2}-\frac{1}{8}(0.2)+\frac{1}{32}=0.4515
$$

Hence, the actual error is $0.4515-0.4539=-0.0024$.

### 8.3 STEP-BY-STEP METHODS OR MARCHING METHODS

In explicit multi-step methods, the solution $y_{n+1}$, at the next point is calculated from an explicit formula. For instance, if three prior points are used, the next unknown value of the dependent variable, $y_{n+1}$, is computed by evaluating an expression of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n-2}, y_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, x_{n}, y_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.18) is of explicit form since the right-hand side of the equation has only all known quantities. In implicit multi-step methods, the unknown $y_{n+1}$ appears on both sides of the equation, which needs to be solved using numerical methods.

### 8.3.1 Euler's Method

Euler's explicit method (also called the forward Euler method) is a single-step, explicit method for solving a first-order ordinary differential equation. The method uses Eqs.(8.6) and (8.7), where the value of the slope in Eq. (8.7) is the slope of $y(x)$ at point $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. This slope is computed from the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { slope }=\left.\frac{d y}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{n}}=f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler's explicit method is illustrated schematically in Fig. 8.2. Euler's method assumes that for a short distance $h$ near $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, the function $y(x)$ has a constant slope equal to the slope at $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. Based on this assumption, the next point of the numerical solution $\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)$ is obtained by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h  \tag{8.20}\\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}+f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) h \tag{8.21}
\end{align*}
$$

The error in this method depends on the value of $h$ and is smaller for smaller $h$.
Equation (8.21) can be derived in several ways.
Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y) \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.
Integrating Eq.(8.22), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x, y) d x \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose we want to obtain an approximate value of $y$ say $y_{n}$ when $x=x_{n}$. We divide the interval $\left[x_{0}, x_{n}\right]$ into $n$ subintervals of equal length, say, $h$, with the division point $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, where $x=x_{r}=x_{0}=r h$, $r=1,2,3, \ldots$.


Fig. 8.2: Euler's explicit method
Then, from Eq.(8.23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f(x, y) d x \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $f(x, y)=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in $x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{1}$, the Eq.(8.24) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.25) is called the Euler's formula.
Similarly, for the range $x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{2}$, we have

$$
y_{2}=y_{1}+\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f(x, y) d x=y_{1}+h f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)
$$

and for the range $x_{2} \leq x \leq x_{3}$, we get

$$
y_{3}=y_{2}+h f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)
$$

and so on.
Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), \quad n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler's method accumulates large error as the process proceeds. The process is known to be very slow and in order to obtain reasonable accuracy, the value of $h$ needs to be smaller. It can be shown that the error in Euler's method is $O(h)$ i.e., the error tends to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$, for $x=x_{n}$ fixed. The local truncation error of Euler's explicit method is $O\left(h^{2}\right)$. The global truncation error $O(h)$. The total numerical error is the sum of the global truncation error and the round-off error. The truncation error can be reduced by using smaller $h$ (step size). However, if $h$ becomes too small such that round-off errors become significant, the total error might increase.

## Example E8. 6

Use Euler's method to solve the following differential equation

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=-t y^{2}, y(2)=1 \text { and } 2<x<3 \text { with } h=0.1
$$

Compare the results with exact solution from $y=\frac{2}{x^{2}-2}$.

## Solution:

Euler's formula given by Eq.(8.26) is
or

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), \quad n=0,1,2,3, \ldots .
$$

Starting with $x_{0}=2$ and $y_{0}=1$ and taking $h=0.1$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=0: & y_{1}=y_{0}-h\left[x_{0} y_{0}^{2}\right]=1-0.1\left[2(1)^{2}\right]=0.8 \approx y(2.1) \\
n=1: & y_{2}=y_{1}-h\left[x_{1} y_{1}^{2}\right]=0.8-0.1\left[2.1(0.8)^{2}\right]=0.6656 \approx y(2.2) \\
n=2: & y_{3}=y_{2}-h\left[x_{2} y_{2}^{2}\right]=0.6656-0.1\left[2.2(0.6656)^{2}\right]=0.5681 \approx y(2.3) \\
n=3: & y_{4}=y_{3}-h\left[x_{3} y_{3}^{2}\right]=0.5681-0.1\left[2.3(0.5681)^{2}\right]=0.4939 \approx y(2.4)
\end{array}
$$

The results are tabulated for $x_{n}=2,2.1,2.2, \ldots ., 3$ in the $h=0.1$ in Table E8.6. The exact values of $y\left(x_{n}\right)$ were obtained from the solution of $y(n)$ were also shown in the table. That is, $y\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{2}{x_{n}^{2}-2}$

Table E8.6: Euler's method values for $\frac{d y}{d x}=-x y^{2}, y(2)=1$

| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact | $\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}[0.1]\right.$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}[0.1]$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}=2.0$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2.1 | 0.8299 | 0.8000 | 0.0299 |
| 2.2 | 0.7042 | 0.6656 | 0.0386 |
| 2.3 | 0.6079 | 0.5681 | 0.0398 |
| 2.4 | 0.5319 | 0.4939 | 0.0380 |
| 2.5 | 0.4706 | 0.4354 | 0.0352 |
| 2.6 | 0.4202 | 0.3880 | 0.0322 |
| 2.7 | 0.3781 | 0.3488 | 0.0292 |
| 2.8 | 0.3425 | 0.3160 | 0.0265 |
| 2.9 | 0.3120 | 0.2880 | 0.0240 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}=3.0$ | 0.2857 | 0.2640 | 0.0217 |

In the above table the error, $E_{n}=y\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}$.

## Example E8.7

Apply Euler's method to approximate the solution of the initial value problem $\frac{d y}{d t}=-2 t y^{2}$ with $y(0)=1$ in the interval $0 \leq t \leq 0.5$, using $h=0.1$ and compute the error and the percentage error. The exact solution is $y=\frac{1}{\left(t^{2}+1\right)}$.

## Solution:

Here, Eq.(8.26) becomes

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

Since $h=0.1$ and $f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=-2 t_{n} y_{n}^{2}$, we have

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}-2 h t_{n} y_{n}^{2}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots .
$$

For $h=0.1$, we set $n=0$ and compute

$$
n=0: \quad y_{1}=y_{0}-2(0.1) t_{0} y_{0}^{2}=1-2(0.1)(0)(1)^{2}=1
$$

which will be our estimate to the value $y(0.1)$. Continuing, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=1: & y_{2}=y_{1}-2(0.1) t_{1} y_{1}^{2}=1-2(0.1)(0.1)(1)^{2}=0.98 \\
n=2: & y_{3}=y_{2}-2(0.1) t_{2} y_{2}^{2}=0.98-2(0.1)(0.2)(0.98)^{2}=0.9416
\end{array}
$$

and so on.
The exact value is given by $y=\frac{1}{t^{2}+1}$.
Table E8.7 gives a summary of the results computed for $0 \leq t \leq 0.5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Error } & =\text { exact value }-y_{n}(\text { from Euler's method }) \\
\text { Percentage error } & =\frac{\mid \text { error } \mid}{\text { exact value }} \times 100
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table E8.7, we note that Euler's method works quite well and the errors are acceptable in many practical applications.

Table E8.7

| n | t | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Error | Percentage <br> error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.9901 | 0.0099 | 0.9998 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 0.98 | 0.9615 | 0.0185 | 1.9241 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 0.9416 | 0.9174 | 0.0242 | 2.6379 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 0.8884 | 0.8621 | 0.0263 | 3.0507 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 0.8253 | 0.8 | 0.0253 | 3.1625 |

## Example E8.8

Apply the Euler's method to the ordinary differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y, y(0)=1$ using increments of size $h=0.2$. The exact solution is $y=-1-x+2 e^{x}$. Determine the error and the percentage error at each step.

## Solution:

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y
$$

when $x=0$ and $y(0)=1$.
Hence $\quad \frac{d y}{d x}=x+y=0+1=1$ or $y_{0}=1$.
Now, $h=0.2$ and $y_{1}=y_{0}+h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$
or

$$
y_{1}=y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=1+0.2(1.0)=1.2
$$

The process is continued as shown in Table E8.8.
Exact value at $x_{n}=0.2$ is

$$
y_{0.2}=-1-0.2+2 e^{0.2}=1.2428
$$

Table E8.8 gives the summary of the computations.
Error $=$ exact value - value from Euler's method.

$$
\text { Percentage error }=\frac{\mid \text { error } \mid}{\text { exact value }} \times 100
$$

Table E8.8

| n | t | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Error | Percentage <br> error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.2428 | 0.0428 | 3.4438 |
| 2 | 0.4 | 1.48 | 1.5836 | 0.1036 | 6.5421 |
| 3 | 0.6 | 1.856 | 2.0442 | 0.1882 | 9.2065 |
| 4 | 0.8 | 2.3472 | 2.6511 | 0.3039 | 11.4632 |
| 5 | 1.0 | 2.9766 | 3.4366 | 0.46 | 13.3853 |

## Example E8.9

Use Euler's method to solve the initial value problem $\frac{d y}{d t}=1-t+4 y, y(0)=1$, in the interval $0 \leq t \leq 0.5$ with $h=0.1$. The exact value is

$$
y=\frac{-9}{16}+\frac{1}{4} t+\frac{19}{16} e^{4 t}
$$

Compute the error and the percentage error.

## Solution:

Here, $f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)=1-t_{n}+4 y_{n}$ and thus

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+(0.1)\left(1-t_{n}+4 y_{n}\right)
$$

For $n=0: \quad y_{1}=y_{0}+(0.1)\left(1-t_{0}+4 y_{0}\right)=1+(0.1)(1-0+4)=1.5$
$n=1: \quad y_{2}=y_{1}+0.1\left(1-t_{1}+4 y_{1}\right)=1.5+(0.1)(1-0.1+6)=2.19$
The exact value are computed from

$$
y=\frac{-9}{16}+\frac{1}{4} t+\frac{19}{16} e^{4 t}
$$

Error $=$ exact value - value from Euler's method

$$
\text { Percentage error }=\frac{\mid \text { error } \mid}{\text { exact value }}
$$

Table E8.9 summarises the computed results.
Table E8.9

| n | t | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Error | Percentage <br> error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.6090 | 0.109 | 6.7768 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 2.19 | 2.5053 | 0.3153 | 12.5864 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 3.146 | 3.8301 | 0.6841 | 17.8620 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 4.4744 | 5.7942 | 1.3192 | 22.7783 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 6.3242 | 8.7120 | 2.3878 | 27.4082 |

## Example E8.10

Use Euler's method to solve the following differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{2} y, y(0)=1$ and $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Use $h=0.1$.

## Solution:

Using Eq.(8.26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1} & =y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=1+0.1 f(0,1) \\
f(0,1) & =f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} y_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(1)=1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
y_{1}=1+0.1(1 / 2)=1.05
$$

For $n=1: \quad y_{2}=y_{1}+h f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=1.05+0.1 f(0.1,1.05)$
where

$$
f(0.1,1.05)=\frac{1}{2}(1.05)=0.525
$$

Therefore, at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}=2 h=2(0.1)=0.2 y_{2} \text { is } \\
& y_{2}=1.05+0.1(0.525)=1.1025
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact values of $y=e^{x / 2}$ (from direct integration).
This procedure is repeated for $n=2, \ldots, 5$ and a summary of the results obtained is given in Table E8.10.
Table E8.10: Euler's method versus exact solution

| n | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ <br> $($ Euler $)$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x/2}}$ <br> (exact) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1.05 | 1.0513 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 1.05 | 0.525 | 1.1025 | 1.1052 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 1.1025 | 0.5513 | 1.1576 | 1.1619 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 1.1576 | 0.5788 | 1.2155 | 1.2214 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 1.2155 | 0.6077 | 1.2763 | 1.2840 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 1.2763 | 0.6381 | 1.3401 | 1.3499 |

### 8.3.2 Modified Euler's Method

The modified Euler's method (also called Heun's method) is a single-step, explicit, numerical technique for solving a first-order ordinary differential equation. The method is a modification of Euler's explicit method. In Section 8.3.1 on Euler's method, we stated the assumption in that method is that in each subinterval or step, the derivative or the slope between points $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)$ is constant and equal to the slope of $y(x)$ at point $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. This assumption causes error. In the modified Euler's method, the slope used for computing the value of $y_{n+1}$ is modified to include the effect of that the slope changes within the subinterval. This slope is the average of the slope at the beginning of the interval and an estimate of the slope at the end of the interval.

Hence, the slope at the beginning of the interval is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d y}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{n}}=\text { slope at } x=x_{n}=f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The slope at the end of the interval is estimated by finding first an approximate value for $y_{n+1}$, written as $y_{n+1}^{m}$ using Euler's explicit method.

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}^{m}=y_{m}+f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) h \tag{8.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimation of the slope at the end of interval is obtained by substituting the point $\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{m}\right)$ in the equation for $\frac{d y}{d x}$
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d y}{d x}\right|_{\substack{y=y_{n+1}^{m} \\ x+x_{n+1}}}=f\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{m}\right) \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{n+1}$ is then estimated using the average of the two slopes.

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+f\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{m}\right)}{2} h \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modified Euler's method is illustrated in Fig. 8.3. The slope at the beginning of the interval (given by Eq. (8.27)) and the value of $y_{n+1}^{m}$ as per Eq. (8.28) are shown in Fig. 8.3(a). Figure 8.3(b) shows the estimated slope at the end of the interval as per Eq. (8.29). The value of $y_{n+1}$ obtained using Eq. (8.30) is shown in Fig. 8.3(c).

(a) Slope at the beginning of the interval

(b) Estimate of the slope at the end of the interval

(c) Using the average of the two slopes

Fig. 8.3: The modified Euler's method
In modified Euler's method, instead of approximating $(x, y)$ by $f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ in Eq.(8.22), the integral in Eq.(8.23) is approximated using the trapezoidal rule.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{(1)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(0)}\right)\right] \tag{8.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{1}^{(0)}=y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ obtained using Euler's formula.
Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}^{(2)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(1)}\right)\right] \\
& y_{1}^{(3)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(2)}\right)\right] \\
& y_{1}^{(4)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(3)}\right)\right] \tag{8.32}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on.
Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{(n+1)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(n)}\right)\right], n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{8.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{1}^{(n)}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ approximation to $y_{1}$.
The iteration formula given by Eq.(8.33) can be started by selecting $y_{1}^{(0)}$ from the Euler's formula. The formula given by Eq.(8.33) is terminated at each step if the condition $\left|y_{n}^{(n)}-y_{n}^{(n-1)}\right|<\epsilon$, where $\in$ is a very small
arbitrary value selected depending on the level of accuracy to be accomplished is satisfied. If this happens for sa, $n=k$, then we consider $y_{n}=y_{n}^{(k)}$ and continue to compute the value of $y$ at the next point by repeating the procedure described above. Equation (8.33) can also be written as
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)+(O) h^{3} \tag{8.33a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}=h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)  \tag{8.33b}\\
& K_{2}=h+\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n}+K_{1}\right) \tag{8.33c}
\end{align*}
$$

## Example E8.11

Use the modified Euler's method to solve the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y^{2}$ with $y(0)=1$. Take the step size $h=0.1$.

## Solution:

From Eq.(8.31), we have

$$
y_{1}^{(1)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(0)}\right)\right]
$$

where

$$
y_{1}^{(0)}=y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{(1)} & =1+\frac{h}{2}\left[\left(0+1^{2}\right)+\left(0.1+\left(1+0.1\left(0+1^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& =1+0.05\left[1+\left(0.1+1.1^{2}\right)\right]=1.1155
\end{aligned}
$$

is the improved Euler's estimate.

Similarly

$$
y_{1}^{(2)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(1)}\right)\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{(1)} & =1.1155 \\
y_{1}^{(2)} & =y_{1}^{(1)}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(1)}\right)+f\left(x_{2}, y_{1}^{(1)}+h f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(1)}\right)\right]\right. \\
& =1.1155+\frac{0.1}{2}\left[\left(0.1+1.1155^{2}\right)+\left(0.2+\left(1.1155+0.1\left(0.1+1.1155^{2}\right)\right)\right)\right]=1.2499
\end{aligned}
$$

is the Euler's method estimate starting from $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{(1)}\right)$. Now, starting from $\left[x_{1}, y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right]$, we have

$$
y_{1}^{(2)}=1.1155+0.05\left[\left(0.1+1.1155^{2}\right)+\left(0.2+1.2499^{2}\right)\right]=1.2708
$$

is the improved Euler's estimate.

## Example E8.12

Use the modified Euler's method to obtain an approximate solution of $\frac{d y}{d t}=-2 t y^{2}, y(0)=1$, in the interval $0 \leq t \leq 0.5$ using $h=0.1$. Compute the error and the percentage error. Given the exact solution is given by $y=\frac{1}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)}$.

## Solution:

For $n=0: \quad y_{1}^{(1)}=y_{0}-2 h t_{0} y_{0}^{2}=1-2(0.1)(0)(1)^{2}=1$
Now

$$
y_{1}^{(1)}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[-2 t_{0} y_{0}^{2}-2 t_{1} y_{1}^{(1) 2}\right]=1-(0.1)\left[(0)(1)^{2}+(0.1)(1)^{2}\right]=0.99
$$

Table E8.12 shows the remaining calculations. Table E8.12 also shows the values obtained from the Euler's method, the modified Euler's method, the exact values, and the percentage error for the modified Euler's method.

Table E8. 12

| n | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Euler <br> $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Modified <br> Euler $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Error | Percentage <br> Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.9900 | 0.9901 | 0.0001 | 0.0101 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 0.9800 | 0.9614 | 0.9615 | 0.0001 | 0.0104 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 0.9416 | 0.9173 | 0.9174 | 0.0001 | 0.0109 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 0.8884 | 0.8620 | 0.8621 | 0.0001 | 0.0116 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 0.8253 | 0.8001 | 0.8000 | 0.0001 | 0.0125 |

In the Table E8.12,
Error $=$ exact value - value from modified Euler's method
Percentage error $=\frac{\mid \text { error } \mid}{\text { exact value }}$

## Example E8. 13

Use the modified Euler's method to find the approximate value of $y(1.5)$ for the solution of the initial value problem $\frac{d y}{d x}=2 x y, y(1)=1$. Take $h=0.1$. The exact solution is given by $y=e^{x^{2}-1}$. Determine the relative error and the percentage error.

## Solution:

With $x_{0}=1, y_{0}=1, f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=2 x_{n} y_{n}, n=0$ and $h=0.1$, we first compute $y_{1}^{(0)}=y_{0}+h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ from Eq.(8.31).

$$
y_{1}^{(0)}=y_{0}+(0.1) 2\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=1+(0.1) 2(1)(1)=1.2
$$

We use this value in Eq.(8.33) along with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=1+h=1+0.1=1.1 \\
& y_{1}^{1}=y_{0}+\left(\frac{0.1}{2}\right) 2 x_{0} y_{0}+2 x_{1} y_{1}=1+\left(\frac{0.1}{2}\right) 2(1)(1)+2(1.1)(1.2)=1.232
\end{aligned}
$$

Table E8.13 gives the values computed for the modified Euler's method, exact value, relative error and the percentage error. Exact value is calculated from $y=e^{x^{2}-1}$.

Error $=$ exact value - value from the modified Euler's method

$$
\text { Percentage relative error }=\frac{\mid \text { error } \mid}{\text { exact value }}
$$

## Table E8.13

| n | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Absolute <br> error | Percentage <br> Relative error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1.2320 | 1.2337 | 0.0017 | 0.14 |
| 2 | 1.2 | 1.5479 | 1.5527 | 0.0048 | 0.31 |
| 3 | 1.3 | 1.9832 | 1.9937 | 0.0106 | 0.53 |
| 4 | 1.4 | 1.5908 | 2.6117 | 0.0209 | 0.80 |
| 5 | 1.5 | 3.4509 | 3.4904 | 0.0394 | 1.13 |

## Example E8.14

Repeat Example E8.10 using the Modified Euler's method.

## Solution:

From Eqs.(8.33a) to (8.33c), we have
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=h\left(\frac{1}{2} y_{0}\right)=0.1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=0.05 \\
& K_{2}=h f\left(x_{1}, y_{0}+K_{1}\right)=h\left[\frac{y_{0}+K_{1}}{2}\right]=0.1\left[\frac{1+0.05}{2}\right]=0.0525
\end{aligned}
$$

The functional approximate at $x_{1}=0.1(n=1)$ is given by

$$
y_{1}=y_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2}(0.05+0.0525)=1.05125 \approx 1.0513
$$

Hence, at $x_{2}=0.2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=0.1\left[\frac{0.05125}{2}\right]=0.0526 \\
& K_{2}=0.1\left[\frac{1.0513+0.0526}{2}\right]=0.0552
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y_{2}=1.0513+\frac{1}{2}(0.0526+0.0552)=1.1051
$$

This procedure is repeated for $n=2,3,4$ and 5 to give the functional approximations shown in Table E8.14.
Table E8.14

| n | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ <br> (modified Euler) | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ <br> (exact) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.05 | 0.0525 | 1.0513 | 1.0513 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 1.0513 | 0.0526 | 0.0552 | 1.1051 | 1.1052 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 1.1051 | 0.0526 | 0.0581 | 1.1618 | 1.1619 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 1.1618 | 0.0581 | 0.0699 | 1.2213 | 1.2214 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 1.2213 | 0.0611 | 0.0641 | 1.2839 | 1.2840 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 1.2839 | 0.0642 | 0.0674 | 1.3513 | 1.3499 |

Table E8.14 clearly shows that the modified Euler's method gives better accuracy for the same $h$ interval when compared with the basic Euler's method.

### 8.3.3 Runge-Kutta Methods

Runge-Kutta methods are a family of single-step, explicit, numerical techniques for solving a first-order ordinary differential equation. Various types of Runge-Kutta methods are classified according to their order. The order identifies the number of points within the subinterval that are utilised for finding the value of the slope in Eq.(8.7). For instance, second-order Runge-Kutta methods use the slope at two points, third-order methods use three-points, and so on. The classical Runge-Kutta method is of order four and uses four points. Runge-Kutta methods give a more accurate solution compared to the simpler Euler's explicit method. The accuracy increases with increasing order of Runge-Kutta method.

### 8.3.3.1 Runge-Kutta Method of Order Two

In the Runge-Kutta method of order two, we consider up to the second derivative term in the Taylor series expansion and then substitute the derivative terms with the appropriate function values in the interval.

Consider the Taylor series expansion of the function about $y_{n}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{2} g^{\prime}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h\left[g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{h}{2} g^{\prime}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right] \tag{8.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, substituting

$$
g^{\prime}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

where

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

From the differential equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h\left[g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right] \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here that the factor inside the square brackets consisting of the derivatives may be substituted with a function of the type $a g(x+\alpha, y+\beta)$ in a Taylor series expansion, such that from Eq. (8.34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h\left[a g\left(x_{n}+\alpha, y_{n}+\beta\right)\right] \tag{8.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, expanding the function $g\left(x_{n}+\alpha, y_{n}+\beta\right)$ in Eq.(8.36) in a Taylor series expansion with two variables about ( $x_{n}, y_{n}$ ) and considering only the first derivative terms, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h a\left[g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}+\beta \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\right] \tag{8.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, equating the coefficients of the respective terms on the right hand side of Eqs.(8.35) and (8.37), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =1 \\
\alpha & =h / 2  \tag{8.38}\\
\beta & =h / 2 g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and
Therefore, Eq.(8.36) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h g\left[x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{n}+\frac{h}{2} g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right] \tag{8.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.39) can also be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h K_{2} \tag{8.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}=h g\left[x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{n}+\frac{K_{1}}{2}\right] \tag{8.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=h g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \tag{8.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Runge-Kutta method of order two is also known as the Midpoint method because the derivative is replaced by functions evaluated at the midpoint $x_{n}+h / 2$.

The midpoint method is illustrated schematically in Fig. 8.4. The determination of the midpoint with Euler's explicit method using $y_{m}=y_{n}+f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) h / 2$ is shown in Fig. 8.4(a). Figure 8.4(b) shows the estimated slope that is computed with the equation

$$
\left.\frac{d y}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{m}}=f\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)
$$

Figure 8.4(c) shows the value of $y_{n+1}$ obtained using

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+f\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right) h .
$$



Fig. 8.4: The midpoint method

The local truncation error in the Runge-Kutta method of order two is $O\left(h^{3}\right)$, and the global truncation error is $O\left(h^{2}\right)$. Note that this is smaller by a factor of $h$ than the truncation errors in Euler's explicit method. In other words, for the same accuracy, a larger step size can be used. However, in each step, the function $f(x, y)$ in the Runge-Kutta method of order two is computed twice.

## Example E8.15

Use the second-order Runge-Kutta method with $h=0.1$, find $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ for $\frac{d y}{d x}=-x y^{2}, y(2)=1$.

## Solution:

For $f(x, y)=-x y^{2}$, the modified Euler's method, Eq.(8.40) is

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}-0.1\left(x_{n}+0.05\right)\left[y_{n}+0.05 f_{n}\right]^{2},
$$

where

$$
f_{n}=-x_{n} y_{n}^{2}
$$

$n=0:$
Here $\quad x_{0}=2$ and $y_{0}=1$, hence $f_{0}=-2(1)^{2}=-2$

$$
y_{1}=1-0.1(2+0.05)[1+0.05(-2)]^{2}=0.83395
$$

$n=1$ :
Now $\quad x_{1}=2.1$ and $y_{1}=0.83395$; hence $f_{1}=-x_{1} y_{n}^{2}=-1.46049$
Hence, $\quad y_{2}=0.83395-0.1(2.1+0.05)[0.83395+0.05(-1.46049)]^{2}=0.70946$
Relative error when $n=0$ is
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}(0.1)=0.8299-0.83395-0.00405 \\
& E_{2}(0.1)=0.7042-0.70946-0.00526
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing these values ( $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ ) with the exact values obtained in Table E8.1, we see that the secondorder Runge-Kutta method do indeed give accuracy comparable to the second-order Taylor's series method without requiring partial derivatives.

## Example E8.16

Use Runge-Kutta method of order two to integrate $\frac{d y}{d x}=\sin y$ with $y(0)=1$ from $x=0$ to 0.5 in steps of $h=0.1$. Keep four decimal places in the calculations.

## Solution:

Here

$$
g(x, y)=\sin y
$$

Hence, the integration formulae in Eqs.(8.41) to (8.42) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & =h g(x, y)=0.1 \sin y \\
K_{2} & =h f\left(x+\frac{h}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2} K_{1}\right)=0.1 \sin \left(y+\frac{K_{1}}{2}\right) \\
y(x+h) & =y(x)+K_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Given that $y(0)=1$, we can carry out the integration as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & =0.1 \sin (1)=0.0841 \\
K_{2} & =0.1 \sin \left(1+\frac{0.0841}{2}\right)=0.0863 \\
y(0.1) & =1+0.0863=1.0863 \\
K_{1} & =0.1 \sin (1.0863)=0.0885 \\
K_{2} & =0.1 \sin \left(1.0863+\frac{0.0885}{2}\right)=0.0905 \\
y(0.2) & =1.0863+0.0905=1.1768
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on.
The computations are summarised in Table E8.16 to four decimal places.
Table E8. 16

| x | y | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0.0841 | 0.0863 |
| 0.1 | 1.0863 | 0.0885 | 0.0905 |
| 0.2 | 1.1768 | 0.0925 | 0.0940 |
| 0.3 | 1.2708 | 0.0955 | 0.0968 |
| 0.4 | 1.3676 | 0.0979 | 0.0988 |
| 0.5 | 1.4664 |  |  |

### 8.3.3.2 Runge-Kutta Method of Order Four

In the classical Runge-Kutta method of order four, the derivatives are evaluated at four points, once at each end and twice at the interval midpoint as given below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{n+1}\right)=y\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{h}{6}\left(K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 K_{3}+K_{4}\right) \tag{8.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K_{1}=g\left[x_{n}, y_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]
$$

$$
K_{2}=g\left[x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} K_{1} h\right]
$$

$$
K_{3}=g\left[x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} K_{2} h\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{4}=g\left[x_{n}+h, y\left(x_{n}\right)+K_{3} h\right] \tag{8.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classical Runge-Kutta method of order four is illustrated schematically in Fig. 8.5, Figs. 8.5(a) to (c) show the determination of the slopes in Eq. (8.4). Figure 8.5(a) shows the slope $K_{1}$ and how it is used to compute slope $K_{2}$. Figure 8.5(b) shows how slope $K_{2}$ is used to find the slope $K_{3}$. Figure 8.5(c) shows how slope $K_{3}$ is used to find the slope $K_{4}$. Figure 8.5(d) shows the application of Eq. (8.43) where the slope used for evaluating $y_{n+1}$ is a weighted average of the slopes $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$.


Fig. 8.5: The classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method
The local truncation error in the classical Runge-Kutta method of order four is $O\left(h^{5}\right)$, and the global truncation error is $O\left(h^{4}\right)$. This method gives the most accurate solution compared to the other methods. Equation (8.44) is the most accurate formula available without extending outside the interval [ $x_{n}, x_{n+1}$ ].

Equations (8.43) and (8.44) can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{1}{6}\left[K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 K_{3}+K_{4}\right] \tag{8.44a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K_{1}=h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n 0}\right)
$$

$$
K_{2}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\right)
$$

$$
K_{3}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{n}+\frac{K_{2}}{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{4}=h f\left(x_{n}+h, y_{n}+K_{3}\right) \tag{8.44b}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example E8.17

Use the Runge-Kutta method of order four with $h=0.1$ to obtain an approximation to $y(1.5)$ for the solution of $\frac{d y}{d x}=2 x y, y(1)=1$. The exact solution is given by $y=e^{x^{2}-1}$. Determine the relative error and the percentage relative error.

## Solution:

For $n=0$, from Eq. (8.44), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=g\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=2 x_{0} y_{0}=2 \\
& K_{2}=g\left[x_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.1), y_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.1)(2)\right]=2\left[x_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.1)\right]\left[y_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.2)\right]=2.31 \\
& K_{3}=g\left[x_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.1), y_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.1) 2.31\right]=2\left[x_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.1)\right]\left[y_{0}+\frac{1}{2}(0.231)\right]=2.3426 \\
& K_{4}=g\left[x_{0}+0.1, y_{0}+0.1(2.3426)=2\left(x_{0}+0.1\right)\left(y_{0}+0.2343\right)=2.7154\right. \\
& y_{1}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{6}\left[K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 K_{3}+K_{4}\right]=1+\frac{0.1}{6}[2+2(2.31)+2(2.3426)+2.7154]=1.2337
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
Table E8.17 summarises the computations. In Table E8.17, exact value is computed from $y=e^{x^{2}-1}$. The absolute error = exact value minus the value from the Runge-Kutta method. Percentage relative error $=\mid$ error|/exact value.

Table E8.17

| n | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Absolute <br> error | Percentage <br> relative error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1.2337 | 1.2337 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1.2 | 1.5527 | 1.5527 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1.3 | 1.9937 | 1.9937 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1.4 | 2.6116 | 2.6117 | 0.0001 | 0 |
| 5 | 1.5 | 3.4902 | 3.4904 | 0.0001 | 0 |

## Example E8.18

Use the Runge-Kutta method of order four with $h=0.1$ on $(2,3)$ for the initial problem $\frac{d y}{d t}=-x y^{2}$, $y(2)=1$. Compute the results obtained with the exact solution $y(x)=\frac{2}{x^{2}-2}$.

## Solution:

Starting with $t_{0}=2, y_{0}=1$, Eq. (8.44) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=f(2.0,1)=-(2)(1)^{2}=-2 \\
& K_{2}=f(2.05,1+0.05(-2))=-(2.05)(0.9)^{2}=-1.6605 \\
& K_{3}=f(2.05,1+0.05(-1.6605))=-(2.05)(0.916975)^{2}=-1.72373 \\
& K_{4}=f(2.1,1+0.1(-1.72373))=-(2.1)(0.82763)^{2}=-1.43843 \\
& y_{1}=y_{0}-\frac{0.1}{6}\{2+2(1.6605+1.72373)+1.43843\}=0.829885
\end{aligned}
$$

Table E8.18 shows both the Runge-Kutta method of order 4 values and the exact values of $y(2.0), y(2.1), \ldots$, $y(3.0)$ rounded to six decimal places. The exact values in Table E8.18, $y_{n}$ were computed from $y(x)=\frac{2}{x^{2}-2}$.

## Table E8. 18

| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 2.1 | 0.829885 | 0.829876 |
| 2.2 | 0.704237 | 0.704225 |
| 2.3 | 0.607914 | 0.607903 |
| 2.4 | 0.531924 | 0.531915 |
| 2.5 | 0.470596 | 0.470588 |
| 2.6 | 0.420175 | 0.420168 |
| 2.7 | 0.378078 | 0.378072 |
| 2.8 | 0.342471 | 0.342466 |
| 2.9 | 0.312017 | 0.312012 |
| 3.0 | 0.285718 | 0.285714 |

The reasons for the popularity of Runge-Kutta method of order 4 are evident from Table E8.18. Clearly the method is more accurate. However, four slope values must be computed at each step. This is a short coming of the method.

## Example E8.19

Using the Runge-Kutta method of order four and with $h=0.2$ to obtain an approximate solution of $\frac{d y}{d t}=-2 t y^{2}, y(0)=1$, in the initial $0 \leq t \leq 1$ with $h=0.2$. The exact value of $y$ is given by $y=\frac{1}{1+t^{2}} \cdot$ Compute the relative error and the percentage relative error.

## Solution:

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=-2 t_{n} y_{n}^{2} \\
& K_{2}=-2\left(t_{n}+0.1\right),\left(y_{n}+0.1 K_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& K_{3}=-2\left(t_{n}+0.1\right),\left(y_{n}+0.1 K_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& K_{4}=-2\left(t_{n+1}\right)\left(y_{n}+0.2 K_{3}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\quad n=0$ :

$$
K_{1}=0, K_{2}=-0.2, K_{3}=-0.192 \text { and } K_{4}=-0.37
$$

Therefore, $\quad y_{1}=1-\frac{0.2}{6}[2(0.2)+2(0.192)+0.37]=0.9615$.
Table E 8.19 gives the summary of the calculations. In the Table E8.19, the exact values are calculated using $y=\frac{1}{1+t^{2}}$. The absolute error $=$ exact value minus the value from the Runge-Kutta method. Percentage relative error $=\mid$ error $\mid /$ exact value.

Table E8. 19

| n | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Absolute <br> error | Percentage <br> relative error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.9615 | 0.9615 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.4 | 0.8621 | 0.8621 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.6 | 0.7353 | 0.7353 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.8 | 0.6098 | 0.6098 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 |

## Example E8. 20

Find an approximate solution to the initial value problem $\frac{d y}{d t}=1-t+4 y, y(0)=1$, in the initial $0 \leq t \leq 1$ using Runge-Kutta method of order four with $h=0.1$. Compute the exact value given by $y=\frac{-9}{16}+\frac{1}{4} t+\frac{19}{16} e^{4 t}$.

Compute the absolute error and the percentage relative error.

## Solution:

For $n=0$, from Eq.(8.44), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=5 \\
& K_{2}=f(0+0.05,1+0.25)=5.95 \\
& K_{3}=f(0+0.05,1+0.2975)=6.14 \\
& K_{4}=f(0.1,1+0.614)=7.356
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
y_{1}=1+\frac{0.1}{6}[5+2(5.95)+2(6.14)+7.356]=1.6089
$$

Table E 8.20 gives a summary of all the calculations for $y_{n}$, exact value, absolute error and the percentage relative error.

Table E8.20

| n | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Runge-Kutta <br> $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact <br> value | Absolute <br> error | Percentage <br> relative error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1 | 1.6089 | 1.6090 | 0.0001 | 0.0062 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 2.5050 | 2.5053 | 0.0002 | 0.0119 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 3.8294 | 3.8301 | 0.0007 | 0.07 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 5.7928 | 5.7942 | 0.0014 | 0.14 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 8.7093 | 8.7120 | 0.0027 | 0.27 |

The superiority of the Runge-Kutta method of order four is clearly demonstrated in this Table E8.20 in comparison with the Euler's method [Table E8.9].

## Example E8.21

Use the Runge-Kutta method of order four and with $h=0.1$ to find an approximate solution of $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}+y$ at $x=0.1,0.2$ and 0.4 . Given that $y=-1$ when $x=0$.

## Solution:

Equation (8.44) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=g\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) h=\left[0^{2}-1\right] 0.1=-0.1 \\
& K_{2}=g\left[x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{1}{2} K_{1}\right] h=\left[(0.05)^{2}-1.05\right] 0.1=-0.1047 \\
& K_{3}=g\left[x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{1}{2} K_{2}\right] h=\left[(0.05)^{2}-1.0524\right] 0.1=-0.1050 \\
& K_{4}=g\left[x_{0}+h, y_{0}+K_{3}\right] h=\left[(0.1)^{2}-1.105\right] 0.1=-0.1095
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\quad \Delta y_{1}=\frac{1}{6}\left[K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 K_{3}+K_{4}\right]=\frac{1}{6}[-0.1+2(-0.1047)+2(-0.1050)+(-0.1095)]=-0.1048$
Hence $\quad y_{1}=y_{0}+\Delta y_{1}=-1.1048$
For the second increment, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & =-0.1095, K_{2}=-0.1137, K_{3}=-0.1139 \text { and } K_{4}=-0.1179 \\
\Delta y_{2} & =-0.1138
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\quad y_{2}=y_{1}+\Delta y_{2}=-1.2186$
For the third increment, we have

$$
K_{1}=-0.1179, K_{2}=-0.1215, K_{3}=-0.1217 \text { and } K_{4}=-0.1250
$$

and

$$
\Delta y_{3}=-0.1215
$$

Hence

$$
y_{3}=y_{2}+\Delta y_{2}=-1.3401 .
$$

## Example E8.22

Repeat Example E8.10 using the Runge-Kutta method of order four. Use $h=1$.

## Solution:

Here $\quad f(x, y)=y / 2$
From Eq. (8.44b), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=1 f(0,1)=1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \\
& K_{2}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{K_{1}}{2}\right)=1 f\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{4}\right)=\frac{\frac{5}{4}}{2}=\frac{5}{8} \\
& K_{3}=h f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+\frac{K_{2}}{2}\right)=1 f\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{21}{16}\right)=\frac{\frac{21}{16}}{2}=\frac{21}{32} \\
& K_{4}=h f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+K_{3}\right)=1 f\left(1, \frac{53}{32}\right)=\frac{\frac{53}{32}}{2}=\frac{53}{64}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq.(8.44a), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =y(1)=y_{0}+\frac{1}{6}\left[K_{1}+2 K_{2}+2 K_{3}+K_{4}\right] \\
y(1) & =1+\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+2\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)+2\left(\frac{21}{32}\right)+\frac{53}{64}=1.6484
\end{aligned}
$$

The exact value

$$
y(1)=e^{x / 2}=e^{1 / 2}=1.6487
$$

### 8.3.4 Predictor-Corrector Methods

Predictor-corrector methods refer to a family of schemes for solving ordinary differential equations using two formulae: predictor and corrector formulae. In predictor-corrector methods, four prior values are required to find the value of $y$ at $x_{n}$. Predictor-corrector methods have the advantage of giving an estimate of error from successive approximations to $y_{n}$. The predictor is an explicit formula and is used first to determine an estimate of the solution $y_{n+1}$. The value $y_{n+1}$ is calculated from the known solution at the previous point $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ using single-step method or several previous points (multi-step methods). If $x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$ are two consecutive mesh points such that $x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h$, then in Euler's method we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(x_{0}+n h, y_{n}\right), \quad n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \tag{8.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once an estimate of $y_{n+1}$ is found, the corrector is applied. The corrector uses the estimated value of $y_{n+1}$ on the right-hand side of an otherwise implicit formula for computing a new, more accurate value for $y_{n+1}$ on the left-hand side.

The modified Euler's method gives as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+f\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)\right. \tag{8.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $y_{n+1}$ is first estimated by Eq.(8.45) and then utilised in the right-hand side of Eq.(8.46) resulting in a better approximation of $y_{n+1}$. The value of $y_{n+1}$ thus obtained is again substituted in Eq.(8.46) to find a still better approximation of $y_{n+1}$. This procedure is repeated until two consecutive iterated values of $y_{n+1}$ are very close. Here, the corrector equation (8.46) which is an implicit equation is being used in an explicit manner since no solution of a non-linear equation is required.

In addition, the application of corrector can be repeated several times such that the new value of $y_{n+1}$ is substituted back on the right-hand side of the corrector formula to obtain a more refined value for $y_{n+1}$. The technique of refining an initially crude estimate of $y_{n+1}$ by means of a more accurate formula is known as predictor-corrector method. Equation (8.45) is called the predictor and Eq. (8.46) is called the corrector of $y_{n+1}$. In what follows, we describe two such predictor-corrector methods:

1. Adams-Moulton method.
2. Milne's predictor-corrector method.

### 8.3.4.1 Adams-Moulton Predictor-Corrector Method

The Adams-Moulton method is an implicit multi-step method for solving first-order ordinary differential equations. There are several versions of Adams-Moulton formulas available for computing the value of $y_{n+1}$ by using the previously obtained solution at two or more points. These formulas are classified based on their order, that is, based on the number of points used in the formula and the order of the global truncation error. For instance, in the second-order formula, two points $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)$ are used. In the thirdorder formula, three points $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right),\left(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{n-2}, y_{n-2}\right)$ are used and so on.

Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0} \tag{8.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating Eq. (8.47), we obtain
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x, y) d x  \tag{8.48}\\
& y_{1}=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x, y) d x, \quad x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{1} \tag{8.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the Newton's backward difference formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=f_{0}+n \nabla f_{0}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \nabla^{2} f_{0}+\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6} \nabla^{3} f_{0}+\cdots \tag{8.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
n=\frac{x-x_{0}}{h} \text { and } f_{0}=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)
$$

Now, substituting $f(x, y)$ from Eq.(8.50) into the right-hand side of Eq.(8.49), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=y_{0} \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[f_{1}+n \nabla f_{0}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \nabla^{2} f_{0}+\cdots\right] d x \\
& y_{1}=y_{0}+h \int_{0}^{1}\left[f_{0}+n \nabla f_{0}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \nabla^{2} f_{0}+\cdots\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

or $\quad y=y_{0}+h\left[1+\frac{1}{2} \nabla+\frac{5}{12} \nabla^{2}+\frac{3}{8} \nabla^{3}+\frac{251}{720} \nabla^{4}+\cdots\right] f_{0}$
We note here that the right hand side of Eq.(8.51) depends on $y_{0}, y_{-1}, y_{-2}, \ldots$ all of which are known. Hence, we can write Eq.(8.51) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{p}=y_{0}+h\left[1+\frac{1}{2} \nabla+\frac{5}{12} \nabla^{2}+\frac{3}{8} \nabla^{3}+\frac{251}{720} \nabla^{4}+\cdots\right] f_{0} \tag{8.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.52) is called the Adams-Bashforth formula and is used as a predictor formula.
A corrector formula is derived by applying the Newton's backward difference formula at $f_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=f_{1}+n \nabla f_{1}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \nabla^{2} f_{1}+\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6} \nabla^{3} f_{1}+\cdots \tag{8.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting $f(x, y)$ from Eq.(8.53) into the right-hand side of Eq.(8.49), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{1} & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[f_{1}+n \nabla f_{1}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \nabla^{2} f_{1}+\cdots\right] d x=y_{0}+h \int_{-1}^{0}\left[f_{1}+n \nabla f_{1}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \nabla^{2} f_{1}+\cdots\right] d x \\
\text { or } \quad y & =y_{0}+h\left[1-\frac{1}{2} \nabla-\frac{1}{12} \nabla^{2}-\frac{1}{24} \nabla^{3}-\frac{19}{720} \nabla^{4}+\cdots\right] f_{1} \tag{8.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (8.54) shows that the right-hand side depends on $y_{1}, y_{0}, y_{-1}, y_{-2}, \ldots$, where $y_{1}^{p}$ is used for $y_{1}$. Hence, the new value of $y_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}^{C}=y_{0}+h\left[1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{-5}{12} \nabla^{2}-\frac{3}{8} \nabla^{3}-\frac{251}{720} \nabla^{4}\right] f_{1}^{p}  \tag{8.55}\\
& f_{1}^{p}=f_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}^{p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

the formula, Eq.(8.55) is called the Adams-Moulton corrector formula. Now expressing the remaining difference operators in their functional values and neglecting the fourth and higher order differences, Eqs.(8.51) and (8.55) become respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{p}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{24}\left[55 f_{0}-59 f_{-1}+37 f_{-2}-9 f_{3}\right] \tag{8.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{C}=y_{0}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9 f_{1}^{p}+19 f_{0}-5 f_{-1}-9 f_{-2}\right] \tag{8.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.57), known as the corrector formula is repeatedly applied by computing an improved value of $f_{1}$ at each stage, to obtain a better value of $y_{1}$ unless it becomes stable and remains unchanged and then we proceed to calculate $y_{2}$.

The approximate errors in Eqs.(8.56) and (8.57) are $\frac{251}{720} h^{5} f_{0}^{(4)}$ and $\frac{-19}{720} h^{5} f_{0}^{(4)}$ respectively.

It should be noted here that in order to apply Adams-Moulton method, we require four starting values of $y$, which can be obtained by using Picard's method of successive approximation or Taylor series method or Euler's method or Runge-Kutta methods.

Summarising, the Adams-Bashforth and Adam-Moulton formulae are given by
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}^{p}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{24}\left[55 f_{n}-59 f_{n-1}+37 f_{n-2}-9 f_{n-3}\right] \tag{8.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}^{C}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9 f_{n+1}+19 f_{n}-5 f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}\right] \tag{8.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The local error estimates for Eqs.(8.58) and (8.59) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{251}{720} h^{5} y^{v}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \text { and } \frac{-19}{720} h^{5} y^{v}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{8.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{n+1}^{0}$ represent the value of $y_{n+1}$ found using Eq.(8.58) and $y_{n+1}^{1}$ the solution obtained with one application of Eqs.(8.58) and (8.59). If $y\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ represents the exact value of $y$ at $x_{n+1}$ and the values of $f$ are assumed to be exact at all points including $x_{n}$, then from Eq.(8.60), we obtain the order estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& y\left(x_{n+1}\right)-y_{n+1}^{0}=\frac{251}{720} h^{5} y^{v}\left(\xi_{1}\right)  \tag{8.61}\\
& y\left(x_{n+1}\right)-y_{n+1}^{1}=\frac{-19}{720} h^{5} y^{v}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{8.62}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to the estimate of $y^{v}$, based on the assumption that the over the interval of interest $y^{v}(x)$ is approximately constant, as

$$
h^{5} y^{v}=\frac{720}{270}\left[y_{n+1}^{1}-y_{n+1}^{0}\right]
$$

Hence, from Eq. (8.62), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{n+1}\right)-y_{n+1}^{1}=\frac{-19}{720}\left[y_{n+1}^{1}-y_{n+1}^{0}\right] \approx \frac{-1}{14}\left[y_{n+1}^{1}-y_{n+1}^{0}\right]=D_{n+1} \tag{8.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the error of the corrected value is approximately $-1 / 14$ of the difference between the corrected and the predicted values.

## Example E8.23

Use the Adams-Moulton method on $(2,3)$ with $h=0.1$ for the initial value problem $\frac{d y}{d x}=-x y^{2}, y(2)=1$. Exact solution is $y(x)=\frac{2}{x^{2}-2}$.

## Solution:

We will try to obtain about four significant digits. To start the method, we use the following exact values to seven significant digits.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{0}=2.0: ~ & y_{0}=y(2.0)=1.0 ;
\end{array} & f_{0}=-x_{0} y_{0}^{2}=-2.0 \\
x_{1}=2.1: y_{1}=y(2.1)=1.8298755 ; & f_{1}=-x_{1} y_{1}^{2}=-1.446256 \\
x_{2}=2.2: ~ & y_{2}=y(2.2)=0.7042254 ; & f_{2}=-x_{2} y_{2}^{2}=-1.091053 \\
x_{3}=2.3: y_{3}=y(2.3)=0.6079027 ; & f_{3}=x_{3} y_{3}^{2}=-0.8499552 \\
n=3 & y_{4}^{p}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{24}\left[55 f_{3}-59 f_{2}+37 f_{1}-9 f_{0}\right]=0.5333741 \\
& y_{4}^{C}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9\left(-x_{4}\left(y_{4}^{p}\right)^{2}+19 f_{3}-5 f_{2}+f_{1}\right)\right]=0.5317149
\end{array}
$$

The local truncation error estimate is from Eq. (8.62),

$$
y\left(x_{n+1}\right)-y_{n+1}^{1}=\frac{-19}{720}\left[y_{4}^{C}-y_{4}^{p}\right]=\frac{-19}{720}[0.5317149-0.5333741]=0.0001144
$$

Since the local truncation error estimate indicates possible inaccuracy in the $4^{\text {th }}$ decimal place ( $4^{\text {th }}$ significant digit) of $y_{4}^{C}$, we take $y_{4}^{C}$ as an improved $y_{4}^{p}$ to get an improved as follows:

$$
y_{4}^{C}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9\left[-x_{4}(0.5117149)^{2}\right]+19 f_{3}-5 f_{2}+f_{1}\right]=0.5318739
$$

The local truncation error estimate of this $y_{4}^{C}$ is

$$
\frac{-19}{720}[0.5318739-0.5317149]=-0.0000112
$$

indicating that $y_{4}^{C}$ should be accurate to about 5 significant digits.

$$
\begin{aligned}
n=4: & f_{4}
\end{aligned}=f\left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)=-(2.4)(0.5318739)^{2}=-0.6789358
$$

The local truncation error estimate is $\frac{-19}{720}\left[y_{5}^{C}-y_{5}^{p}\right]=0.0000562$. As before, this estimate indicates possible inaccuracy in the $4^{\text {th }}$ significant digit of $y_{5}^{C}$. Hence, we get an improved $y_{5}$ as

$$
y_{5}^{C}=y_{4}+\frac{h}{24}\left[-9\left(-x_{5}(0.4704654)^{2}\right]+19 f_{4}-5 f_{3}+f_{2}\right]=0.4705358
$$

The local truncation error estimate for this $y_{5}^{C}$ is

$$
\frac{-19}{270}[0.4705358-0.4704654]=-0.0000050
$$

indicating this $y_{5}^{C}$ should be accurate to about 5 significant digits.
Table E8.23 summarises the computations and comparison with the exact solution $y\left(x_{n}\right)$ and the relative error $E_{n}(h)$.

Table E8.23: Adams-Moulton method value for $\frac{d y}{d x}=-x y^{2}, y(2)=1$ with $h=0.1$

| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | Exact $\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ | Using Adams-Moulton method |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{h})$ |
| $\mathrm{x}_{0}=2.0$ | 1.000000 | Exact | - |
| 2.1 | 0.829876 | Exact | - |
| 2.2 | 0.704225 | Exact | - |
| 2.3 | 0.607903 | Exact | - |
| 2.4 | 0.531915 | 0.531874 | 0.000041 |
| 2.5 | 0.470588 | 0.470536 | 0.000052 |
| 2.6 | 0.420168 | 0.420114 | 0.000054 |
| 2.7 | 0.378072 | 0.378020 | 0.000052 |
| 2.8 | 0.342466 | 0.342419 | 0.000047 |
| 2.9 | 0.312012 | 0.311971 | 0.000041 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}=3.0$ | 0.285714 | 0.285674 | 0.000040 |

## Example E8.24

Approximate the $y$ value at $x=0.4$ of the following differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=0.5 y, y(0)=1.0$ using the Adams-Moulton method.

## Solution:

The predicted value at $x=0.4$ is given by Eq. (8.58)
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+1}^{p}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{24}\left[55 f_{n}-59 f_{n-1}+37 f_{n-2}-9 f_{n-3}\right] \\
& y_{4}^{p}=y_{3}+\frac{0.1}{24}\left[55 f_{0}-59 f_{2}+37 f_{1}-9 f_{0}\right] \tag{E.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{0}, f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ values are obtained from the Table E8.10. Substituting the values of $f_{0}, f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ from Table E8.10, Eq.(E.1) becomes

$$
y_{4}^{p}=1.1576+\frac{0.1}{24}[55(0.5)-59(0.5513)+37(0.525)-9(0.5)]=1.1988
$$

The corrected value is obtained by first evaluating $f\left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)$, then substituting into Eq. (8.59). That is,

$$
f_{4}=f\left(x_{4}, y_{4}, p\right)=\frac{1}{2}(1.2213)=0.6106
$$

and from Eq.(8.59)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1}^{C} & =y_{n}+\frac{h}{24}\left[9 f_{n+1}+19 f_{n}-5 f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}\right] \\
y_{4}^{C} & =y_{3}+\frac{0.1}{24}\left[9 f_{4}+19 f_{3}-5 f_{2}+f_{1}\right] \\
& =1.1576+\frac{0.1}{24}[9(0.6129)+19(0.5788)-5(0.5513)+0.5250]=1.2171
\end{aligned}
$$

The corrected value (1.2171) is clearly more accurate than the predicted value (1.1988) when compared with the exact value of $y_{4}=1.2214$.

### 8.3.4.2 Milne's Predictor-Corrector Method

Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y) \quad y(0)=0 \tag{8.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating Eq.(8.64), we obtain
or

$$
y=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(x) d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{4}} f(x, y) d x \text { in the range } x_{0} \leq x \leq x_{4} \tag{8.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Newton's forward difference formula, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=f_{0}+n \Delta f_{0}+\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \Delta^{2} f_{0}+\frac{f(n+1)(n+2)}{6} \Delta^{3} f_{0}+\cdots \tag{8.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq.(8.66) into the right-hand side of Eq.(8.65), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{4} & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{4}}\left[f_{0}+n \Delta f_{n}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Delta^{2} f_{0}+\cdots\right] d x \\
& =y_{0}+h \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{4}}\left[f_{0}+n \Delta f_{n}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Delta^{2} f_{0}+\cdots\right] d n  \tag{8.67}\\
y & =y_{1}+h\left[4 f_{0}+8 \Delta f_{0}+\frac{20}{3} \Delta^{2} f_{0}+\frac{8}{3} \Delta^{3} f_{0}+\cdots\right]
\end{align*}
$$

or
Neglecting the fourth and higher order differences and expressing the differences $\Delta f_{0}, \Delta^{2} f_{0}$ and $\Delta^{3} f_{0}$ in terms of the functional values, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}+\frac{4}{3} h\left[2 f_{1}-f_{2}+2 f_{3}\right] \tag{8.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.68) can be used to predict the value of $y_{4}$ when those of $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ and $y_{3}$ are known. Once we obtain $y_{4}$, we can then find a first approximation to

$$
f_{4}=f\left(x_{0}+4 h, y_{4}\right)
$$

A better values of $y_{4}$ can then be obtained by applying Simpson's rule as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4}=y_{2}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f_{2}+4 f_{3}+f_{4}\right] \tag{8.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (8.64) called a corrector. An improved value of $f_{4}$ is calculated and again the corrector is applied to obtain a still better value of $y_{4}$. The procedure is repeated until $y_{4}$ remains unchanged. After obtaining $y_{4}$ and $f_{4}$ to a desired degree of accuracy, then $y_{5}=\left(x_{0}+5 h\right)$ is obtained from the predicted as

$$
y_{5}=y_{1}+\frac{4}{3} h\left[2 f_{2}-f_{3}+2 f_{4}\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{5}=f\left[x_{0}+5 h, y_{5}\right]
$$

is computed.
A better approximation to the value of $y_{5}$ is then obtained from the corrector as

$$
y_{5}=y_{3}+\frac{h}{3}\left[f_{3}+4 f_{4}+f_{5}\right]
$$

This step is repeated until $y_{5}$ becomes stable and then we proceed to compute $y_{6}$ as before. This procedure is known as Milne's predictor-corrector method. The accuracy of the method is improved if we must improve the starting values and then sub-divide the intervals.

Summarising, the predictor and corrector formulae are given by
and $\quad y_{n+1}^{C}=y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{3}\left[f_{n+1}^{p}+4 f_{n}+f_{n-1}\right]$
The corresponding error estimates for Eqs.(8.70) and (8.71) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{p}=\frac{28}{29} h^{5} y^{v}\left(\xi_{1}\right)  \tag{8.72}\\
& e_{m}^{p}=-\frac{1}{90} h^{5} y^{v}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{8.73}
\end{align*}
$$

The local error estimate can be shown to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n+1}=\frac{-1}{29}\left[y_{n+1}^{1}-y_{n+1}^{0}\right] \tag{8.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here that Eq.(8.71) can be subjected to numerical instability in some cases.

## Example E8.25

Approximate the $y$ value at $x=0.4$ of the differential equation $d y=\frac{1}{2} y, y(0)=1.0$ using the Milne predictorcorrector method.

## Solution:

The predicted $y$ value at $x=4$ and $n=3$ is given by Eq. (8.70). Hence
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1}^{p} & =y_{n-3}+\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 f_{n}-f_{n-1}+2 f_{n-2}\right] \\
y_{4}^{p} & =y_{0}+\frac{4(0.1)}{3}\left[2 f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)+2 f\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we use the past values given in Example E8.10.

$$
y_{4}^{p}=1+\frac{0.4}{3}[2(0.5250)-0.5513+2(0.5788)]=1.2259
$$

The derivative at $x=0.4$ can be approximated by using the predicted value to obtain

$$
\left.\frac{d y}{d x}\right|_{x_{4}}=f\left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{4}^{p}\right)=0.6129
$$

Hence, the corrected $y_{4}$ is obtained using Eq.(8.71)
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1}^{C} & =y_{n-1}+\frac{h}{3}\left[f_{n+1}^{p}+4 f_{n}+f_{n-1}\right] \\
y_{4}^{C} & =y_{2}+\frac{0.1}{3}\left[f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)+4 f\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)+f\left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)\right] \\
& =1.1025+\frac{0.1}{3}[0.5513+4(0.5788)+0.6129]=1.2185
\end{aligned}
$$

The predicted value 1.2259 is noted to be closer to the exact value of 1.2214 than the corrected value.

### 8.4 SUMMARY

Differential equations arise in scientific and engineering applications when a dependent variable $y$ varies with the independent variable either time $t$ or position $x$. In this chapter, the numerical techniques commonly used for solving ordinary differential equations are presented. There are two categories of methods to solve ordinary differential equations: one-step methods and multi-step methods. In one-step methods, the value of the increment function is based on information at a single point ' $i$ '. The class of methods called multi-step methods use information from several previous points as the basis for extrapolating to a new value.

Among the one-step methods or single-step methods, Picard's method of successive approximation method and Taylor's series method were presented. Among the step-by-step methods or the marching methods, Euler's method, modified Euler's method, Runge-Kutta methods of order two and four, Adam-

Moulton predictor-corrector method and Milne's predictor-corrector method are presented. These methods have been illustrated with example problems and solution.

## Problems

8.1 Use Picard's method of successive approximation to solve the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=1+x y, y(0)=1$ at $x=0.1$.
8.2 Solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y$ with the initial condition $x_{0}=0, y_{0}=1$ using the Picard's method of successive approximation.
8.3 Use Picard's method of successive approximation to find $y(0.2)$ correct to five decimal place by solving $\frac{d y}{d x}=x-y$, with $y(0)=1$.
8.4 Use Picard's method of successive approximation to tabulate the values of $y(0.1), y(0.2), \ldots, y(1.0)$ and form the solution of $\frac{d y}{d x}=x\left(1+x^{3} y\right), y(0)=3$.
8.5 Use Picard's method of successive approximation to find $y(0.1)$ from the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{y-x}{y+x}$, $y(0)=1$.
8.6 Use Picard's method of successive approximation to find $y(0.2)$ by solving the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y^{2}$ with $y(0)=0$.
8.7 Using Taylor's series method for $y(x)$, find $y(0.1)$ correct to four decimal places from the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=x y+1, y(0)=1$.
8.8 Find the values of $y(1.1)$ and $y(1.2)$ correct to three decimal places given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=x y^{1 / 3}, y(1)=x(1)$ $=1$ using the first three terms of the Taylor's series expansions.
8.9 Find the value of $y$ at $x=0.1$ and $x=0.2$ using the Taylor's series method from $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2} y-1, y(0)=1$ accurate to five decimal places.
8.10 Given that $y^{1}+4 y=x^{2}, y(0)=1$. Determine $y(0.2)$ with the fourth-order Taylor's series method using a single integration step.
8.11 Using Taylor's series method for $y(x)$ given that $y^{1}=y^{2}-x, y(0)=1$, find $y(0.1)$ and $y(0.3)$ correct to four decimal places.
8.12 Use Taylor's series method to solve the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{1}{x^{2}+y}, y(4)=4$ to find $y(4)$ and $y(4.2)$.
8.13 Use Euler's method to find $y(1)$ from the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y, y(0)=1$.
8.14 Use Euler's method to solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=-1.2 y+7 e^{-0.3 x}$ from $x=0$ to $x=2$ with the initial condition $y=3$ at $x=0$. Take $h=0.5$.
8.15 Solve using Euler's method to solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y^{2}, y(1)=0$ at $x=1.3$ with $h=0.5$ and at $x=1.175$ with $h=0.025$.
8.16 Solve the following differential equation using Euler's method for $x=0$ to 0.4 . $\frac{d y}{d x}=3 y t^{2}+2 y t=1$ with $y(0)=1$. Take step size $h=0.1$.
8.17 Use Euler's method to approximate the solution of the following initial value problem.

$$
\frac{d y}{d t}=t e^{3 t}-2 y, 0 \leq t \leq 1, y(0)=0 \text {, with } h=0.5 .
$$

8.18 Solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}(1+y)$ with $y(1)=1$ to find $y(1.1)$ by using Euler's method and taking $h=0.025$.
8.19 Use modified Euler's method to find an approximate value of $y$ when $x=0.3$. Given that

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y, y(0)=1
$$

8.20 Repeat Problem P8.14 using the modified Euler's method.
8.21 Use modified Euler's method to find the value of $y$ at $x=0.1$, given that $y(0)=1$ and $y^{1}=x^{2}+y$.
8.22 Using modified Euler's method to find the value of $y(2)$ in steps of 0.1 , given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=2+\sqrt{x y}$, $y(1)=1$.
8.23 Solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=\sqrt{(x y+1)}$ with $y(0)=1$ for finding $y(0.075)$ by using modified Euler's method taking $h=0.025$.
8.24 Use the modified Euler's method to approximate the solution to the following initial value problem.

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=1+(t-y)^{2}, 2 \leq t \leq 3, y(2)=1 \text {, with } h=0.5
$$

8.25 Find $y(0.1), y(0.2), y(0.3)$ and $y(0.4)$ correct to four decimal places given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=y-x, y(0)=2$. Take $h=0.1$ and use second-order Runge-Kutta method.
8.26 Use second-order Runge-Kutta method to solve the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=\sin y, y(0)=1$ from $x=0$ to 0.5 in steps of $h=0.1$. Keep four decimal places in the computations.
8.27 Use the second-order Runge-Kutta method to solve the equation $\frac{d y}{d t}=t^{2}-y+1 ; 0 \leq t \leq 0.5$. With $y(0)=1$ and $h=0.1$. Keep five decimal places in the computations.
8.28 Using Runge-Kutta method of order 2, find $y$ for $x=0.1$, given that $y=1$ when $x=0$ and $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y$. Use $h=0.1$ and keep five decimal places in the computations.
8.29 Use the second-order Runge-Kutta method to solve the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=y-x, y(0)=2$ and find $y(0.1)$ correct to four decimal places with $h=0.1$ and keep four decimal places.
8.30 Solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{(1+x y)}{(x+y)}, y(1)=1.2$ by Runge-Kutta method of order 2 . Take $h=0.1$ for $y(1.2)$.
8.31 Use the classical Runge-Kutta method of fourth-order to find the numerical solution at $x=0.8$ for

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\sqrt{x+y}, y=(0.4)=0.41 . \text { Assume a step length of } h=0.2
$$

8.32 Use the Runge-Kutta fourth-order method to find the value of $y$ when $x=1$ given that

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{y-x}{y+x}, y(0)=1
$$

8.33 Use the Runge-Kutta fourth-order method to solve the equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{y^{2}+x^{2}}$ with $y(0)=1$ at $x=0.2,0.4$. Take $h=0.2$.
8.34 Use the classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method to solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=-1.2 y+7 e^{-0.3 x}$ from $x=0$ to $x=1.5$ with the initial condition $y=3$ at $x=0$. Take $h=0.5$.
8.35 Use the classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method to integrate $f(x, y)=-2 x^{3}+12 x^{2}-20 x+8.5$ using a step size of $h=0.5$ and an initial condition of $y=1$ at $x=0$. Compute $y(0.5)$.
8.36 Use the Runge-Kutta fourth-order method to find $y(0.2), y(0.4)$ and $y(0.6)$ given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=1+y^{2}$, $y(0)=0$ and take $h=0.2$.
8.37 Use the Adams-Moulton method to solve the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}-y+1,0 \leq x \leq 1$ with $y(0)=1$. Use $h=0.1$ and find the solution of $y(0.2)$ accurate to 6 digits.
8.38 Use the Adams-Moulton method to solve the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x-y^{2}, y(0)=1$ to find $y(0.4)$. Given that $y(0.1)=0.9117, y(0.2)=0.8494$ and $y(0.3)=0.8061$.
8.39 Use Adams-Moulton method to find $y(0.8)$ given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=1+y^{2}, y(0)=0$.
8.40 Use Adams-Moulton method to solve the differential equation $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}-y+1,0 \leq x \leq 1$ with $y(0)=1$. Find $y(0.4)$ given that $y(0.1)=1.0003, y(0.2)=1.00243$ and $y(0.3)=1.00825$.
8.41 Use Adams-Moulton method to find $y(1.4)$ given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}(1+y)$ and that $y(1)=1, y(1.1)=1.233$, $y(1.2)=1.543$ and $y(1.3)=1.979$.
8.42 Use the Adams-Moulton method to approximate the solution to the following initial value problem. Use the exact starting values.

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=1+(t-y)^{2}, 2 \leq t \leq 3, y(2)=1, \text { with } h=0.2
$$

Actual solution is $y(t)=t+\frac{1}{1-t}$.
8.43 Use Milne's predictor-corrector method to find $y(0.8)$ taking $h=0.2$. Given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=y+x^{2}$ with $y(0)=1$.
8.44 Use Milne's predictor-corrector method to solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=x+y$, with the initial condition $y(0)=1$, from $x=0.2$ to $x=0.3$.
8.45 Use Milne's method to compute the solution at $x=0.4$ given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=x y+y^{2}, y(0)=1$. Take $h=0.1$ and obtain the starting values for Milne's method using Runge-Kutta method of order 4.
8.46 Use Milne's method to solve the differential equation for $x=0.4$ and $x=0.5$ given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=x^{2}-y+1$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $y(0)=1$. Given $y(0.1)=1, y(0.2)=1.0024$ and $y(0.3)=1.0083$.
8.47 Use Milne's method to find $y(0.8)$ and $y(1.0)$ given that $\frac{d y}{d x}=1+y^{2}, y(0)=0$ and $y(0.2)=0.2027$, $y(0.4)=0.4228$ and $y(0.6)=0.6841$. Take $h=0.2$.
8.48 Solve $\frac{d y}{d x}=-y$ with $y(0)=1$ by using Milne's predictor-corrector method for $x=0.5$ to 0.7 with $h=0.1$.
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## Appendix-A

## Partial Fraction Expansions

In obtaining the solution of many engineering problems, we encounter rational algebraic fractions that are ratio of two polynomials in $s$, such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}=\frac{b_{0} s^{m}+b_{1} s^{m-1}+\cdots+b_{m}}{a_{0} s^{n}+a_{1} s^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practical systems, the order of polynomial in numerator is equal to or less than that of denominator. In terms of the orders $m$ and $n$, rational algebraic fractions are subdivided as follows:
(i) Improper fraction if $m \geq n$
(ii) Proper fraction if $m<n$

An improper fraction can be separated into a sum of a polynomial in $s$ and a proper fraction, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}=d(s)+\frac{P(s)}{Q(s)} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Improper Proper
This can be achieved by performing a long division. To obtain the partial fraction expansion of a proper fraction, first of all we factorise the polynomial $Q(s)$ into $n$ first-order factors. The roots may be real, complex, distinct or repeated. Several cases are discussed below:

## Case-I: Partial Fraction Expansion when Q(s) has Distinct Roots

In this case, Eq. (A.1) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}=\frac{P(s)}{\left(s+p_{1}\right)\left(s+p_{2}\right) \cdots\left(s+p_{k}\right) \cdots\left(s+p_{n}\right)} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when expanded, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{A_{1}}{s+p_{1}}+\frac{A_{2}}{s+p_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{A_{k}}{s+p_{k}}+\cdots+\frac{A_{n}}{s+p_{n}} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are constants.
To evaluate the coefficients $A_{k}$, multiply $F(s)$ in Equation (A.3) by $\left(s+p_{k}\right)$ and let $s=-p_{k}$. This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k} & =\left.\left(s+p_{k}\right) \frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}\right|_{s=-p_{k}}=\left.\frac{P(s)}{\frac{d}{d s} Q(s)}\right|_{s=-p_{k}}  \tag{A.5}\\
& =\frac{P\left(-p_{k}\right)}{\left(p_{1}-p_{k}\right)\left(p_{2}-p_{k}\right) \cdots\left(p_{k-1}-p_{k}\right) \cdots\left(p_{n}-p_{k}\right)} \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

## Example EA. 1

Find the partial fraction expansion of the function

$$
F(s)=\frac{3}{(s+1)(s+2)}
$$

## Solution:

The roots of the denominator are distinct.
Hence

$$
F(s)=\frac{3}{(s+1)(s+2)}=\frac{A}{(s+1)}+\frac{B}{(s+2)}
$$

or $\quad \frac{3}{(s+2)}=A+\frac{B(s+1)}{(s+2)}$
Let $\quad s=-1$, then $A=3$
Let $\quad s=-2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{(s+1)} & =\frac{A(s+2)}{(s+1)}+B \\
\text { or } \quad \frac{3}{(-2+1)} & =B
\end{aligned}
$$

or $\quad B=-3$
Hence $\quad F(s)=\frac{3}{s+1}-\frac{3}{s+2}$

## Example EA. 2

Find the partial fraction expansion of the function

$$
F(s)=\frac{5}{(s+1)(s+2)^{2}}
$$

## Solution:

The roots of $(s+2)^{2}$ in the denominator are repeated. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{5}{(s+1)(s+2)^{2}}=\frac{A}{(s+1)}+\frac{B}{(s+2)^{2}}+\frac{C}{(s+2)} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying Eq. (A.7) by $(s+1)$ on both sides and letting $s=-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{5}{(s+2)^{2}} & =A+\frac{B(s+1)}{(s+2)^{2}}+\frac{C(s+1)}{(s+2)} \\
5 & =A
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying Eq. (A.7) by $(s+2)^{2}$ and letting $s=-2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{5}{(s+1)}=\frac{A(s+2)^{2}}{(s+1)}+B+C(s+2) \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
B=-5
$$

To find $C$, we differentiate Eq.(A.8) with respect to $s$ and letting $s=-2$

$$
\frac{-5}{(s+1)^{2}}=\frac{(s+2) s}{(s+1)^{2}} A+C
$$

or

$$
C=-5
$$

Hence

$$
F(s)=\frac{5}{(s+1)(s+2)^{2}}=\frac{5}{(s+1)}+\frac{-5}{(s+2)^{2}}+\frac{-5}{(s+2)}
$$

## Example EA. 3

Find the partial fraction expansion of the function

$$
F(s)=\frac{4\left(s^{2}+5 s+2\right)}{s(s+1)(s+3)}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4\left(s^{2}+5 s+2\right)}{s(s+1)(s+3)}=\frac{A}{s}+\frac{B}{s+1}+\frac{C}{s+2} \\
& 4\left(s^{2}+5 s+2\right) \equiv A(s+1)(s+2)+B s(s+2)+C s(s+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $s=0,-1$ and -2 in the above equation we get the values of $A, B$ and $C$ as 4,8 and -8 respectively. Hence,

$$
F(s)=\frac{4\left(s^{2}+5 s+2\right)}{s(s+1)(s+3)}=\frac{4}{s}+\frac{8}{s+1}+\frac{-8}{s+2}
$$

## Case-II: Partial Fraction Expansion when Q(s) has Complex Conjugate Roots

Suppose that there is a pair of complex conjugate roots in $Q(s)$, given by

$$
s=-a-j \omega \text { and } s=-a+j \omega
$$

Then $F(s)$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}=\frac{P(s)}{(s+a+j \omega)(s+a-j \omega)\left(s+p_{3}\right)\left(s+p_{4}\right) \cdots\left(s+p_{n}\right)} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when expanded gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{A_{1}}{(s+a+j \omega)}+\frac{A_{2}}{(s+a-j \omega)}+\frac{A_{3}}{s+p_{3}}+\frac{A_{4}}{s+p_{4}}+\cdots \cdots+\frac{A_{n}}{s+p_{n}} \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are the coefficients at $s=-(a+j \omega)$ and $s=-(a-j \omega)$ respectively.
As per Eq. (A.5), the coefficient $A_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\left.\frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}(s+a+j \omega)\right|_{s=-(a+j \omega)} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Case-III: Partial Fraction Expansion when Q(s) has Repeated Roots

Assume that root $p_{1}$ of $Q(s)$ is of multiplicity $r$ and other roots are distinct. The function $F(s)$ may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}=\frac{P(s)}{\left(s+p_{1}\right)^{r}\left(s+p_{r+1}\right)\left(s+p_{r+2}\right) \cdots \cdots \cdot\left(s+p_{n}\right)} \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when expanded, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
F(s)= & \frac{A_{1(r)}}{\left(s+p_{1}\right)^{r}}+\frac{A_{1(r-1)}}{\left(s+p_{1}\right)^{r-1}}+\frac{A_{1(r-2)}}{\left(s+p_{1}\right)^{r-2}}+\cdots+\frac{A_{12}}{\left(s+p_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{A_{11}}{\left(s+p_{1}\right)}+\frac{A_{r+1}}{\left(s+p_{r+1}\right)}+\frac{A_{r+2}}{\left(s+p_{r+2}\right)}+\cdots+\frac{A_{n}}{\left(s+p_{n}\right)} \tag{A.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients of repeated roots may be obtained using the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1(r-i)}=\frac{1}{i!}\left[\frac{d^{i}}{d s^{i}}\left\{\left(s+p_{1}\right)^{r} \frac{P(s)}{Q(s)}\right\}\right]_{s=-p_{1}} ; \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots, r-1 \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example EA. 4

Find the partial fraction expansion of the function

$$
F(s)=\frac{2}{s^{2}(s+4)^{2}}
$$

## Solution:

$$
\frac{2}{s^{2}(s+4)^{2}}=\frac{A}{s}+\frac{B}{s^{2}}+\frac{C}{s+4}+\frac{D}{(s+4)^{2}}
$$

$$
2 \equiv A s(s+4)^{2}+B(s+4)^{2}+C s^{2}(s+4)+D s^{2}
$$

Substituting $s=0$ and -4 in the above equation, we get the values of $B$ and $D$ as

$$
B=0.125 \text { and } D=0.125
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $s^{3}$ and $s^{2}$ terms on both sides, we get the values of $A$ and $C$ as

$$
A=-0.0625
$$

and $\quad C=0.0625$
Hence $\quad \frac{2}{s^{2}(s+4)^{2}}=\frac{-0.0625}{s}+\frac{0.125}{s^{2}}+\frac{0.0625}{s+4}+\frac{0.125}{(s+4)^{2}}$

## Problems

A. 1 Find the partial fraction expansion of the following functions.
(a) $\frac{s^{2}-7 s+11}{(s-2)\left(s^{2}-5 s+4\right)}$
(b) $\frac{s^{3}+2}{s^{3}(s-2)^{2}}$
(c) $\frac{3 s-2}{s^{3}\left(s^{2}+4\right)}$
(d) $\frac{s+1}{s^{2}(s+2)^{3}}$
(e) $\frac{2 s+1}{s(s+1)\left(s^{2}+4 s+6\right)}$
(f) $\frac{6(s-1)}{s^{4}}-\frac{(s-1)}{s(s+1)}$
(g) $\frac{s^{2}+2 s+3}{(s+1)^{3}}$
(h) $\frac{2 s+12}{s^{2}+2 s+5}$
(i) $\frac{2}{s+1}-\frac{1}{s+2}$

## Appendix-B

## Basic Engineering Mathematics

## B. 1 ALGEBRA

## B.1.1 Basic Laws

Cumulative law:

$$
a+b=b+a ; \quad a b=b a
$$

Associative law:

$$
a+(b+c)=(a+b)+c ; \quad a(b c)=(a b c)
$$

Distributive law:

$$
a(b+c)=a b+a c
$$

## B.1.2 Sums of Numbers

The sum of the first $n$ numbers:

$$
\sum_{1}^{n}(n)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

The sum of the squares of the first $n$ numbers

$$
\sum_{1}^{n}\left(n^{2}\right)=\frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6}
$$

The sum of the cubes of the first $n$ numbers

$$
\sum_{1}^{n}\left(n^{3}\right)=\frac{n^{2}(n+1)^{2}}{4}
$$

## B.1.3 Progressions

## Arithmetic Progression

$$
a, a+d, a+2 d, a+3 d, \ldots .
$$

where
$a=$ first term
$d=$ common difference
$n=$ number of terms
$S=$ sum of $n$ terms
$\ell=$ last term
$\ell=a+(n-1) d$
$S=(n / 2)(a+\ell)$
$(a+b) / 2=$ arithmetic mean of $a$ and $b$.

## Geometric Progression

$$
a, a r, a r^{2}, a r^{3}, \ldots .
$$

where
$a=$ first term
$r=$ common ratio
$n=$ number of terms
$S=$ sum of $n$ terms
$\ell=$ last term
$\ell=a r^{n-1}$
$S=a \frac{r^{n 1}-1}{r-1}=\frac{r \ell-a}{r-1}$
$S=\frac{a}{1-r}$ for $r^{2}<1$ and $n=x$
$\sqrt{a b}=$ geometric mean of $a$ and $b$.

## B.1.4 Powers and Roots

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{x} a^{y} & =a^{x+y} \\
\frac{a^{x}}{y^{x}} & =a^{x-y} \\
(a b)^{x} & =a^{x} b^{x} \\
\left(a^{x} y^{y}\right. & =a^{x y} \\
a^{0} & =1 \text { if } a \neq 0 \\
a^{-x} & =1 / a^{x} \\
a^{x y} & =\sqrt[y]{a^{x}} \\
a^{1 / y} & =\sqrt[y]{a} \\
\sqrt[x]{a b} & =\sqrt[x]{a} \sqrt[x]{b} \\
\sqrt[x]{a / b} & =\sqrt[x]{a} / \sqrt[x]{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.1.5 Binomial Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a \pm b)^{n}= & a^{n} \pm n a^{n-1} b+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} a^{n-2} b^{2}+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!} a^{n-3} b^{3}+\cdots \\
& +( \pm 1)^{m} \frac{n(n-1) \cdots(n-m+1)}{m!} a^{n-m} b^{m}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
m!=1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 . \cdots(m-1) m
$$

The series is finite if $n$ is a positive integer. If $n$ is negative or fractional, the series is infinite and will converge for $|b|<|a|$ only.

## B.1.6 Absolute Values

The numerical or absolute value of a number $n$ is denoted by $|n|$ and represents the magnitude of the number without regard to algebraic sign. For example,

$$
|-5|=|+5|=5
$$

## B.1.7 Logarithms

## Laws of Logarithms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log _{b} M N & =\log _{b} M+\log _{b} N \\
\log _{b} 1 & =0 \\
\log _{b} \frac{M}{N} & =\log _{b} M-\log _{b} N \\
\log _{b} b & =1 \\
\log _{b} N^{m} & =m \log _{b} N \\
\log _{b} 0 & =+\infty, 0<b<1 \\
\log _{b} \sqrt[r]{N^{m}} & =m / r \log _{b} N \\
\log _{b} 0 & =-\infty, 1<b<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

## Important Constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log _{10} e & =0.4342944819 \\
\log _{10} x & =0.4343 \log _{e} x=0.4343 \ln x \\
\ln 10 & =\log _{e} 10=2.3025850930 \\
\ln x & =\log _{e} x=2.3026 \log _{10} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. 2 TRIGONOMETRY

$\sin \theta=0 \Rightarrow \theta=n \pi, n$ is an integer
$\cos \theta=0 \Rightarrow \theta=(2 n+1) \pi / 2, n$ is an integer
$\sin \theta=\sin \alpha \Rightarrow \theta=n \pi+(-1)^{n} ; \alpha, n$ is an integer
$\cos \theta=\cos \alpha \Rightarrow \theta=2 n \pi \pm \alpha, n$ is an integer
$\tan \theta=0 \Rightarrow \theta=n \pi, n$ is an integer
$\tan \theta=\tan \alpha \Rightarrow \theta=n \pi+\alpha, n$ is an integer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cosh x=\frac{e^{x}+e^{-x}}{2} \\
& \sinh x=\frac{e^{x}-e^{-x}}{2} \\
& \tanh x=\frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x}=\frac{e^{x}-e^{-x}}{e^{x}+e^{-x}} \\
& \operatorname{coth} x=\frac{e^{x}+e^{-x}}{e^{x}-e^{-x}}=\frac{1}{\tanh x}=\frac{\cosh x}{\sinh x} \\
& \operatorname{sech} x=\frac{1}{\cosh x}=\frac{2}{e^{x}+e^{-x}} \\
& \operatorname{cosec} x=\frac{1}{\sinh x}=\frac{2}{e^{x}-e^{-x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.2.1 Trigonometric Identities

$\sin ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \alpha=1$

$$
1+\tan ^{2} \alpha=\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \alpha}
$$

$\tan \alpha \cot \alpha=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\cot ^{2} \alpha & =\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \alpha} \\
\sin (\alpha \pm \beta) & =\sin \alpha \cos \beta \pm \cos \alpha \sin \beta \\
\cos (\alpha \pm \beta) & =\cos \alpha \cos \beta \mp \sin \alpha \sin \beta \\
\tan (\alpha \pm \beta) & =\frac{\tan \alpha \pm \tan \beta}{1 \mp \tan \alpha \tan \beta} \\
\cot (\alpha \pm \beta) & =\frac{\cot \alpha \cot \beta \mp 1}{1 \pm \cot \alpha \cot \beta} \\
\sin \alpha+\sin \beta & =2 \sin \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \\
\sin \alpha-\sin \beta & =2 \cos \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \\
\cos \alpha+\cos \beta & =2 \cos \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \\
\cos \alpha-\cos \beta & =-2 \sin \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tan \alpha \pm \tan \beta & =\frac{\sin (\alpha \pm \beta)}{\cos \alpha \cos \beta} \\
\cot \alpha \pm \cot \beta & =\frac{\sin (\beta \pm \alpha)}{\sin \alpha \sin \beta} \\
\sin \alpha \cos \beta & =\frac{1}{2} \sin (\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \sin (\alpha-\beta) \\
\cos \alpha \cos \beta & =\frac{1}{2} \cos (\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{2} \cos (\alpha-\beta) \\
\sin \alpha \sin \beta & =\frac{1}{2} \cos (\alpha-\beta)-\frac{1}{2} \cos (\alpha+\beta) \\
\tan \alpha \tan \beta & =\frac{\tan \alpha+\tan \beta}{\cot \alpha+\cot \beta}=-\frac{\tan \alpha-\tan \beta}{\cot \alpha-\cot \beta} \\
\cot \alpha \cot \beta & =\frac{\cot \alpha+\cot \beta}{\tan \alpha+\tan \beta}=-\frac{\cot \alpha-\cot \beta}{\tan \alpha-\tan \beta} \\
\cot \alpha \tan \beta & =\frac{\cot \alpha+\tan \beta}{\tan \alpha+\cot \beta}=-\frac{\cot \alpha-\tan \beta}{\tan \alpha-\cot \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. 3 DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS

## B.3.1 List of Derivatives

(Note: $u, v$ and $w$ are functions of $x$ )

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\frac{d}{d x}(a) & =0 ; & a=\text { constant } \\
\frac{d}{d x}(x) & =1 & \\
\frac{d y}{d x} & =\frac{d y}{d v} \frac{d v}{d x} ; & y=y(v) \\
\frac{d}{d x}(a v) & =a \frac{d u}{d x} & \\
\frac{d y}{d x} & =\frac{1}{d x / d y} \text { if } \frac{d x}{d y} \neq 0 \\
\frac{d}{d x}( \pm u \pm v \pm \cdots) & = \pm \frac{d u}{d x} \pm \frac{d v}{d x}+\cdots & \\
\frac{d}{d x}\left(u^{n}\right) & =n u^{n-1} \frac{d u}{d x}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d x}(u v)=u \frac{d v}{d x}+v \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \frac{u}{v}=\frac{v d u / d x-u d v / d x}{v^{2}} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(u^{v}\right)=v u^{v-1} \frac{d u}{d x}+u^{v} \ln u \frac{d v}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(a^{u}\right)=a^{u} \ln a \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{u}\right)=e^{u} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\ln u)=\frac{1}{u} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}\left(\log _{a} u\right)=\frac{\log _{a}}{u} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\sin u)=\cos u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\cos u)=-\sin u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\tan u)=\sec ^{2} u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\operatorname{cosec} u)=-\operatorname{cosec} u \cot u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\sec u)=\sec u \tan u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\cot u)=-\operatorname{cosec}^{2} u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x}(\text { vers } u)=\sin u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \sin ^{-1} u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^{2}}} \frac{d u}{d x} ; \quad \frac{-\pi}{2} \leqq \sin ^{-1} u \leqq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \cos ^{-1} u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^{2}}} \frac{d u}{d x} ; \quad 0 \leqq \cos ^{-1} u \leqq \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d x} \tan ^{-1} u=\frac{1}{1+u^{2}} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \sinh ^{-1} u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{u^{2}+1}} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \cosh ^{-1} u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{u^{2}-1}} \frac{d u}{d x} ; \quad u>1 \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \tanh ^{-1} u=\frac{1}{1-u^{2}} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{cosec}^{-1} u=\frac{-1}{u \sqrt{u^{2}+1}} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{sech}^{-1} u=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^{2}}} \frac{d u}{d x} ; \quad u>0 \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{coth}^{-1} u=\frac{1}{1-u^{2}} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{cosec}^{-1} u=-\frac{1}{u \sqrt{u^{2}-1}} \frac{d u}{d x} ; \quad-\pi<\operatorname{cosec}^{-1} u \leqq-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0<\operatorname{cosec}^{-1} u \leqq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \sec ^{-1} u=\frac{1}{u \sqrt{u^{2}-1}} \frac{d u}{d x} ; \quad-\pi \leqq \sec ^{-1} u<-\frac{\pi}{2} 0 \leqq \sec ^{-1} u<\frac{\pi}{2} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \cot ^{-1} u=\frac{-1}{1+u^{2}} \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \text { vers }^{-1} u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 u-u^{2}}} \frac{d u}{d x} \quad 0 \leqq \text { vers }^{-1} u \leqq \pi \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \sinh u=\cosh u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \cosh u=\sinh u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \tanh u=\operatorname{sech}^{2} u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
& \frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{cosech} u=-\operatorname{cosech} u \operatorname{coth} u \frac{d u}{d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{sech} u & =-\operatorname{sech} u \tanh u \frac{d u}{d x} \\
\frac{d}{d x} \operatorname{coth} u & =-\operatorname{cosec}^{2} u \frac{d u}{d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.3.2 Expansion in Series

Exponential and Logarithmic Series

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{x}=1+\frac{x}{1!}+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{4}}{4!}+\cdots & {[-\infty<x<+\infty] } \\
a^{x}=e^{m x}=1+\frac{m}{1!} x+\frac{m^{2}}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{m^{3}}{3!} x^{3}+\cdots & {[a>0,-\infty<x<+\infty] }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m=\ln a=\left(2.3026 \times \log _{10} a\right) \\
& \ln (1+x)=x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}-\frac{x^{4}}{4}+\frac{x^{5}}{5}+\cdots \quad[-1<x<+1] \\
& \ln (1-x)=-x-\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\frac{x^{3}}{3}-\frac{x^{4}}{4}-\frac{x^{5}}{5}-\cdots \quad[-1<x<+1] \\
& \ln \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)=2\left(x+\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{5}}{5}+\frac{x^{7}}{7}+\cdots\right) \quad[-1<x<+1] \\
& \ln \left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)=2\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{3 x^{3}}+\frac{1}{5 x^{5}}+\frac{1}{7 x^{7}}+\cdots\right) \quad[x<-1 \text { or }+1<x] \\
& (1+x)^{n}=1+n x+\frac{n(n-1)}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!} x^{3}+\cdots,|x|<1 \\
& (1+x)^{-n}=1-n x+\frac{n(n+1)}{2!} x^{2}-\frac{n(n+1)(n+3)}{3!} x^{3}+\cdots,|x|<1 \\
& (1-x)^{-n}=1 \times n x+\frac{n(n+1)}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{n(n+1)(n+3)}{3!} x^{3}+\cdots,|x|<1 \\
& (1+x)^{-1}=1-x+x^{2}-x^{3}+\cdots,|x|<1 \\
& (1+x)^{-2}=1-2 x+3 x^{2}-4 x^{3}+\cdots,|x|<1 \\
& (1-x)^{-1}=1+x+x^{2}+x^{3}+\cdots,|x|<1 \\
& (1-x)^{-2}=1+2 x+3 x^{3}+4 x^{2}+\cdots,|x|<1 \\
& (1-x)^{-1 / 2}=1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4} x^{2}+\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6} x^{3}+\cdots,|x|<1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{1^{2}}+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\cdots=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \\
\frac{1}{1^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\frac{1}{5^{2}}+\cdots=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8} \\
\frac{1}{1^{4}}+\frac{1}{2^{4}}+\frac{1}{3^{4}}+\cdots=\frac{\pi^{4}}{90} \\
\frac{1}{1^{4}}+\frac{1}{3^{4}}+\frac{1}{5^{4}}+\cdots=\frac{\pi^{4}}{96} \\
\ln x=2\left[\frac{x-1}{x+1}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)^{5}+\cdots\right] \quad[0<x<\infty] \\
\ln (a+x)=\ln a+2\left[\frac{x}{2 a+x}+\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{x}{2 a+x}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{x}{2 a+x}\right)^{5}+\cdots\right] \quad[0<a<+\infty,-a<x<+\infty]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Series for the Trigonometric Functions

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\sin x & =x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}-\frac{x^{7}}{7!}+\cdots & {[-\infty<x<+\infty]} \\
\cos x & =1-\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{4}}{4!}-\frac{x^{6}}{6!}+\frac{x^{8}}{8!}-\cdots & {[-\infty<x<+\infty]} \\
\tan x & =x+\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{2 x^{3}}{15}+\frac{17 x^{7}}{315}+\frac{62 x^{9}}{2835}+\cdots & {[-\pi / 2<x<+\pi / 2]} \\
\cot x & =\frac{1}{x}-\frac{x}{3}-\frac{x^{3}}{45}-\frac{2 x^{5}}{945}-\frac{x^{7}}{4725}-\cdots & {[-\pi<x<+\pi]} \\
\sin ^{-1} y & =y+\frac{y^{3}}{6}+\frac{3 y^{5}}{40}+\frac{5 y^{7}}{112}+\cdots & {[-1 \leq y \leq+1]} \\
\tan ^{-1} y & =y-\frac{y^{3}}{3}+\frac{y^{5}}{5}-\frac{y^{7}}{7}+\cdots & {[-1 \leq y \leq+1]} \\
\cos ^{-1} y & =\frac{1}{2} \pi-\sin ^{-1} y & \\
\cot ^{-1} y & =\frac{1}{2} \pi-\tan ^{-1} y &
\end{array}
$$

In these formulae, all angles are expressed in radians.

## Series for the Hyperbolic Functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sinh x=x+\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}+\frac{x^{7}}{7!}+\cdots & {[-\infty<x<+\infty] } \\
\cosh x=1+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{4}}{4!}+\frac{x^{6}}{6!}+\cdots & {[-\infty<x<+\infty] } \\
\sinh ^{-1} y=y-\frac{y^{3}}{6}+\frac{3 y^{5}}{40}-\frac{5 y^{7}}{112}+\cdots & {[-1<y<+1] } \\
\tanh ^{-1} y=y+\frac{y^{3}}{3}+\frac{y^{5}}{5}+\frac{y^{7}}{7}+\cdots & {[-1<y<+1] }
\end{aligned}
$$

## General Formulas of Maclaurin and Taylor

If $f(x)$ and all its derivatives are continuous in the neighbourhood of the point $x=0$ (or $x=a$ ), then, for any value of $x$ in this neighbourhood, the function $f(x)$ may be expressed as a power series arranged according to ascending powers of $x$ (or $x-a$ ), as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & f(0)+\frac{f^{\prime}(0)}{1!} x+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2!} x^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)}{3!} x^{3}+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-1)!} x^{n-1}+\left(P_{n}\right) x^{n} \\
f(x)= & f(a)+\frac{f^{\prime}(a)}{1!}(x-a)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(a)}{2!}(x-a)^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)}{3!}(x-a)^{3}+\cdots \\
& +\frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(n-1)!}(x-a)^{n-1}+\left(Q_{n}\right)(x-a)^{n} \tag{Taylor}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\left(P_{n}\right) x^{n}$, or $\left(Q_{n}\right)(x-a)^{n}$ is called the remainder term; the values of the coefficients $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ may be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n}=\frac{\left[f^{(n)}(s x)\right]}{n!}=\frac{(1-t)^{n-1} f^{(n)}(t x)}{(n-1)!} \\
& Q_{n}=\frac{f^{(n)}[a+s(x-a)]}{n!}=\frac{(1-t)^{n-1} f^{(n)}[a+t(x-a)]}{(n-1)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s$ and $t$ are certain unknown numbers between 0 and 1 .

## B. 4 INTEGRAL CALCULUS

## B.4.1 List of Most Common Integrals

$$
\int a d u=a \int d u=a u+C
$$

$$
\int(u+v) d x=\int u d x+\int v d x
$$

$\int u d v=u v-\int u d u$ (integration by parts)
$\int d y \int f(x, y) d x=\int d x \int f(x, y) d y$
$\int x^{n} d x=\frac{x^{n-1}}{n+1}+C \quad$ when $n \neq-1$
$\int \frac{d x}{x}=\ln x+C=\ln C x$
$\int e^{x} d x=e^{x}+C$
$\int \sin x d x=-\cos x+C$
$\int \cos x d x=\sin x+C$
$\int \frac{d x}{\sin ^{2} x}=-\cot x+C$
$\int \frac{d x}{\cos ^{2} x}=\tan x+C$
$\int \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=\sin ^{-1} x+C=-\cos ^{-1} x+C$
$\int \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}=\tan ^{-1} x+C=-\cot ^{-1} x+C$
$\int(a+b x)^{n} d x=\frac{(a+b x)^{n+1}}{(n+1) b}+C$
$\int \frac{d x}{a+b x}=\frac{1}{b} \ln (a+b x)+C=\frac{1}{b} \ln C(a+b x)$
$\int \frac{a x}{(a+b x)^{2}}=\frac{1}{b(a+b x)}+C$
$\int \frac{d x}{1-x^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+x}{1-x}+C=\tanh ^{-1} x+C, \quad$ when $x<1$.
$\int \frac{d x}{x^{2}-1}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x-1}{x+1}+C=-\operatorname{coth}^{-1} x+C, \quad$ when $x<1$.
$\int \frac{d x}{a+2 b x+c x^{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a c-b^{2}}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{b+c x}{\sqrt{a c-b^{2}}}+C \quad, \quad\left[a c-b^{2}>0\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{b^{2}-a c}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{b^{2}-a c}-b-c x}{\sqrt{b^{2}-a c}+b+c x}+C \\
& =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b^{2}-a c}} \tanh ^{-1} \frac{b+c x}{\sqrt{b^{2}-a c}}+C, \quad\left[b^{2}-a c>0\right] \\
& \int \sqrt{a+b x} d x=\frac{2}{3 b}(\sqrt{a+b x})^{3}+C \\
& \int \frac{d x}{\sqrt{a+b x}}=\frac{2}{b} \sqrt{a+b x}+C \\
& \int \frac{(m+n x) d x}{\sqrt{a+b x}}=\frac{2}{3 b^{2}}(3 m b-2 a n+n b x) \sqrt{a+b x}+C \\
& \int \sqrt{a+2 b x+c x^{2}} d x=\frac{b+c x}{2 c} \sqrt{a+2 b x+c x^{2}}+\frac{a c-b^{2}}{2 c} \int \frac{d x}{\sqrt{a+2 b x+c x^{2}}}+C \\
& \int a^{x} d x=\frac{a^{x}}{\ln a}+C \\
& \int x^{n} e^{a x} d x=\frac{x^{n} e^{a x}}{a}\left[1-\frac{n}{a x}+\frac{n(n-1)}{a^{2} x^{2}}-\ldots \pm \frac{n!}{a^{n} x^{n}}\right]+C \\
& \int \ln x d x=x \ln x-x+C \\
& \int \frac{\ln x}{x^{2}} d x=-\frac{\ln x}{x}-\frac{1}{x}+C \\
& \int \frac{(\ln x)^{n}}{x} d x=\frac{1}{n+1}(\ln x)^{n+1}+C \\
& \int \sin ^{2} x d x=-\frac{1}{4} \sin 2 x+\frac{1}{2} x+C=-\frac{1}{2} \sin x \cos x+\frac{1}{2} x+C \\
& \int \cos ^{2} x d x=\frac{1}{4} \sin 2 x+\frac{1}{2} x+C=\frac{1}{2} \sin x \cos x+\frac{1}{2} x+C \\
& \int \sin m x d x=-\frac{\cos m x}{m}+C \\
& \int \cos m x d x=\frac{\sin m x}{m}+C
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \sin m x \cos n x d x=\frac{\cos (m+n) x}{2(m+n)}-\frac{\cos (m-n) x}{2(m-n)}+C \\
& \int \sin m x \sin n x d x=\frac{\sin (m-n) x}{2(m-n)}-\frac{\sin (m+n) x}{2(m+n)}+C \\
& \int \cos m x \cos n x d x=\frac{\sin (m-n) x}{2(m-n)}+\frac{\sin (m+n) x}{2(m+n)}+C \\
& \int \tan x d x=-\ln \cos x+C \\
& \int \cot x d x=\ln \sin x+C \\
& \int \frac{d x}{\sin x}=\ln \tan \frac{x}{2}+C \\
& \int \frac{d x}{\cos x}=\ln \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+C \\
& \int \frac{d x}{1+\cos x}=\tan \frac{x}{2}+C \\
& \int \frac{d x}{1-\cos x}=-\cot \frac{x}{2}+C \\
& \int \sin x \cos x d x=\frac{1}{2} \sin { }^{2} x+C \\
& \int \frac{d x}{\sin x \cos x}=\ln \tan x+C \\
& \int \frac{\cos x d x}{a+b \cos x}=\frac{x}{b}-\frac{a}{b} \int \frac{d x}{a+b \cos x}+C \\
& \int \frac{\sin x d x}{a+b \cos x}=-\frac{1}{b} \ln (a+b \cos x)+C \\
& \int \frac{A+B \cos x+C \sin x}{a+b \cos x+c \sin x} d x=A \int \frac{d y}{a+p \cos y}+(B \cos u+C \sin u) \int \frac{\cos y d y}{a+p \cos y} \\
& -(B \sin u-C \cos u) \int \frac{\sin y d y}{a+p \cos y}, \text { where } b-p \cos u, c=p \sin u \text { and } x-u=y . \\
& \int e^{a x} \sin b x d x=\frac{a \sin b x-b \cos b x}{a^{2}+b^{2}} e^{a x}+C \\
& \int
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int e^{a x} \cos b x d x=\frac{a \cos b x+b \sin b x}{a^{2}+b^{2}} e^{a x}+C \\
& \int \sinh x d x=\cosh x+C \\
& \int \tanh x d x=\ln \cosh x+C \\
& \int \cosh x d x=\sinh x+C \\
& \int \operatorname{coth} x d x=\ln \sinh x+C
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix-C

## Cramer's Rule

Cramer's Rule: Cramer's rule can be used to solve a system of simultaneous linear algebraic equations. Consider a general system of $n$ linear equations in $n$ unknowns:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{1 n} x_{n}=b_{1} \\
a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{2 n} x_{n}=b_{2} \\
\vdots  \tag{C.1}\\
\vdots
\end{gather*} \vdots \vdots+a_{n n} x_{n}=b_{n}
$$

and define the determinant of such a system. We begin by defining the determinant of a $3 \times 3$ system:

$$
C=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13}  \tag{C.2}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right|=a_{11}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right|-a_{12}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{21} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right|+a_{13}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{21} & a_{22} \\
a_{31} & a_{32}
\end{array}\right|
$$

The general definition of the determinant of the $n \times n$ system of Eq. (C.1) is simply an extension of the procedure (C.2).

$$
C=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n}  \tag{C.3}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right|=a_{11} A_{11}-a_{12} A_{12}+\cdots+(-1)^{n+1} a_{1 n} A_{1 n}
$$

where $A_{1 j}$ is the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ determinant obtained by crossing out the first row and $j^{\text {th }}$ column of the original $n \times n$ determinant. Hence an $n \times 1$ determinant can be obtained by calculating $n(n-1)(n-1)$ determinants.

We shall conclude this Appendix by introducing a method for obtaining solutions of the system (C.1). We define the determinants

$$
C_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{1} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
b_{2} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
b_{n} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right|,
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & b_{1} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & b_{2} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & b_{n} & a_{n 3} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right|, \cdots, \\
& C_{k}=\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1, k-1} & b_{1} & a_{1, k+1} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2, k-1} & b_{2} & a_{2, k+1} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n, k-1} & b_{k} & a_{n, k+1} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right|, \cdots, \\
& C_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1, n-1} & b_{1} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2, n-1} & b_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n, n-1} & b_{n}
\end{array}\right|, \tag{С.4}
\end{align*}
$$

obtained by replacing the $k$ th column of $C$ by the column

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then we have the following theorem, known as Cramer's rule.
Cramer's Rule: Let $C$ and $C_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, be given as in (C.3). If $C \neq 0$, then the unique solution to the system (C.1) is given by the values

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=\frac{C_{1}}{C}, x_{2}=\frac{C_{2}}{C}, \ldots ., x_{n}=\frac{C_{n}}{C} \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example EC. 1

Obtain the solution of the following simultaneous linear equations by Cramer's rule.
(a) $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 3 \\ 4 & -1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}5 \\ 12\end{array}\right]$
(b) $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & -3 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \\ -4 & 2 & -9\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}8 \\ 5 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$

## Solution:

(a) $\quad x_{i}=\frac{\left|C_{i}\right|}{|C|}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 3 \\
12 & -1
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 3 \\
4 & -1
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{-5-36}{-13}=3.15 \\
& x_{2}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 5 \\
4 & 12
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 3 \\
4 & -1
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{12-20}{-13}=0.62
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $\quad x_{i}=\frac{\left|C_{i}\right|}{|C|}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & -3 & 2 \\
5 & 4 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & -9
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -3 & 2 \\
3 & 4 & 1 \\
-4 & 2 & -9
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{-441}{-63}=7 \\
& x_{2}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 8 & 2 \\
3 & 5 & 1 \\
-4 & 2 & -9
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -3 & 2 \\
3 & 4 & 1 \\
-4 & 2 & -9
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{189}{-63}=-3 \\
& x_{3}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -3 & 8 \\
3 & 4 & 5 \\
-4 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -3 & 2 \\
3 & 4 & 1 \\
-4 & 2 & -9
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{252}{-63}=-4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example EC. 2

Consider the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}-x_{3} & =-5 \\
-4 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+5 x_{3} & =14 \\
6 x_{1}-3 x_{2}-2 x_{3} & =5
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 4 & -1 \\
-4 & 3 & 5 \\
6 & -3 & -2
\end{array}\right|=112 & C_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-5 & 4 & -1 \\
14 & 3 & 5 \\
5 & -3 & -2
\end{array}\right|=224 \\
C_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -5 & -1 \\
-4 & 14 & 5 \\
6 & 5 & -2
\end{array}\right|=-112 & C_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 4 & -5 \\
-4 & 3 & 14 \\
6 & -3 & 5
\end{array}\right|=560
\end{array}
$$

Therefore $x_{1}=\frac{C_{1}}{C}=2 \quad x_{2}=\frac{C_{2}}{C}=-1 \quad x_{3}=\frac{C_{3}}{C}=5$

## Problems

Solve the following system of linear equations using Cramer's rule:

$$
\text { C. } 1 \text { (a) } \begin{aligned}
x+2 y+z & =0 \\
3 x+y-z & =0 \\
x-y+4 z & =3 \\
2 x+y-3 z & =11 \\
4 x-2 y+3 z & =8 \\
\text { (b) } \quad-2 x+2 y-z & =-6 \\
x+3 y-z & =-10 \\
-x+4 y+2 z & =-4 \\
\text { (c) } \quad 2 x-2 y+5 z & =35 \\
y-3 z & =-5 \\
2 x+3 y-z & =7 \\
\text { (d) } \quad 4 x+5 y-2 z & =10
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) $\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & -2\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3} \\ x_{4}\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 0 \\ 11 \\ 2\end{array}\right\}$

$$
\text { (f) }\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
10 & 7 & 8 & 7 \\
7 & 5 & 6 & 5 \\
8 & 6 & 10 & 9 \\
7 & 5 & 9 & 10
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
32 \\
23 \\
33 \\
31
\end{array}\right\}
$$
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## Answers to Selected Problems

## Chapter 1: Numerical Computations

1.1 (a) 1.0215
(b) 1.405
$1.2 x=2.11$
$1.3 \quad f(1.2)=1.44$
1.4 (a) (101 000111$)_{2}$
(b) $(0.0101)_{2}$
$1.5 \quad 50824.6135$
1.6 (a) $x=(149)_{16}$
(b) $x=(11001011)_{2}$
$1.7 \quad(75)_{10}=(1001 \text { 1011 })_{2}$
$1.8 \quad(a)(7)_{8}$
(b) $(113)_{8}$
(c) $(11)_{10}$
$1.9 \quad(1235)_{10}$
$1.10 \quad(113)_{8}$
$1.11 \quad E_{r x y}=E_{r x}+E_{r y}$
$1.12 E_{r x y}=E_{r x}-E_{r y}$
1.13 (a) Absolute error, $\xi_{a}=0.666 \ldots \times 10^{-6}$; relative error, $\xi_{r}=1 \times 10^{-6}$
(b) Absolute error, $\xi_{a}=0.33 \times 10^{-7}$; relative error, $\xi_{r}=5 \times 10^{-7}$

| Operation | Absolute error | Relative error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x+y$ | $0.19 \times 10^{-4}$ | $0.182 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $y-x$ | $0.238 \times 10^{-5}$ | $0.625 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $x y$ | $0.524 \times 10^{-5}$ | $0.220 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $y / x$ | $0.571 \times 10^{-4}$ | $0.267 \times 10^{-4}$ |

1.15 (a) Absolute error, $E_{A}=0.004$, relative error $E_{R}=1.1312 \times 10^{-3}$
(b) Absolute error, $E_{A}=0.006$, relative error $E_{R}=1.6968 \times 10^{-3}$
1.16 Absolute error $=0.00235$; Relative error $=4.089634 \times 10^{-5}$, Percentage relative error $=4.08964 \times 10^{-3}$
1.17 Absolute error $=0.002857$; Relative error $=0.0009$, Percentage relative error $=9 \%$
1.18 (a) Absolute error $=1 \mathrm{~cm}$; True percentage relative error $=0.01 \%$
(b) Absolute error $=1 \mathrm{~cm}$; True percentage relative error $=10 \%$
1.19 Percentage relative error $=9.02 \%$; Approximate estimate of error $=33.3 \%$

With 6 terms included approximate error falls below $E_{S}=0.05 \%$
1.20 Relative maximum error $=0.006$
1.21 (a) $f(x)=x-\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\frac{x^{5}}{5!}-\frac{x^{7}}{7!}+\cdots$
(b) Relative error, $r_{6}=0.005129 \%$
(c) Upper bound on the truncation error $=0.02305 \%$
1.22 Upper bound on the magnitude of the absolute error due to truncation is $\left|\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}(1)\right| \leq 0.0083$ and $\left|r_{5}(1)\right| \leq 2.26 \%$
1.23 Upper bound on the error $\left|R_{3}(x)\right| \leq 0.0625$ for all $x \in[0,1)$
$1.24 n=12$ (number of terms)
1.25

| Order, $n$ | $F^{(n)}(x)$ | $F(\pi / 3)$ | $\varepsilon_{t}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\cos x$ | 0.707106781 | -41.4 |
| 1 | $-\sin x$ | 0.521986659 | -4.4 |
| 2 | $-\cos x$ | 0.497754491 | 0.449 |
| 3 | $\sin x$ | 0.499869147 | $2.62 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 4 | $\cos x$ | 0.500007551 | $-1.51 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 5 | $-\sin x$ | 0.500000304 | $-6.08 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 6 | $-\cos x$ | 0.499999988 | $2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ |

1.26 (a) Condition number $=-11.2$
(b) Condition number $=-101$
1.27 (a) Condition number $=-1$
(b) Condition number $=-0.994975$
(c) Condition number $=-0.00314161$
1.28 (a) Not linearly convergent from $x=-0.75$
(b) Not linearly convergent from $x=0.9$
(c) Not linearly convergent from $x=-0.5$
(d) Not linearly convergent from $x=0.5$
(e) Not linearly convergent from $x=-1.25$
1.29 (a) to (d) will not converge quadratically
1.30

| $n$ | $p_{n}$ | $p_{n}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.693147 | 0.904408 |
| 2 | 0.810931 | 0.931174 |
| 3 | 0.863046 | 0.946129 |
| 4 | 0.892574 | 0.955719 |
| 5 | 0.911608 | 0.962401 |
| 6 | 0.924904 | - |
| 7 | 0.934720 | - |

$p_{n}^{*}$ converges more rapidly than $p_{n}$ to the exact solution of $p=1$.

## Chapter 2: Linear System of Equations

$2.1 \quad$ (a) $\quad A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-0.7 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\ -1.3 & -0.2 & 0.7 \\ 0.8 & 0.2 & -0.2\end{array}\right]$
(b) $\quad A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0.1765 & 0.3529 & -0.2353 \\ 0.4118 & -0.1765 & 0.1176 \\ 0.0588 & 0.1176 & -0.4118\end{array}\right]$
(c) $A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0.0136 & 0.0496 & 0.0583 \\ 0.1886 & -0.2233 & -0.0124 \\ 0.0298 & 0.0174 & -0.0546\end{array}\right]$
(d) $A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & 0.1429 \\ -2 & 1 & -0.2857 \\ 1.6667 & -0.6667 & 0.1429\end{array}\right]$
(e) $\quad A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & -1 & 0 \\ -0.3333 & 0.6667 & -0.3333 \\ -0.6667 & 0.3333 & 0.3333\end{array}\right]$
(f) $\quad A^{-1}=\frac{1}{9}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & -7 \\ 3 & -1 & -1\end{array}\right]$
2.2 (a) $x=2, y=-3, z=5$
(b) $x=0.5515, y=0.4146, z=-0.1759$
(c) $x=-1, y=2, z=3$
(d) $x=1.5205, y=-1.0658, z=-0.0356$
(e) $x_{1}=-0.6364, x_{2}=-1.7273, x_{3}=0.2727, x_{4}=0.9091$
(f) $x_{1}=25.3587, x_{2}=-19.6143, x_{3}=-28.9058, x_{4}=-7.8027$
2.3 (a) $x=3, y=-1, z=-2$
(b) $x=1, y=2, z=3$
(c) $x=1, y=1, z=1$
(d) $x_{1}=2.7869, x_{2}=4.4918, x_{3}=2.1311, x_{4}=-2.5410$
(e) $x_{1}=25.3587, x_{2}=-19.6143, x_{3}=-28.9058, x_{4}=-7.8027$
(f) $x_{1}=-1, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=2, x_{4}=1$
2.4 (a) $x=4, y=-1, z=3$
(b) $x=1, y=2, z=-1$
(c) $x=2, y=2, z=3$
(d) $x=2, y=0, z=-3$
(e) $x=0, y=1.5, z=1.5$
(f) $x_{1}=2, x_{2}=4, x_{3}=-3, x_{4}=0.5$
2.5 (a) $x=2, y=1, z=3$
(b) $x=3, \mathrm{y}=2, \mathrm{z}=2$
(c) $x=1, y=0, z=3$
(d) $x=35 / 18, y=29 / 18, z=5 / 18$
(e) $x=1, y=1, z=2$
(f) $x_{1}=2.7778, x_{2}=4.2222, x_{3}=-0.5556, x_{4}=6.8889$
2.6 (a) $x=3, y=1$
(b) $x=7 / 8, y=9 / 8, z=-1 / 8$
(c) $x=1, y=2, z=3$
(d) $x=-2, y=2, z=1$
(e) $x=1, y=2, z=2$
(f) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1, x_{4}=1$
2.7 (a) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=2, x_{3}=3$
(b) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1, x_{4}=2$
(c) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1, x_{4}=2$
(d) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1, x_{4}=2$
(e) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=-1, x_{3}=1, x_{4}=-1$
(f) $x_{1}=-1, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1, x_{4}=-1$
2.8 (a) $x=1, y=2, z=3$
(b) $x=1, y=-1, z=1$
(c) $x=1.084, y=1.95, z=3.164$
(d) $x=1, y=2, z=-1$
(e) $x=1, y=1, z=1$
(f) $x_{1}=1.0001, x_{2}=1.9998, x_{3}=-0.9998, x_{4}=0.9998$
2.9 (a) $x=4, y=-1, z=3$
(b) $x=1, y=2, z=3$
(c) $x=5, y=4, z=1$
(d) $x_{1}=0.999, x_{2}=1.9999, x_{3}=2.9999, x_{4}=-0.0001$
(e) $x_{1}=1.155, x_{2}=-0.311, x_{3}=0.088, x_{4}=-0.044$
(f) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=0, x_{3}=-1, x_{4}=4$

## Chapter 3: Solution of Algebraic and Transcendental Equations

| 3.1 | 4.4932 | 3.21 | 0.7346 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.2 | 2.43066 | 3.22 | 1.48796 |
| 3.3 | 1.51092 | 3.23 | 2.1163 |
| 3.4 | 1.30 | 3.24 | With $x_{0}=0$, the root is 0.73909 |
| 3.5 | 2.7119 | 3.25 | With $x_{0}=0$, the root is 0.96434 |
| 3.6 | 2.739 | 3.26 | 2.36337 |
| 3.7 | 0.3807 | 3.27 | 4.4934 |
| 3.8 | 0.7346 | 3.28 | 1.895 |
| 3.9 | 7.7253 | 3.29 | 0.56714 |
| 3.10 | 0.424031 | 3.30 | 0.10260 |
| 3.11 | 0.73909 | 3.31 | 0.6071 |
| 3.12 | 0.96433 | 3.32 | 0.0913 |
| 3.13 | 2.7392 | 3.33 | 3.7892 |
| 3.14 | 0.3807 | 3.34 | 0.5314 |
| 3.15 | 2.798 | 3.35 | 1.172 |
| 3.16 | 1.763 | 3.36 | 0.671 |
| 3.17 | 0.5177 | 3.37 | -0.70346 |
| 3.18 | 1.8438 | 3.38 | $1.94332 ;$ accurate to within 0.01 |
| 3.19 | 1.488 | 3.39 | 2.0 |
| 3.20 | 2.7065 | 3.40 | 1.4880 |


| 3.41 | 3.051 | 3.52 | 4.73004 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.42 | 2.2790 | 3.53 | 2.85234 |
| 3.43 | -1.3247 | 3.54 | 0.5023 |
| 3.44 | 1.1347241 | 3.55 | 2.95 |
| 3.45 | 4.217163 | 3.56 | 1.4973 |
| 3.46 | 7.068583 | 3.57 | 2.1080 |
| 3.47 | 4.730041 | 3.58 | 0.6710 |
| 3.48 | 2.8523419 | 3.59 | 0.68 |
| 3.49 | 1.13472 | 3.60 | 0.1509 |
| 3.50 | 4.21716 | 3.61 | 0.7710 |
| 3.51 | 7.06858 | 3.62 | 2.0000 |

## Chapter 4: Numerical Differentiation

4.1 (a) $y^{\prime}(1.0)=3.0 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=6.0$
(b) $y^{\prime}(1.0)=1.0 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=4$
(c) $y^{\prime}(1.0)=5.0004 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=16$
(d) $y^{\prime}(1.0)=-8.2002 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=-23.6$
(e) $y^{\prime}(1.0)=-7.6009 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=-22.4$
(f) $y^{\prime}(1.0)=1.6002 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=5.2$
(g) $y^{\prime}(1.0)=7.7021 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.0)=19.4040$
4.2 (a) $y^{\prime}(3.5)=36.75 ; y^{\prime \prime}(3.5)=21$
(b) $y^{\prime}(3.5)=29.75 ; y^{\prime \prime}(3.5)=19$
(c) $y^{\prime}(3.5)=-3.9445 ; y^{\prime \prime}(3.5)=-3.3810$
(d) $y^{\prime}(3.5)=1.6674 ; y^{\prime \prime}(3.5)=1.0397$
4.3 (a) $y^{\prime}(6.0)=-1.68 ; y^{\prime \prime}(6.0)=-0.3520$
(b) $y^{\prime}(6.0)=-2.0537 ; y^{\prime \prime}(6.0)=-0.7126$
$4.4 \quad y^{\prime}(11)=11.1784 ; y^{\prime \prime}(11)=2.0865$
$4.5 \quad y^{\prime}(4)=12.75 ; y^{\prime \prime}(4)=9.75$
$4.6 \quad y^{\prime}(2)=3.9007 ; y^{\prime \prime}(2)=5.234$
4.7 (a) $y^{\prime}(3)=1.7263 ; y^{\prime \prime}(3)=4.6309$
(b) $y^{\prime}(3)=0.0958 ; y^{\prime \prime}(3)=0.0367$
4.8 (a) $y^{\prime}(2)=2.4667 ; y^{\prime \prime}(2)=6.9667$
(b) $y^{\prime}(2)=3.123 ; y^{\prime \prime}(2)=4.2149$
4.9 (a) $y^{\prime}(1.2)=0.7917 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.2)=6.9667$
(b) $y^{\prime}(1.2)=0.1193 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.2)=-0.7767$
(c) $y^{\prime}(1.2)=2.8964 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.2)=-18.3050$
4.10 (a) $x=0 ; y=-5$
(b) $x=0.6667 ; y=4.8519$
(c) $x=0.5556 ; y=4.8971$
$4.11 \quad f^{\prime}(3.4)=0.12864 ; f^{\prime}(5.2)$
$=-0.00836 ; f^{\prime}(5.6)=3.930432$
$4.12 \quad f^{\prime}(3.4)=-0.02856 ; f^{\prime}(5.2)$
$=-0.0927 ; f^{\prime}(5.6)=3.9065$
$4.13 \quad f^{\prime}(2)=14 ; f(2.5)=20.75$
$4.14 \quad y^{\prime}(3)=1.7263 ; y^{\prime \prime}(2)=4.6309$
$4.15 \quad y^{\prime}(2)=2.4667 ; y^{\prime \prime}(2)=6.9667$
$4.16 \quad y^{\prime}(1.2)=0.7917 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.2)=5$
$4.17 \quad y^{\prime}(1.2)=0.1193 ; y^{\prime \prime}(1.2)=-0.7767$

Chapter 5: Finite Differences and Interpolation
5.2 (a) $\left(e^{h}-1\right)^{2} e^{x}$
(b) $2 \cos \left(x+\frac{h}{2}\right) \sin \frac{h}{2}$
(c) $\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{h}{1+h x+x^{2}}\right]$
(d) $\frac{-2}{(x+2)(x+3)}-\frac{3}{(x+3)(x+4)}$
(e) $\frac{(-1)^{n}}{x(x+1)(x+2) \ldots \cdot(x+n)}$
(f) $6 x$
(g) $\frac{-(1+2 x)}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left[1+(x+1)^{2}\right]}$
(h) $2 \sin \frac{a h}{2} \cos \left[a\left(x+\frac{h}{2}\right)+b\right]$
(i) $3\left(e^{h}-1\right)^{2} e^{x}$
5.3 (a)

| $x$ | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 55 | 60 | 40 | 20 |  |
| 65 | 120 | 60 | 0 | -20 |
| 75 | 180 | 60 |  |  |

(b)

| $x$ | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ | $\Delta^{4} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 204 |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 224 | 20 | 2 |  |  |
| 60 | 246 | 22 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 70 | 270 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 80 | 296 | 26 | 2 | 0 |  |
| 90 | 324 | 28 |  |  |  |


| $x$ | $y$ | $\Delta y$ | $\Delta^{2} y$ | $\Delta^{3} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 9 |  |  |
| 2 | 15 |  | 12 |  |
| 3 | 36 | 21 | 18 | 6 |
| 4 | 75 | 39 | 24 | 6 |
| 5 | 138 | 63 |  |  |

$5.5 \quad-49$
$5.6 \quad 813$
5.7 (a) 35
(b) 77
(c) 6
5.9 (a) $f(x)=3 x^{(3)}+10 x^{(2)}+5 x^{(1)}+1$
(b) $f(x)=x^{(4)}+x^{(3)}-8 x^{(2)}-x^{(1)}+4$
5.10 (a) 37
(b) 7
(c) 10
(d) 11
(e) 21
5.11 (a) 11
(b) 37
(c) -33
(d) -25
(e) 38
5.12 (a) 3 and 37
(b) -1 and 10
(c) 4 and 28
(d) -3 and 21
(e) -2 and 48
$5.13 \quad 112.32097$
5.141 .64872
$5.15 \quad 0.66913$
$5.16 \quad 16$
$5.17 \quad 48$
$5.18 y=f(x)=x^{2}-3 x+1$
$5.19 y=f(x)=x^{2}+3 x+1$
$5.20 \quad f(x)=x^{3}+2 x^{2}+1$
$5.21 \quad 8.875$
$5.22 \quad 23.75$
$5.23 \quad f(0.12)=0.1197 ; f(0.26)=0.2571$
$5.24 \quad$ 54.850; 96,840
5.250 .0000392
$5.26 y=x^{3}-8 x^{2}+15 x+20$
$5.27 \quad y=x^{3}-8 x^{2}-20$
$5.28 \quad y=2 x^{3}-x^{2}+70$
$5.29 y=x^{3}-x^{2}+3 x+2$
5.301030
$5.31 \quad 200$
$5.32-24$
$5.33 \quad 196$
$5.34 \quad 5.76843$
$5.35 \quad 3.54672$
$5.36 \quad 7.8653$
$5.37 \quad 2.5$
$5.38 \quad 19.28906$
$5.39 \quad 38$
$5.40 \quad 35.22217$
$5.41 \quad 32495.1328$
$5.42 \quad 37.14453$
$5.43 \quad 36.05469$
$5.44 \quad 19.8125$
$5.45 \quad 24.46875$
$5.46 \quad 37.64063$
$5.47 \quad 36.625$
$5.48 \quad 13.9375$
$5.49 \quad 478.375$
$5.50 \quad 0.30345$
$5.51 \quad y_{1.6}=0.36663$
$5.52 \quad y_{36}=35.9375$
$5.53 \quad 1.11864$
5.541 .90408
$5.55 \quad 31846.8528$
$5.56 \quad 0.38866$
$5.57 \quad 1.54397$
5.581 .09658
$5.59 \quad 46614.25781$
$5.60 \quad 1.61177$
$5.61 \quad-5.83$
5.620 .83890
5.631 .6778
$5.64 \quad 1.913966$
$5.65 \quad 5.528961$
$5.66 \quad f_{0,1}(x)=0.4 x^{3}+0.1 x \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$
$f_{1,2}(x)=-(x-1)^{3}+1.2(x-1)^{2}+1.3(x-1)$ $+1.5 \quad 2 \leq x \leq 3$
$f_{2,3}(x)=0.6(x-2)^{3}-1.8(x-2)^{2}+0.7(x-2)$
$+2.0 \quad 2 \leq x \leq 3$
$5.67 \quad f(1.5)=0.7679$
$5.68 \quad f_{3,4}(x)=3.7+0.2536(x-3)+0.0536(x-3)^{3}$
$3 \leq x \leq 4$
$f_{4,5}(x)=3.9+0.1214(x-4)-0.1607(x-4)^{2}$ $+0.0679(x-4)^{3} \quad 4 \leq x \leq 5$
$f_{5,6}(x)=3.9-0.025(x-5)-0.043(x-5)^{2}+$ $0.282(x-5)^{3} \quad 5 \leq x \leq 6$
$f_{6,7}(x)=4.2+0.907(x-6)+0.89(x-6)^{2}$
$-0.2967(x-6)^{3} \quad 6 \leq x \leq 7$
$f^{\prime}(3.4)=0.12864$
$f^{\prime}(5.2)=-0.00836$
$f^{\prime}(5.6)=3.930432$

```
\(5.69 \quad f_{2,3}(0)=0.7212\)
\(5.70 \quad f_{0,1}(x)=0.98 x^{3}-0.68 x^{2}+0.2 x \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1\)
    \(f_{1,2}(x)=-1.04(x-1)^{3}+2.26(x-1)^{2}+1.78(x-1)+0.5 \quad 1 \leq x \leq 2\)
    \(f_{2,3}(x)=-0.82(x-2)^{3}-0.86(x-2)^{2}+3.18(x-2)+3.5 \quad 2 \leq x \leq 3\)
\(5.71 \quad f^{\prime \prime}(3.4)=-0.02856\)
    \(f^{\prime}(5.2)=-0.0927\)
    \(f(5.6)=3.9065\)
\(5.72 \quad f_{1}(x)=2.5-1.41977(x-3)+0.186565(x-3)^{3}\)
    \(f_{2}(x)=1-0.16045(x-4.5)+0.83954(x-4.5)^{2}-0.21414(x-4.5)^{3}\)
    \(f_{3}(x)=2.5-0.02205(x-7)-0.76654(x-7)^{2}+0.12775(x-7)^{3}\)
    \(f_{2}(5)=1.102889\)
\(5.73 \quad y(2.6)=0.18714\)
```


## Chapter 6: Curve Fitting, Regression and Correlation

$6.1 a=-22.0007, b=3.7484$
$6.2 \quad a=-149.3849, b=9.1956$
$6.3 a=0.4449, b=0.2747$
$6.4 a=-47.4598, b=11.582$
$6.5 \quad a=22.917, b=3.3883$
$6.6 \quad a=44.3333, b=0.4167$
$6.7 a=80.7777, b=1.138$
$6.8 \quad a=39.3327, b=0.4213$
$6.9 a=1.1574, b=0.2566$
$6.10 a=124.0862, b=-13.5402$
6.11 (a) $a=-22.0007$ and $b=3.7484$
(b) $s_{e}=30.8722$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=7624.7219$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=23973.6$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=16348.8781$
(f) $r^{2}=0.682$
(g) $r=0.8258$
(h) when $x=42, y=135.4307$
6.12 (a) $a=-149.3849$ and $b=9.1956$
(b) $s_{e}=58.8065$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=13832.8076$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=125520.8333$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=111688.0258$
(f) $r^{2}=0.8898$
(g) $r=0.9433$
(h) when $x=53, y=337.9811$
6.13 (a) $a=0.4449$ and $b=0.2747$
(b) $s_{e}=1.0550$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=5.5648$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=66$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=60.4352$
(f) $r^{2}=0.9157$
(g) $r=0.9569$
(h) when $x=42, y=11.9825$
6.14 (a) $a=-47.4598$ and $b=11.582$
(b) $s_{e}=5.5803$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=249.1216$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=47682.1$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=47432.9784$
(f) $r^{2}=0.9948$
(g) $r=0.9974$
(h) when $x=20, y=184.1804$
6.15 (a) $a=22.917$ and $b=3.3883$
(b) $s_{e}=5.6669$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=256.9085$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=4573.6$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=4316.6915$
(f) $r^{2}=0.9438$
(g) $r=0.9715$
(h) when $x=15, y=73.7415$
6.16 (a) $a=44.3333$ and $b=0.4167$
(b) $s_{e}=12.6573$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=1281.6667$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=1802.5$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=520.8333$
(f) $r^{2}=0.2890$
(g) $r=0.5375$
(h) when $x=82, y=78.5$
6.17 (a) $a=80.7777$ and $b=1.138$
(b) $s_{e}=7.0176$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=492.4669$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=2500.6667$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=2008.1998$
(f) $r^{2}=0.8031$
(g) $r=0.8961$
(h) when $x=45, y=131.988$
6.18 (a) $a=39.3327$ and $b=0.4213$
(b) $s_{e}=1.3376$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=17.8917$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=32.9167$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=15.0249$
(f) $r^{2}=0.4565$
(g) $r=0.6756$
(h) when $x=72, y=69.6634$
6.19 (a) $a=1.1574$ and $b=0.2556$
(b) $s_{e}=1.0438$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=5.4473$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=58.2143$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=52.767$
(f) $r^{2}=0.9064$
(g) $r=0.9521$
(h) when $x=41, y=11.6778$
6.20 (a) $a=124.0862$ and $b=-13.5402$
(b) $s_{e}=15.4208$
(c) $\mathrm{SSE}=1426.8046$
(d) $\mathrm{SST}=9402$
(e) $\mathrm{SSR}=7975.1954$
(f) $r^{2}=0.85$
(g) $r=0.92$
(h) when $x=53, y=29.3046$
$6.21 \quad b=0.8671$ and $m=1.3471$
$6.22 \quad b=0.5686$ and $m=1.5903$
$6.23 \quad b=0.3990$ and $m=0.9057$
$6.24 \quad b=2.9256$ and $m=1.3777$
$6.25 \quad b=18.9926$ and $m=0.4983$
6.26 $\quad a=1.2675, b=1.2475$ and $c=-0.0727$; $S_{y / x}=0.6520 ; r=0.9653$ $a=-291.9285, b=417.3647$ and $c=-146.3105 ; S_{t}=8.2232 ; S_{r}=0.0406 ;$ $r=0.9975 ; S_{y / x}=0.1163$ $a=24.1204, b=1.9639, c=-0.0323$, $S_{y / x}=1.5211, S_{t}=288, S_{r}=4.6277$, $r^{2}=0.9839, r=0.9919$
6.29 $a=2.5095, b=1.2, c=0.7333$, $S_{y / x}=0.2024, S_{t}=332.0571$, $S_{r}=0.1638, r^{2}=0.9995, r=0.9998$ $a=18.9414, b=-0.0313, c=0.0014$, $S_{y / X}=4.7437, S_{t}=211.755, S_{r}=67.5086$, $r^{2}=0.6812, r=0.8253$
$a=14.4217, b=8.9904, c=-5.6087$, $S_{t}=1051.3356, S_{r}=4.3466, S_{y / x}=0.7244$, $r^{2}=0.9959, r=0.9979$

| 6.32 | $a=7.3427, b=-4.5932, c=-2.7062, S_{t}=728.5556, S_{r}=50.742, S_{y / x}=8.457, r^{2}=0.9304, r=0.9645$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6.33 | $a=2.2561, b=3.8171, c=5.3333, S_{t}=577.84, S_{r}=4.2007, S_{y / x}=0.6001, r^{2}=0.9927, r=0.9964$ |
| 6.34 | $a=23.9103, b=-4.691, c=-9.591, S_{t}=850.36, S_{r}=1.9791, S_{y / x}=0.2827, r^{2}=0.9977, r=0.9988$ |
| 6.35 | $a=29.4487, b=-5.7449, c=19.8449, S_{t}=3463.61, S_{r}=2.0574, S_{y / x}=0.2939, r^{2}=0.9994, r=0.9997$ |
| 6.36 | $a=107.6961$ and $b=-0.0143$ |
| 6.37 | $a=2.7077$ and $b=0.0369$ |
| 6.38 | $a=20.0771$ and $b=0.0325$ |
| 6.39 | $a=63.5770$ and $b=0.3548$ |
| 6.40 | $a=1.9077$ and $b=1.5146$ |
| 6.41 | $a=4.0672$ and $b=0.7823$ |
| 6.42 | $a=2.3946$ and $b=177.5202$ |
| 6.43 | $a=13.2275$ and $b=37.5036$ |
| 6.44 | $a=1.8515$ and $b=284.4318$ |
| 6.45 | $a=-0.0359$ and $b=7.6495 ; r=0.9998$ |
| 6.46 | $a=25.0550 ; b=3.2229 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=269.5377$ |
| 6.47 | $a=3.3385 ; b=2.9169 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=7.8421$ |
| 6.48 | $a=-88.6813 ; b=7.4678 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=205.2290$ |
| 6.49 | $a=1.2045 ; b=0.2599 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=1.6846$ |
| 6.50 | $a=-40.8141 ; b=11.1799 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=308.9377$ |
| 6.51 | $a=21.5949 ; b=3.5681 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=271.3282$ |
| 6.52 | $a=22.4556 ; b=3.13 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=86.0916$ |
| 6.53 | $a=5.2116 ; b=2.8682 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=5.6054$ |
| 6.54 | $a=-88.3833 ; b=7.5646 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=17572.5447$ |
| 6.55 | $a=-1.2576 ; b=0.3166 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=1.1108$ |
| 6.56 | $a=-49.1549 ; b=11.7656 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=41.9142$ |
| 6.57 | $a=18.6429 ; b=3.4286 ;$ Sum of squares of errors $=14.1888$ |

Chapter 7: Numerical Integration
$7.1 \quad I=0.9023$
$7.2 \quad I=0.26$
7.3 (a) $I=1.8591$, rel error $=0.0819$
(b) $I=1.7539$, rel error $=0.0207$
(c) $I=1.7272$, rel error $=0.0052$
(d) $I=1.7205$, rel error $=0.0052$
7.4
$I=0.7854$
7.5
(a) $I=2.5268$, rel error $=0.2$
(b) $\quad I=2.1122$, rel error $=0.0086$
7.6
$I=0.0305$
7.7
7.8
7.9
7.10
7.11
$I=-1.81285$
$I=1.7505$
$I=0.9045$
$I=0.7854$
$I=1.718$

| 7.12 | $I=0.82212$ | 7.29 | $I=1.827858$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7.13 | $I=1.187$ | 7.30 | $I=1.82784745$ |
| 7.14 | $I=1.8278472$ | 7.31 | $I=0.52359895$ |
| 7.15 | $I=-2.56622$ | 7.32 | $I=1.1071$ |
| 7.16 | $I=0.785395$ | 7.33 | 1.8278 |
| 7.17 | $I=1.3571$ | 7.34 | 0.6931 |
| 7.18 | $I=0.78535$ | 7.35 | 0.264241 |
| 7.19 | $I=0.7853959$ | 7.36 | -0.8948314 |
| 7.20 | $I=1.14$ | 7.37 | 18.6667 |
| 7.21 | $I=3.1832$ | 7.38 | 1.3600 |
| 7.22 | $I=1.8278475$ | 7.39 | (a) |
| 7.23 | $I=0.091111$ |  | 0.16061 |
| 7.24 | $I=0.785395$ |  | 0.19226 |
| 7.25 | $I=1.30859$ | (c) | 0.64269 |
| 7.26 | $I=1.4056$ | 7.40 | 0.088757 |
| 7.27 | $I=0.78535$ | 7.41 | $R_{3,3}=11.5246$ |
| 7.28 | $I=1.4056$ | 7.42 | 0.74997 |

## Chapter 8: Numerical Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations

```
8.1 y(0.1) = 1.1053
```

$8.2 \quad y(0.1)=1.1103, y(0.2)=1.2427$
$8.3 \quad y(0.2)=0.83746$
$8.4 \quad y(0.1)=3.005, y(0.2)=3.020$
$8.5 \quad y(0.1)=0.9828$
$8.6 \quad y(0.2)=0.02$
$8.7 \quad 1.1053$
$8.8 \quad y(1.1)=1.1066, y(1.2)=1.228$
$8.9 \quad y(0.2)=0.80227$
$8.10 \quad y(0.2)=0.4539$
$8.11 \quad y(0.1)=1.1057, y(0.3)=1.3694$
$8.12 \quad y(4.1)=4.005, y(4.2)=4.0098$
$8.13 \quad y(1)=3.18$
$8.14 \quad y(0.5)=4.7, y(1)=4.893, y(1.5)=4.55, y(2)=4.052$
$8.15 \quad y(1.25)=0.34565$ with $h=0.5, y(1.175)=0.1897$ with $h=0.025$
$8.16 \quad y(0.1)=1.1, y(0.2)=1.23, y(0.3)=1.39, y(0.4)=1.61$
$8.17 \quad y(0.5)=0.2836165, y(1)=3.2190993$

```
\(8.18 \quad y(1.1)=1.22428\)
\(8.19 \quad y(0.3)=1.2432\)
\(8.20 \quad y(0.5)=-3.946, y(1)=4.188, y(1.5)=4.063, y(2)=3.764\)
\(8.21 \quad y(0.1)=1.1055\)
\(8.22 \quad y(2)=5.524\)
\(8.23 \quad y(0.075)=1.076\)
\(8.24 \quad y(2.5)=1.83334, y(3)=2.5\)
\(8.25 \quad y(0.1)=2.2050, y(0.2)=2.421, y(0.3)=2.6492, y(0.4)=2.8909\)
\(8.26[x, y]=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 1,0.1 & 1.0863,0.2 & 1.1768,0.3 & 1.2708,0.4 & 1.3676,0.5 & 1.4664\end{array}\right]\)
\(8.27 \quad[t, y]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0.1 & 1.00025,0.2 \\ 1.00243, & 0.3 \\ 1.00825,0.4 & 1.01926,0.5 \\ 1.03688\end{array}\right]\)
\(8.28 \quad x=0.1, y=1.11034\)
\(8.29 \quad y(0.1)=2.2050\)
\(8.30 \quad y(1.2)=1.4028\)
\(8.31 \quad y(0.8)=0.84899\)
\(8.32 \quad y(1)=1.4983\)
\(8.33 \quad y(0.2)=1.196, y(0.4)=1.3752\)
\(8.34 \quad y(0.5)=4.069, y(1)=4.32, y(1.5)=4.167\)
\(8.35 \quad y(0.5)=3.21875\)
\(8.36 \quad y(0.2)=0.2027, y(0.4)=0.4228, y(0.6)=0.6841\)
\(8.37 \quad y(0.2)=1.00265\)
\(8.38 \quad y(0.4)=0.7785\)
\(8.39 \quad y^{p}(0.8)=1.02337, y^{\mathrm{C}}(0.8)=1.0296\)
\(8.40 \quad y(0.4)=1.01925\)
\(8.41 \quad y(1.4)=2.575\)
\(8.42 \quad y(2.2)=1.33666667, y(2.4)=1.6857143, y(2.6)=1.975, y(2.8)=2.2444444, y(3)=2.5\)
\(8.43 \quad y(0.8)=2.4366\)
\(8.44 \quad y(0.2)=1.2428, y(0.3)=1.3997\)
\(8.45 \quad y(0.4)=1.8392\)
\(8.46 \quad y(0.4)=1.01927, y(0.5)=1.04779\)
\(8.47 \quad y(0.8)=1.0294, y(1.0)=1.5549\)
\(8.48 \quad y(0.5)=0.6065, y(0.6)=0.5490, y(0.7)=0.4965\)
```


## Appendix A

(a) $\frac{(-1 / 2)}{s-2}+\frac{5 / 3}{(s-1)}+\frac{(-1 / 6)}{s-4}$
(b) $\frac{(3 / 8)}{s}+\frac{(1 / 2)}{s^{2}}+\frac{(1 / 2)}{s^{3}}+\frac{(-3 / 8)}{s-2}+\frac{(5 / 4)}{(s-2)^{2}}$
(c) $\frac{(1 / 8)}{s}+\frac{(3 / 4)}{s^{2}}-\frac{(1 / 2)}{s^{3}}+\frac{(-s / 8)-3 / 4}{s^{2}+4}$
(d) $\frac{(-1 / 16)}{s}+\frac{(1 / 8)}{s^{2}}+\frac{(1 / 16)}{s+2}-\frac{(1 / 4)}{(s+2)^{3}}$
(e) $\frac{(1 / 6)}{s}+\frac{(1 / 3)}{s+1}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(s+2)}{(s+2)^{2}+2}-\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{(s+2)^{2}+2}$
(f) $\frac{6}{s^{3}}-\frac{6}{s^{4}}+\frac{1}{8}-\frac{2}{s+1}$
(g) $\frac{2}{(s+1)^{3}}+\frac{1}{s+1}$
(h) $\frac{10}{(s+1)^{2}+2^{2}}+\frac{2(s+1)}{(s+1)^{2}+2^{2}}$
(i) $\frac{(s+3)}{(s+1)(s+2)}$

## Appendix C

(a) $x=0.3334, y=-0.4444, z=0.5555$
(b) $x=3, y=-1, z=-2$
(c) $x=2, y=-3, z=5$
(d) $x=-1, y=4, z=3$
(e) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=2, x_{3}=-1, x_{4}=0$
(f) $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=1, x_{3}=1, x_{4}=1$

